# Bioinformation 18(7): 645-650 (2022)

# ©Biomedical Informatics (2022)

OPEN ACCESS GOLD

DOI: 10.6026/97320630018645



Received June 2, 2022; Revised July 31, 2022; Accepted July 31, 2022, Published July 31, 2022

## **Declaration on Publication Ethics:**

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at https://publicationethics.org/. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

# **Declaration on official E-mail:**

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

## License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

## **Comments from readers:**

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Edited by P Kangueane Citation: Chaithanya *et al.* Bioinformation 18(7): 645-650 (2022)

# Anti-inflammatory and cytotoxic effect of lycopene and raspberry *in-situ* gel

# V Mukundh Chaithanya, TN Uma Maheswari\*& S Rajesh Kumar

Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Saveetha University, Chennai, India;\*Corresponding author

# Author's contact:

Mukundh Chaithanya V -mukundha2good@gmail.com TN Uma Maheswari - umamaheswaritn@saveetha.com S Rajesh Kumar - ssrajeshkumar@homail.com

#### Abstract:

Preparation of an in-situ gel containing lycopene and raspberry plant formulation and analysis of its anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects is described. Lycopene is known for its anticancer and antioxidant activity. It induces apoptosis, thereby reducing the cancer cells, and also reduces the injury of cells due to oxidative activity. Similarly, raspberry also contains antioxidants properties which can help to reduce oxidative stress and chronic inflammation. This study includes extracts containing 25% of Raspberry and 10% lycopene, carboxymethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose, Carbopol, sodium chloride, and distilled water. This in-situ gel was then tested for antioxidant and anti-inflammatory assay using DPPH (2, diphenyl 1-picryl- hydrazyl- hydrate) and bovine serum albumin (BSA),

antioxidant assay revealed that the inhibition percentage was more with 50  $\mu$ L (61.3) of gel and anti-inflammatory assay showed significant results with 10  $\mu$ L (90.2) of gel. In-situ gel containing lycopene and Raspberry has significant anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activity.

Keywords: Lycopene, Raspberry, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory

#### Background:

In-situ gel production is one of the most complex processes as various biopolymers are used. Lycopene and Raspberry are known for their antioxidant and anti-cancerous properties. Lycopene, due to its highly lipophilic nature with its protecting mechanism of tissues from the lipid -peroxidation activity, can help to prevent injury, and it is also the most antioxidant among 500 carotenoids present, especially in comparison with beta-carotene, also studies with evidence of pro-oxidative side effects is also seen less in lycopene [2, 32]. The lycopene, when consumed directly from tomato, is known as active or bio-lycopene, which has certain antiinflammatory properties helping to reduce chances of chronic and systemic diseases, the anticancer property of lycopene has proven in various studies to help to reduce even the moderate to advanced stages of cancers and help in the prevention of liver, prostate and other cancers of the body [4, 20]. In-situ gel preparation was done for the purpose of treating disease and its better drug delivery application. They have known for their gelling attribution and longstanding property, which is known as residence timing; this enables the drug to have more duration of action in the specified location along with better absorption [23, 29]. The flowing capacity or the pourability of the gel is due to polymers such as Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) and Sodium alginate, which is present within the formulation [9, 11]. The advantage of the in-situ bioadhesive gel is the availability at the site and residence time, which in turn is better than a conventional gel medium [18, 21]. Previously our team has a rich experience in working on various research projects across multiple disciplines [6, 7, 8, 10, 14, 16, 19, 26, 27, 28, 30, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]. Therefore, it is of interest to document the anti-inflammatory and cytotoxic effect of lycopene and raspberry in-situ gel

#### Materials and methods:

#### Materials used:

The materials used in this study include extracted compounds containing 10% of lycopene and 25% of raspberry concentration; these materials were acquired from authentic biomaterial sellers and are used in this process of making an in-situ intraoral gel. Other chemical polymers used for making this in-situ gel include Carboxymethyl Cellulose (CMC) (gelation compound-water retention property), Hydroxypropyl methyl Cellulose (HPMC) (film coating agent), Carbopol (stabilizing compound) and Sodium chloride (NaCl) (as a preservative) all which were obtained from a chemist (Figure 1).

#### Preparation of lycopene and Raspberry in-situ gel:

A beaker of 15 ml of distilled water is taken, and 1.5gm of lycopene extract is mixed with 1.5 gm of raspberry extract; these extracts were then heated thoroughly until the solution is reduced to about 5mL of concentration in order to reduce the water and increase the concentration of extraction. Then the solution is cooled down, this

is followed by the addition of 2.50 grams of Carbopol, 0.50 gm of HPMC, and 0.95gm of NaCl, and 0.50gm of CMC, all the contents are stirred thoroughly in between the addition of the other (Figure 2).

