Bioinformation 19(1): 39-42 (2023)

©Biomedical Informatics (2023)





www.bioinformation.net Volume 19(1)

Received January 1, 2023; Revised January 30, 2023; Accepted January 31, 2023, Published January 31, 2023

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at https://publicationethics.org/. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Edited by P Kangueane Citation: Elaimi *et al.* Bioinformation 19(1): 39-42 (2023)

Insights from the molecular docking analysis of GRP78 with natural compound inhibitors in the management of cancers

Aisha Elaimi^{1,2}, Hanadi M Baeissa³, Abdulrahman Almutairi⁴, Rashed Ahmed Alniwaider⁴, Abulkaliq Munawir Alanazi⁵, Ahmed Shaker Naga⁶, Kadhem Juma Alkhenaizi⁷ & Qamre Alam^{7*}

¹Department of Medical Laboratory Technology, College of Applied Medical Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; ²Centre of Innovation in Personalized Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; ³Department of Biochemistry, College of Science, University of Jeddah, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; ⁴Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, King Abdulaziz Medical City, Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs (MNGHA), P.O. Box 22490, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; ⁵Department of Respiratory Services, Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs (MNGHA), P.O. Box 22490, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; ⁶Clinical Pathology Department, ExpressMed Laboratories, Block, 359, Zinj, Kingdom of Bahrain; ⁷Molecular Genomics and Precision Medicine Department, ExpressMed laboratories, Block, 359, Zinj, Kingdom of Bahrain; *Corresponding author

Author contacts:

Aisha Elaimi - Email: aelaimi@kau.edu.sa Hanadi M Baeissa - Email: hmbaeissa@uj.edu.sa Abdulrahman Almutairi - Email: almuitiriab@ngha.med.sa



Research Article

DOI: 10.6026/97320630019039

Bioinformation 19(1): 39-42 (2023)

Rashed Ahmed Alniwaider - Email: alniwaiderra@ngha.med.sa Abulkaliq Munawir Alanazi - Email: alanaziab33@ngha.med.sa Ahmed Shaker Naga - Email: ahmed.naga@expressmedlabs.com Kadhem Juma Alkhenaizi - Email: kalkhenaizi@expressmedlabs.com Qamre Alam - Email: qamrealam@expressmedlabs.com, alamqa2022@gmail.com

Abstract:

Cancer is regarded as one of the world's most serious health issues. Glucose regulated protein (GRP78) exhibits a vital role in the proliferation, invasion, and metastasis of numerous cancer cells. Based on that, this study screened the 390 natural compounds targeting the GRP78 catalytic site. Among them, corynanthin, toyocamycin, and nanaomycin were found to strongly bind with GRP78 and possess the binding affinities of -8.4, -8.9, and -8.7 kcal/mol, respectively. In addition, these compounds interacted with key residues of GRP78 and have several amino acid residues interaction in common with the cocrystal ligand (ATP). Based on physicochemical parameters and ADME evaluations, these compounds were found to have good drug-like properties. These compounds could be used as possible GRP78 inhibitors in the fight against cancers. Albeit, exhaustive experimental studies would be required to confirm the findings described here.

Keywords: Cancer, GRP78, invasion, metastasis, and natural compounds

Background:

