Bioinformation 18(5): 492-495 (2022)

©Biomedical Informatics (2022)





www.bioinformation.net Volume 18(5)

OPEN ACCESS GOLD

Research Article

DOI: 10.6026/97320630018492

Received April 2, 2022; Revised May 31, 2022; Accepted May 31, 2022, Published May 31, 2022

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at https://publicationethics.org/. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Edited by P Kangueane Citation: Breh *et al.* Bioinformation 18(5): 492-495 (2022)

Naso-alveolar molding for newborn cleft lip and palate

Rohit Breh¹, Pankaj Singodia² & Shitun Sarangi¹

¹Department of Dentistry, Tata Main Hospital, Jamshedpur, Jharkhand, India,²Department of Plastic Surgery, Tata Main Hospital, Jamshedpur, Jharkhand, India; *Corresponding author:

Affiliation URL: https://www.tatasteel.com/

Author contacts:

Rohit Breh - E-mail: rohit.breh@tatasteel.com Pankaj Singodia - E-mail: pankaj.singodia@tatasteel.com Shitun Sarangi - E-mail: Shitun.sarangi@tatasteel.com

Abstract:

The nasoalveolarmolding (NAM) technique is a relatively new approach to pre-surgical infant orthopedics that reduces the severity of the initial cleft alveolar and nasal deformity before surgery. This technique has proved to be an effective adjunctive therapy for reducing cleft deformity before surgery. Data on NAM are inconsistent with changes in nasal symmetry. However, there is a trend towards a positive effect. Therefore, it is of interest to report clinicians an overview of NAM appliance and method for nasal symmetry assessment to facilitate greater usage of this technique in contemporary practice. Thus, the biomechanics of pre-surgical infant orthopedics and of nasal stent that skillfully shape alveolus and nasal cartilage is explained.

Bioinformation 18(5): 492-495 (2022)

Keywords: Naso-alveolar molding (NAM), pre-surgical infant ortho-pedics, cleft lip, cleft palate, nasal stent

Background:

Oro-facial clefts can be broadly classified into syndromic and nonsyndromic variants. These children require a multidisciplinary care from birth until adulthood and have higher morbidity and mortality **[1-2].** Increased frequency of structural brain abnormalities **[3-5]** and psychological effects on children and families is well proven **[6-7].** Standards of care in both developing and developed countries for patients with cleft lip, cleft lip and palate, or cleft palate alone remain a cause for concern **[8].** Therefore, it is of interest to report clinicians an overview of NAM appliance and method for nasal symmetry assessment to facilitate greater usage of this technique in contemporary practice.

Case report:

A newborn male child in the Hospital was referred to the department of dentistry for obturator. On examination, child was medically healthy at birth. Head and neck MRI images reported no significantly abnormal findings. Hearing was not impaired as reported with examinations. Child had a unilateral cleft palate and lip. So, Pre-surgical Naso-alveolar Molding (PSM) was planned before plastic surgeon operates over lip before six months of age. No history of medication, active or passive smoking (or any other form of tobacco habit), no history of trauma or any other significant noticeable pre-natal events.

Head form: Mesocephalic

Face form: Mesoprosopic

Facial symmetry: Asymmetrical

Facial profile: Convex

Lip:

Cleft of 12mm unilaterally with left sided upper lip is observed. Right segment of upper lip is positioned sagittally anteriorly as compared to the left lip segment. No abnormality detected with lower lip.

Nose:

Nasal tip deviated to the left, short columella length, wide inter-alar width.

Clinical Examination: Intra oral examination:

Unilateral cleft lip and palate. Veau's classification group III or Elnassry classification (2007) Class IV are used **[5].** No clinical abnormality detected with uvula.

Soft tissue:

Frenum attachment to the major segment of the upper lip opposite to the philtrum is studied.

Alveolar segments:

Divided into two segments, right larger segment and left shorter segment. Right segment is positioned sagittally anteriorly as compared to left shorter segment.

Teeth: No natal teeth present.

Summarizing the clinical examination:

A newborn patient with complete (left) unilateral cleft lip and cleft palate is observed. Cleft at labial segment measuring 12 mm and at alveolar region measuring 11 mm is observed. The cleft lip and alveolar segment over the right side is anterior to the left segment in the sagittal dimension. Nasal tip is deviated to the left with small columella length.



Figure 1: Naso-alveolar molding for newborn cleft lip and palate

Objectives of treatment:

- [1] Achieving approximation of lip segments.
- [2] Achieving approximation of alveolar segments in transverse dimension and in sagittal dimension while maintain the vertical height and distal transverse width of alveolar segments.
- [3] Increasing the columella length.
- [4] Achieving midline for nasal tip.
- [5] Achieving equal alar width and height.
- [6] All the objectives to be achieved in less than 6 months of age before the lip repair surgery.

Bioinformation 18(5): 492-495 (2022)

Treatment plan:

- [1] The naso-alveolar molding appliance (NAM) consisting of an intraoral molding plate with nasal stents to mold the alveolar ridge and nasal cartilage concurrently [9-10].
- [2] Weekly appointments for adjustments in the molding plates by selectively removing the hard acrylic and adding the soft denture base material to the molding plate.
- [3] Incorporating nasal stent when the alveolar gap reduces to 5mm or less. Weekly adjustments in nasal stent to be done with the plate till desired results are achieved.