# Determination of antioxidant activity:

The in-situ gel of lycopene and Raspberry is then subjected to DPPH assay, the lycopene, and raspberry extracts are then subjected to 5 different concentrations of 10  $\mu$ L, 20  $\mu$ L, 30  $\mu$ L, 40  $\mu$ L, 50  $\mu$ L in a solution containing 2 mL of DPPH, the solution is then kept for incubation for about 15-20 mins (dark incubation), these five samples are further subjected spectrophotometry and analyzed for inhibition levels.



**Figure 1**: The mixing of polymers such as sodium chloride, carbopol, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose and carboxyl methylcellulose along with extracted contents containing raspberry and lycopene

#### Determination of anti-inflammatory activity:

The in-situ gel of lycopene and Raspberry is subject to Bovine serum albumin assay (BSA), the solution containing lycopene and raspberry gel is subjected to 5 different concentrations of 10  $\mu$ L, 20  $\mu$ L, 30  $\mu$ L, 40  $\mu$ L, 50  $\mu$ L in a solution containing 1mL of BSA, the solution is maintained at a room temperature for 10 mins, this is followed by boiling of the contents at 55 degree Celsius for 10 -15 mins, this solution is then subjected to spectrophotometry for inhibition level analysis. **(Figure 4)** 

#### ©Biomedical Informatics (2022)



**Figure 2:** The final formation of in-situ gel, post mixing of contents of gel preparation.



**Figure 3:** Antioxidant activity testing using different quantities: 10µl, 20µl, 30µl, 40µl and 50µl of lycopene raspberry extracts in test tubes containing 2ml of DPPH

## **Results and Discussion:**

The results of this study have shown that the in-situ gel preparation of lycopene-raspberry gel has a better Inhibition action in both antioxidant and anti-inflammatory assay analysis. Spectrophotometry readings of antioxidant assay revealed that 50  $\mu$ Ll of lycopene-raspberry extracts have more absorption percentage of about 41% (Figure 5). In comparison, anti-inflammatory assay has revealed that Lycopene-raspberry in-situ

gel concentration of about 10  $\mu$ L has given an absorption percentage of about 79.7% (Figure 6). Based on the spectrophotometry analysis results, the inhibition percentage of lycopene and Raspberry has been calculated to test the real-time activity of the in-situ gels, the antioxidant activity has shown the highest inhibition percentage in relation to 61.3% in 50 $\mu$ l concentration of in-situ gel of lycopene-raspberry (Figure 7), and the anti-inflammatory assay has revealed that 90.2% of inhibition percentage has seen in 10 $\mu$ l concentration of lycopene-raspberry insitu gel when compared to other levels (Figure 8).



**Figure 4:** Anti-inflammatory activity testing using various levels of lycopene, raspberry extracts: 10µl, 20µl, 30µl, 40µl and 50µl extracts in the test tube containing 1ml of BSA.

WL.:	WL.: 517.0 nm 2020/01/06 20:14				
Photometry		0.012 Abs			
No.	WL.	Abs	%T	Result	
5	517.0	0.387	41.0	0.000	
4	517.0	0.687	20.6	0.000	
3	517.0	0.707	19.6	0.000	
2	517.0	0.657	22.0	0.000	
1	517.0	0.770	17.0	0.000	
Factor Previous Next Setup					

**Figure 5:** Spectrophotometer readings with antioxidant activity assay of lycopene and raspberry extracts.

#### Bioinformation 18(7): 645-650 (2022)

WL.:	660.0 nm	2020/01/06 20:19			
Phot	ometry	-0.032 Abs			
No.	WL.	Abs	%T	Result	
5	660.0	0.306	49.5	0.000	
4	660.0	0.221	60.1	0.000	
3	660.0	0.116	76.6	0.000	
2	660.0	0.221	60.1	0.000	
1	660.0	0.098	79.7	0.000	
Factor Previous Next Setup					

**Figure 6:** Spectrophotometer readings with anti-inflammatory activity assay of lycopene and raspberry extracts



**Figure 8:** The percentage of inhibition in anti-inflammatory assay seen in levels of  $10\mu$ l to  $50\mu$ l concentrations of lycopene-raspberry in-situ gel.

Studies done by Mallery *et al.* have shown that raspberry bioadhesive (in-situ) has shown a reduction in pre-malignant lesions and antioxidant activity, indicating its better inhibition activity which is also in line with our study; one of the most significant of in-situ formulation in the study is the complete absence of any adverse or deleterious effects in the usage of in-situ gels vs normal gel **[13,17]**. While other studies done by Bansal *et al.* has shown that lycopene along with a combination of polymers (in-situ gel formers such as tween 40, HPMC, etc.) has shown better results due to the increase in patients' compliance, better adhesive property, reduced effects of UV due to gel application which is also one of the disadvantages of conventional gels **[2,16]**. Certain studies done by Bignotto *et al.* has shown that the lycopene has a high significant antioxidant property in the dose of 25mg/kg, reducing

#### ©Biomedical Informatics (2022)

the risk of cancer and also the anti-inflammatory action in which the injury to the liver cells was reducing the pain **[1,3]**. While studies did by padmavathy *et al.* has revealed that in-situ gel formulation has good sustainability (sustained-release), more patient's compliance (less irritation), ease of administration and good retention properties **[12, 33]**. Our institution is passionate about high-quality, evidence-based research and has excelled in various fields **[5, 15, 22, 24, 25, 31, 39]**. We hope this study adds to this rich legacy.