Cancer is regarded as one of the world's most serious health issues [1,2]. Cancer, in its most basic form, is defined as the uncontrolled division of aberrant cells. GRP78 exhibits a vital role in the proliferation, invasion, and metastasis of numerous cancer cells, including hepatoma cells [3], gastric cells [4], endometrial cells [5], lung cancer [6], prostate cancer [7], and breast cancer [8]. Glucose regulated protein (GRP78) is a mature endoplasmic reticulum (ER)resident chaperone that belongs to the vast chaperone family of heat-shock protein 70 molecules [9]. Cancer cells have multiple molecular chaperones on their surface, including GRP78, which is normally found in the ER. Because this display is unique to cancer cells, these chaperones are important targets for therapeutic development. overexpression can stimulate GRP78 the development of MMPs (matrix metalloproteinases), as well as pancreatic cancer metastasis and invasion, via activating the c-Jun N-terminal kinase and focal adhesion kinase pathways [10]. However, GRP78 deletion not only decreased MMP expression but also hindered the RhoA signaling pathway, preventing tumor invasion [11]. CRIPTO or GRP78 knockout can inhibit cancer cell invasion, hence lowering cell proliferation, migration, colony formation, and other activities [7]. All these studies showed that GRP78 is a therapeutic target in the management of cancer. Computer-assisted drug design (CADD) has emerged as a powerful tool for discovering prospective lead compounds and assisting in the development of new medications for a wide variety of ailments [12]. CADD can help researchers investigate compound-receptor interactions. A variety of CADD techniques are now being utilized to find possible lead compounds from massive compound libraries [13]. The aim of this work was to uncover new promising leads from the natural compounds database utilizing in silico methodologies that might be employed as GRP78 inhibitors to fight cancers.

Methodology: Protein preparation: The crystal structure of GRP78 ATPase domain in complex with ATP was obtained from PDB (PDB ID: 5F1X). The co-crystal ligand was removed and the protein was saved in .pdb format.

Compounds library preparation and virtual screening:

We selected a library of natural products compounds consisting of 390 compounds retrieved from The national cancer institute's (NCI) development therapeutics program (DTP), which offers resources and assistance to research communities around the world to accommodate the exploration and the creation of novel cancer therapeutics. All the compounds were minimized and prepared using Discovery Studio 2021. AutoDock Vina 1.1.2 [14] and AutoDock 4.2.5.1 [15] were used for virtual screening and in-depth molecular docking analysis. X, Y, and Z values were set as 17.63, - 5.61, and 4.94, respectively.



Figure 1: 2D structure and bioavailability radar of top 3 compounds.

Table 1 : List of top-screened compounds

Serial No.	Compound name	Binding affinity (kcal/mol)					
1	Toyocamycin	-8.9					
2	Nanaomycin	-8.7					
3	Corynanthin	-8.4					
4	Ehnahydrochloride	-8.4					
5	Medicarpin	-8.3					
6	Pentoxifyllin	-8.2					
7	Taxifolin	-8.1					
8	Coumestrol	-8.1					
9	Thaspine	-8					
10	Parthenicin	-7.9					
11	Illudine M	-7.9					
12	ATP (Co-crystal)	-7.9					
13	Triptolide	-7.6					

Bioinformation 19(1): 39-42 (2023)

Physiochemical and ADME properties:

Lipinski's rule was employed to filter the compound library, expelling compounds that did not meet the specified criteria; it is a method for assessing chemical compound drug-likeness and oral bioactivity. The regulations are designed to address ADME concerns [16]. The DataWarrior tool was utilized in order to make predictions regarding the safety and efficacy profiles of the top compounds that were screened [17].

Results and Discussion:

In this study, 390 natural compounds were screened against the active site of the GRP78. These compounds have already been listed as anticancer compounds in the NCI database. Thus, this study follows a drug repurposing approach to identify the new potential inhibitor targeting GRP78. The physicochemical and drug-likeness of 11 selected compounds were predicted, demonstrating their potential as lead molecules. All seven compounds were found to be the most acceptable because they exhibited no mutagenic, tumorigenic, reproductively effective, or irritant properties, as well as a significant drug score and drug-likeness (Table 2). Based on binding affinity (BA) values top 3 compounds (corynanthin, toyocamycin, and nanaomycin) were selected for in-depth studies. 2D structure and bioavailability radar of the top 3 compounds is demonstrated in figure 1 for a rapid appraisal of drug-likeness. Lipophilicity, size, polarity, solubility, flexibility, and saturation are the six physicochemical properties of the bioavailability radar [18]. These predictions demonstrated that all these compounds have the optimum values and are within the range, indicating that they are potential lead molecules.