Treatment:

Treatment commenced on January 16, 2019 with alveolar molding plate appliance.

Date and Stage

- [1] January 15, 2019: Maxillary arch impression made with heavy body. Prior to that risk assessment was done by pediatrician and it was decided to take moderate risk consent before taking impression. Impression was taken in Pediatric ICU under all precautions with endoscopic and intubation equipment at alert. Child was positioned head down and impression was made with putty material [11]. January 16, 2019: Alveolar molding plate was prepared with acrylic and delivered. Parents were trained and given instructions for using and maintaining appliance.
- [2] January 23 to February 27, 2019: Selective grinding and addition of soft denture liner were added for alveolar molding on weekly basis [12]. Alveolar gap reduced to approx. 6mm, hence nasal stent was planned and a wax model was planned over the plate for construction. March 3, 2019: Nasal stent was made and added to the plate with acrylic [13]. The nasal end was bean shaped covered with a soft liner. It was activated to the minimum when blanch appears at pressure site [14].
- [3] March 10 to April 2019: Alveolar molding was continued, and nasal stent was sequentially activated till desired results were achieved. After this parent was instructed continue with the appliance and to visit biweekly for follow up (Figure 1).
- [4] June 14, 2019: Lip repair surgery was done by plastic surgeon by Randall-Tennison technique.
- [5] January 10, 2020: Palate repair was done.

Post-treatment Assessment:

Lip approximation and alveolar approximation were accomplished in nearly 14 weeks of commencement of surgery. Lip surgery was done in approximately six months of birth. Inflammation reduced in two weeks period and scar redness started reducing by two months period. Post lip surgery alar base width was symmetrical with adequate columella length and midline symmetry. By one year of age when palate was operated the scar was further reduced and was negligibly visible. One-year follow was done for the patient. Nasal tip was in midline, alar width was maintained, and columella height was adequate. Lip was operated with Randall-Tennison technique and vermillion border alignment was achieved with near invisible scar formation in upper lip **[15-16]**.

Critical appraisal:

Satisfactory results were achieved considering the facial aesthetics. It is recommended to follow up the case on regular basis, especially when teeth start to erupt. Growth needs to be followed up as scar formation may restrict the growth of maxilla in all the three dimensions [17].

Conclusion:

The NAM technique has shown to improve the surgical outcome of cleft lip and palate compared with other techniques of presurgical orthopedics. Nonsurgical columellar elongation with nasoalveolar molding restored columellar length to near normal and significantly reduced the need for secondary nasal surgery [18-19]. NAM technique has proved to be an effective adjunctive therapy for reducing hard and soft tissue cleft deformity before surgery [20]. Thus, clinicians are encouraged to undertake further studies with long term follow-ups and randomized control trials in the field of pre-surgical naso-alveolar molding to substantiate the described technique [21].

References:

- [1] Ngai CW et al. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2005 17:203. [PMID: 16147824]
- [2] Christensen K *et al. BMJ* 2004 **328**: 1405. [PMID: 15145797]
- [3] Nopoulos P et al. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2007 161:753.
 [PMID: 17679656]
- [4] Kurt Demirsoy K et al. Turk J Orthod. 2022 35:16. [PMID: 35370129]
- [5] Danis DO et al. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2021 164:199.
 [PMID: 32689883]
- [6] Berk NW et al. New York: Oxford University Press, UK 2002 page 458
- [7] Burianova I *et al. Cleft Palate Craniofac J.* 2021 58:146.
 [PMID: 32799648]
- [8] Allori AC et al. The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal. 2017 54:175-188. [PMID: 26339868]
- [9] Grayson BH. Semin Plast Surg 2005 19:294. [PMID: 15145660]
- [10] Grill FD et al. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2019 47:1083. [PMID: 30878462]
- [11] Loeffelbein DJ et al. British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2013 **51**:898. [PMID: 23414909]
- [12] Grayson B et al. Cleft Journal. 2005 1:4 [PMID:19884682]
- [13] Suri S. *The Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*. 2009 **2:**1889. [PMID:19816371]
- [14] Doruk C, Cleft palate and Craniofacial Journal. 2005 42:699.[PMID: 16241184]

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)

Bioinformation 18(5): 492-495 (2022)

- [15] Lee *et al. Plastic and Reconstructive surgery* 2008 122:1131. [PMID: 18827647]
- [16] Burianova I *et al. J Hum Lact.* 2017 33:504. [PMID: 28604150]
- [17] Shi B. et al. International journal of oral science. 2015 7:14. [PMID: 25394591]
- [18] Kožejová Jaklová L *et al. Clin Oral Investig.* 2021 25:3809. [PMID: 33409695]

©Biomedical Informatics (2022)

- [19] Danis DO et al. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2021 164:199. [PMID: 32689883]
- [20] Corona-Rivera JR et al. West Mexico. Congenit Anom (Kyoto). 2018 58:117. [PMID: 29457660]
- [21] Tan EE et al. Ann Acad Med Singap. 2014 43:371. [PMID: 25142473]