**Figure 7:** The percentage of inhibition in antioxidant assay seen in levels of  $10\mu l$  to  $50\mu l$  concentrations of lycopene-raspberry in-situ gel.

#### **Conclusion:**

The present study was done to formulate intraoral in-situ gel using modern technique and spectrometry analysis showing significant antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. Hence this study concludes that a combination of lycopene and raspberry formulation has better antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties.

#### **References:**

- [1] Bakshi HA *et al. Inflammation* 2019 **42:**2032. [PMID: 31377947]
- [2] Monisha B et al. Int. J. App. Pharm 2019 93:165.
- [3] Bignotto L et al. The British Journal of Nutrition 2009 102:126. [PMID: 19203414]
- [4] Cha JH et al. Nutrition Research and Practice 2017 11:90. [PMID: 28386381]
- [5] Ezhilarasan D et al. Journal of Oral Pathology & Medicine 2019 48:115. [PMID: 30451321]
- [6] Gheena S & Ezhilarasan D Human & Experimental Toxicology 2019 38:694. [PMID: 30924378]
- Jose J et al. The Open Dentistry Journal 2020 14:59. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1874210602014010059
- [8] Ke Y et al. Artificial Cells, Nanomedicine, and Biotechnology 2019 47:1938. [PMID: 31099261]
- [9] Kim CH et al. International Journal of Food Science &

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)

Bioinformation 18(7): 645-650 (2022)

Technology	2014		<b>49</b> :2083.
https://doi.org/1	0.1111/ijfs.12517		
01 Krichnachtamy	I at al Thornal	Science 2020	24.405

- [10] Krishnaswamy H et al. Thermal Science 2020 24:495. https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI190409397K
- [11] Lakshmi T et al. Biomedecine & Pharmacotherapie 2018108:838. [PMID: 30372895]
- [12] Maheswaran A et al. Int J App Pharm 2017 9:50.
- [13] Mallery SR et al. Cancer Research 2008 68:4945. [PMID: 18559542]
- [14] Malli Sureshbabu, et al. Case Reports in Dentistry 2019 [PMID: 30805222]
- [15] Mathew MG et al. Clinical Oral Investigations 2020 24:3275.[PMID: 31955271]
- [16] Mehta M et al. Chemico-Biological Interactions 2019 308: 206. [PMID: 31136735]
- [17] Mehta M et al. Inflammopharmacology 2020 28:795. [PMID: 32189104]
- [18] Murthy, V. S., and T. Sivannarayana Researchgate 2013 3:8211.
- [19] Muthukrishnan S et al. Thermal Science 2020 24: 499.
- [20] Narayanan V et al. World J Pharm Pharm Sci 2014 3:633.
- [21] Pavunraj M et al. Drug Invention Today 2019 11:142
- [22] Pradeep Christopher J et al. Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research 2018 20: 531. [PMID: 29624863]
- [23] Ponnanikajamideen M et al. Canadian Journal of Diabetes 2019 43:82. [PMID: 30413371]
- [24] Ramadurai N et al. Clinical Oral Investigations 2019 23:3543.[PMID: 30552590]

©Biomedical Informatics (2022)

- [25] Ramesh A et al. Journal of Periodontology 2018 89:1241. [PMID: 30044495]
- [26] Samuel MS et al. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules 2019 121:285. [PMID: 30267821]
- [27] Samuel SR et al. Journal of Public Health Dentistry 2020 80: 51. [PMID: 31710096]
- [28] Sathish T & Karthick S, Journal of Japan Research Institute for Advanced Copper-Base Materials and Technologies 2020 9:3481.
- [29] Sharma A et al. Bio Med Research International 2014. [PMID: 25050376]
- [30] Sharma P et al. Chemico-Biological Interactions 2019 309:108720. [PMID: 31226287]
- [31] Sridharan G et al. Journal of Oral Pathology & Medicine 2019 48:299. [PMID: 30714209]
- [32] Sujatha J et al. Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology 2018 11:439.
- [33] Thangavelu L et al. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 2020 113:104640. [PMID: 32169672]
- [34] Varghese SS et al. Journal of Dental Education 2019 83:445. [PMID: 30745352]
- [35] Venu H et al. Energy 2019 174:386.
- [36] Venu H et al. Renewable Energy 2019 140:245.
- [37] Vignesh R et al. Contemporary Clinical Dentistry 2019 10:397
- [38] Vijayakumar J et al. Journal of Maxillofacial and Oral Surgery 2019 18:139. [PMID: 30728705]
- [**39**] Vijayashree P *et al. Journal of Periodontology* 2019 **90**:1441. [PMID: 31257588]

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print) Bioinformation 18(7): 645-650 (2022)

©Biomedical Informatics (2022)