Table 2: Physicochemical and drug likeness of screened compounds.

Corynanthin interacted with Asp231, Gly226, Leu225, Gly228, Gly227, Thr37, Thr229, Lys96, Thr38, Gly255, Glu256, Lys296, Glu293, Arg297, Ile61, Asp391, Gly364, Tyr39, Asp34, Gly36, Gly363, Asp224, and Val362 residues of GRP78. Gly226, Gly228, Gly227 and Thr38 residues of GRP78 H-bonded with corynanthin (Figure 2a). Toyocamycin interacted with Asp391, Pro390, Asp34, Val394, Gly363, Asp224, Val362, Asp231, Pro173, Glu201, Thr229, Lys96, Thr37, Gly36, Gly228, Gly227, Gly226, Thr38, Leu225, Tyr39, Gly364, and Ile61 residues of GRP78. Asp391, Asp224, Thr229, Thr37 and Gly227 residues of GRP78 H-bonded with toyocamycin (Figure 2b). Nanaomycin interacted with Asp231, Asp224, Glu201, Gly226, Pro173, Lys96, Asp34, Val394, Gly36, Gly363, Gly364, Ile61, Asp391, Tyr39, Thr38, Thr37, Gly227, Gly228, Thr229, and Phe230 residues of GRP78. Asp231, Asp224, Gly226, Asp34, Gly227, Thr38, Gly227, and Thr229 residues of GRP78 H-bonded with nanaomycin (Figure 2c). Thr37, Thr38, Glu293, Lys296, Ser300, Arg367 have been shown as the key ATP binding site interacting residues [19]. Interestingly, corynanthin, toyocamycin, and nanaomycin have been found to interact with these residues. BAs of corvnanthin-GRP78, toyocamycin-GRP78, and nanaomycin-GRP78 complexes were found to be -8.4, -8.9, and -8.7 kcal/mol, respectively (Table 1). The cocrystal ligand (ATP) interacted with Ser365, Gly364, Gly363, Leu225, Asp224, Asp34, Gly36, Val394, Thr229, Gly228, Thr37, Thr38, Gly227, Asp231, Glu201, Lys96, Pro173, Tyr39, Cys41, Asp391, Ile61, Glu293, Arg297, Lys296, and Gly225 residues of GRP78 (Figure 2d). Interestingly, several amino acid residues of GRP78 were common in interaction with the hit compounds (corynanthin, toyocamycin, and nanaomycin) and the ATP. In addition, the superimposition view showed that the binding patterns of corynanthin, toyocamycin, and nanaomycin in the GRP78 active site were similar to those of the ATP (Figure 3).

Compound Name	Mol. wt	cLogP	cLog S	H- Accep tors	H- Donors	Drug likenes s	Mut a geni c	Tumor i genic	Rep. Effective	Irritan t	Drug Score	Total Surfac e Area	Polar Surface Area
Toyocamycin	291.266	- 1.4642	-3.412	9	4	-5.4705	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	0.26508 4	204.12	150.44
Nanaomycin	302.281	1.0284	-3.032	6	2	2.1075	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	0.84211 6	209.91	100.9
Corynanthin	354.448	2.3512	-3.065	5	2	1.5035	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	0.76241 7	258.65	65.56
Ehnahydrochlorid e	277.371	2.2338	-3.073	6	2	-13.836	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	0.43968 2	226.53	89.85
Medicarpin	270.283	3.1657	-3.031	4	1	-0.8225	N	Ν	Н	Ν	0.33205 4	193.39	47.92
Pentoxifyllin	278.311	0.9925	-2.176	7	0	-1.5832	Н	Ν	Н	Н	0.11778	213.39	75.51
Taxifolin	304.253	0.9579	-1.945	7	5	0.44477	N	N	N	Ν	0.74582 5	204.02	127.45
Coumestrol	268.224	2.8407	-4.345	5	2	-0.4041	Н	Ν	Н	Ν	0.19238 3	184.26	79.9
Thaspine	369.372	2.5732	-4.132	7	0	2.7556	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	0.72471 7	268.38	74.3
Parthenicin	262.304	0.9307	-2.457	4	1	-5.759	N	N	N	Н	0.27969 4	184.46	63.6
Illudine M	248.321	1.6644	-2.145	3	2	1.4572	Ν	Ν	N	N	0.84563 3	171.37	57.53

