Antifreeze proteins
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Abstract:
The antifreeze protein (AFP) activity is explained using two models. The first model is using ice binding and the second is using anti-ice structuralization of water molecules. The description of AFP function using anti-ice structuralization of water molecules is less explored. Therefore, it is of interest to explain AFP function using this model. Protein folding is often described using models where hydrophobic residues move away from water getting buried and hydrophilic residues are exposed to the surface. Thus, the 3D Gauss function stretched on the protein molecule describes the hydrophobicity distribution in a protein molecule. Small antifreeze proteins (less than 150 residues) are often represented by structures with hydrophobic core. Large antifreeze proteins (above 200 residues) contain solenoid (modular repeats). The hydrophobic field of solenoid show different distribution with linear propagation of the bands of different hydrophobicity level having high and low hydrophobicity that is propagated parallel to the long axis of solenoid. This specific ordering of hydrophobicity implies water molecules ordering different from ice. We illustrate this phenomenon using two antifreeze proteins to describe the hypothesis.
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Structuralization of water molecules in close neighbourhood of antifreeze proteins:
The activity of antifreeze proteins is interpreted as the interaction with water in form of ice similar to protein-ligand docking [1], where the structure of ice appears compatible to the docking areas in antifreeze proteins [2]. The fuzzy oil drop model assuming Gaussian distribution of hydrophobicity in protein molecules treats the surface of molecule as covered by polar groups (lowest hydrophobicity on the surface) [3]. These polar groups influence the order of water molecules in a close neighbourhood (however not limited to one layer). In consequence the water dipoles orientations follow the charge distribution on the protein surface. This conclusion is based on the observation of high accordance of hydrophobicity distribution with the idealized one identified in antifreeze proteins of small size. In large antifreeze proteins the solenoid is present. How this structural form is able to protect against the structuralization of ice? The mechanism is similar. As it is shown in this analysis the solenoid is highly discordant versus the idealized distribution with absence of mono-centric hydrophobic core. Instead of it, bands hydrophobic and hydrophilic in turn propagating linearly, parallel to long axis of solenoid are present. In consequence this form of high differentiation influences water surrounding introducing variable organisation of water molecules in the neighbourhood of protein molecule. This problem was discussed in details in [4]. Here we show two examples of antifreeze proteins.

Figure 1: Antifreeze protein isoform of HPLC 12 (PDB ID: 2MSI). (A) T-theoretical (Gaussian) and O-observed distribution of hydrophobicity; (B) 3D representation of hydrophobicity (red) marginally present on the surface in 2MSI
The low hydrophobicity (hydrophilic) band in solenoid influences surrounding water molecules structuralization in the manner following the charge distribution on the protein surface. The band of high hydrophobicity exposed to water environment influences water molecules to order in different way. The contact of water molecules with hydrophobic surface is experimentally observed to be of levitation character [6]. The introduction of such significant differentiation of hydrophobic field in contact with water environment does not support structuralization characteristic for ice. Additionally the high mobility of water molecules is observed on the surface of antifreeze proteins what is accordant with our interpretation of the action of these proteins [7]. The explanation of antifreeze activity of small molecules like saccharides and lipids as docking ice crystals is clearly excluded [8]. Meanwhile fuzzy oil drop model introducing the criteria of water ordering in the neighborhood of antifreeze proteins as well as other small molecules is able to explain the antifreeze activity of molecules of any size.

Acknowledgements:
This work is supported by Jagiellonian University, Medical College system of grants with grant # K/ZDS/00636.

References:

License statement: This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Edited by P Kangueane