N = No; H = High

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)

Bioinformation 19(1): 39-42 (2023)

a b GLU A-256 ILE A 61 GLU A-293 GLY A:364 TYR ASP A:231 LEU A:225 Corvnanthin Toyocamycin с d PHE A:230 Co-crystal (ATP) Nanaomycin

Figure 2: Interacting amino acid residues of a) corynanthin, b) toyocamycin, c) nanaomycin, and d) ATP with GRP78.



Figure 3: Superimposition view of corynanthin, toyocamycin, nanaomycin, and ATP in the catalytic site of GRP78. Corynanthin, toyocamycin, nanaomycin, and ATP are shown in red, dark yellow, yellow, and green color, respectively.

Conclusion:

Corynanthin, toyocamycin, and nanaomycin were found to tightly bind with GRP78, interacted with key residues of GRP78, and have several amino acid residues interaction in common with the cocrystal ligand (ATP). These compounds could be used as possible GRP78 inhibitors in the fight against cancers. Albeit, exhaustive experimental studies would be required to confirm the findings described here.



Figure 4: Residue interaction histograms

References:

- [1] Ma X, and Yu H. Yale J Biol Med 2006 79:85. [PMID: 17940618].
- [2] Karimabad MN et al. Mini Rev Med Chem 2019 **19**:540. [PMID: 30444199].
- [3] Yin Y et al. Cell Signal 2017 35:154. [PMID: 28389416].
- [4] Ogawa H et al. Cancer Biomark 2017 20:273. [PMID: 28854502]
- [5] Teng Y *et al. J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 2013 **39**:317. [PMID: 22889453].
- [6] Wang Q et al. Cancer Detect Prev 2005 29:544. [PMID: 16297569].
- [7] Zoni E *et al. Oncogene* 2017 **36**:4739. [PMID: 28394345].
- [8] López-Muñoz E et al. J Obstet Gynaecol 2020 40:419. [PMID: 31635499].
- [9] Rose MD et al. Cell 1989 57:1211. [PMID: 2661018].
- [10] Lu G et al. Front Med (Lausanne) 2020 7:351. [PMID: 32850882].
- [11] Yuan XP et al. Mol Cell Biochem 2015 398:55. [PMID: 25218495].
- [12] Scotti L and Scotti MT. Curr Top Med Chem 2015 15:2239. [PMID: 26059353].
- [13] Wilson BAP *et al. Nat Prod Rep* 2020 **37**:893-918. [PMID: 32186299].
- [14] Trott O and Olson AJ. J Comput Chem 2010 31:455. [PMID: 19499576].
- [15] Morris GM et al. J Comput Chem 2009 30:2785. [PMID: 19399780].
- [16] Lipinski CA *et al. Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2001 **46**:3. [PMID: 11259830].
- [17] López-López E *et al. Expert Opin Drug Discov* 2019 14:335. [PMID: 30806519].
- [18] Daina A et al. Sci Rep 2017 7:42717. [PMID: 28256516].
- [19] Hughes SJ et al. PLoS One 2016 11:e0154862. [PMID: 27144892].
 [20] Mujumdar N et al. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2014
- 306:1011. [PMID: 24699326].
 [21] Meng XY et al. Curr Comput Aided Drug Des 2011 7:146. [PMID:
- [21] Meng XY et al. Curr Comput Aided Drug Des 2011 7:146. [PMID: 21534921].

©Biomedical Informatics (2023)