



www.bioinformatics.net
Volume 20(4)

Editorial

Received May 1, 2024; Revised May 31, 2024; Accepted May 31, 2024, Published May 31, 2024

DOI: 10.6026/973206300200412

BIOINFORMATION Impact Factor (2023 release) is 1.9 with 2,198 citations from 2020 to 2022 across continents taken for IF calculations.

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at <https://publicationethics.org/>. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformatics and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required. Bioinformatics provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain.

Editorial by Francesco Chiappelli

Citation: Khakshooy & Chiappelli, Bioinformatics 20(5): 412-414 (2024)

Post-acute CoVid-19 syndrome (PACS) linked cardiovascular symptoms

Allen Khakshooy^{*,1} & Francesco Chiappelli^{2,3}

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Valley Hospital Medical Center, Las Vegas, NV 89106, USA; ²Dental Group of Sherman Oaks, Sherman Oaks, CA 91403, USA; ³UCLA Center for the Health Sciences, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA; *Corresponding author

Author e-mail contacts:

Allen Khakshooy - E-mail: akhakshooy@gmail.com

Francesco Chiappelli - E-mail: Chiappelli.research@gmail.com

Abstract:

Officials have marked the end of the CoVid-19 pandemic, yet we continue to learn more about the SARS-CoV2 virus itself and its lasting multidimensional effects after acute infection. Long COVID, or the post-acute CoVid-19 syndrome (PACS), manifests as a wide range of prolonged physical, mental, and emotional symptoms over at least 1 to 12 months after SARS-CoV2 infection. Here, we describe certain pervasive clinical consequences of PACS on the cardiovascular system, and insight on the potentially improved prognoses in heart failure patients.

Keywords: Post-acute CoVid-19 syndrome (PACS), long CoVid-19, cardiovascular disease, heart failure (HF), renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS), left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), angiotensin II receptor-neprilysin inhibitors (ARNi)

Background:

Colloquially referred to as Long COVID, the post-acute CoVid-19 syndrome (PACS) consists in a wide range of symptoms that occur at least 1 to 12 months after acute SARS-CoV2 infection [1, 2]. At the cellular level, the principal mediators of the immune system, CD4+/CD8+ T cells and B cells, act in harmony with cytokines to mount an appropriate immune response to viral infection. We have described the inability of prolonged CD8+ T cell activation in the face of high-grade chronic SARS-CoV2 infection, forcing a state of T cell exhaustion and translating to greater disease severity [3]. The constellation of prolonged symptoms in PACS can be any single or combination of physical, mental, and emotional pathologies, the most common symptoms including fatigue, dyspnea, myalgia (including chest pain), insomnia, and anosmia [1-4]. PACS also has serious pervasive clinical consequences on the cardiovascular health.

Cardiovascular Sequelae of Acute CoVid-19:

The clinical manifestations of an acute CoVid-19 pathology following SARS-CoV2 infection on the cardiovascular system are well documented. The symptomatology ranges from no symptoms to dyspnea, chest pain, and palpitations. The most common acute cardiac manifestations include myocardial infarction, peri-myocarditis, arrhythmias, Takatsubo or stress cardiomyopathy, both left and right heart failure, and cardiogenic shock. Diagnoses are supported by elevated troponin levels, ECGs, 24h-Holter monitor, TTE, angiogram, and even cardiac MRI [2, 4].

An even larger population of patients exhibiting cardiovascular *sequelae* present without apparent cardiac-related symptoms. Acute myocardial injury secondary to the severe disease burden brought about by the acute viral infection is often the only manifestation of cardiac pathology. In the absence of other signs, symptoms, or laboratory evidence, it can clinically present as an isolated elevation in plasma troponin levels, which are a biomarker of cardiomyocytes death and clinically diagnosed as a type II non-ST segment myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) [2-4].

Cardiovascular Sequelae of PACS:

The persistence of symptoms or development of new symptoms beyond acute infection begins to heighten suspicion of PACS in the appropriate clinical setting. There is strong support to the increased incidence of cardiovascular diseases in recovering patients 4 weeks prior, and up to 12 months after acute CoVid-19 [4, 5]. The risk of cardiovascular *sequelae* of PACS was evident

even in those without conventional risk factors and independent of traditional demographics [5]. An elevated risk is associated with age, sex, and other comorbidities [6]. Acute CoVid-19-recovering patients are at increased risk of ischemic heart disease, heart failure, arrhythmias, heart failure, and a wide array of hypercoagulable thrombotic disorders [5, 7].

Heart Failure and PACS:

In general, a wide variety of acute infections can decompensate preexisting heart failure, while also elucidating new-onset heart failure. Infectious etiologies may also be the primary cause of new-onset, acute heart failure. There are several viruses (e.g., Influenza virus A & B, Adenovirus) that are well known to be the primary cause of heart failure, initially beginning as an acute myocarditis, and progressing to a dilated cardiomyopathy of fast increasing severity. Acute myocarditis from SARS-CoV2 has also been a force to be reckoned with during the pandemic [8]. As a secondary cause, acute viral infections can act as the impetus to an already long history of risk factors that bring about heart failure. Indeed, CoVid-19 and PACS can pose a multitude of both direct and indirect factors leading to the new-onset or worsening of heart failure [9].

Independent of CoVid-19 or PACS, the treatment of heart failure is centered on two main principles:

1. Symptoms reduction
2. Underlying cardiac dysfunction responsible for said symptoms

The intricacies of ejection fraction - reduced vs preserved-and phase-systole vs diastole - will be saved for a more focused study elsewhere. Nevertheless, common to all forms of heart failure therapies is the reduction of symptoms caused volume overload (more specifically, fluid retention) and improving cardiac function, often measured as cardiac output. The current guideline-directed medical therapies for the treatment of heart failure (HF-GDMT) include a single and or combination of: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs), angiotensin II-receptor blockers (ARBs), neprilysin inhibitors, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRAs), beta blockers and sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors.

The sequence, optimization, duration, and overall management of these medications are under the purview of clinicians and cardiologists alike. Our focus will specifically be on the medications that act to antagonize the renin-angiotensin-

aldosterone system (RAAS), specifically ACEIs, ARBs, and neprilysin inhibitors. The RAAS is a complex system of enzymes and hormones that act synchronously through multiple organs within the vasculature to control blood volume and systemic vascular resistance. These actions translate to volume status and blood pressure, which are critical components of both the diagnosis and treatment of heart failure.

Use of RAAS Antagonists in PACS-related HF:

Early in the CoVid-19 pandemic, it was suggested that the use of RAAS antagonists may worsen acute SARS-CoV2 infection. As we have described elsewhere [1, 3], the spike protein of SARS-CoV2 is the principal ligand to ACE2 receptor which, along with other recently identified receptors such as CD209 [10] and RAGE [11], allows for receptor-mediated viral entry into cells. We also learned of the strong, positive correlation between receptor activation and disease severity [10-12]. Thus, as the most abundant of these receptors in our organs, ACE2 was well scrutinized. Specifically, the upstream inhibition by ACEIs and ARBs was thought to indirectly cause up regulation of the ACE2 receptors, thereby leading to a more profound systemic immune response. However, these patients were found to have no statistically significant difference in all-cause mortality in the face of CoViD-19, and the continued use of these inhibitors was encouraged [13].

Another indirect antagonist of the RAAS is neprilysin. Neprilysin is a group of enzymes that physiologically act to degrade natriuretic peptides released by the heart, such as atrial and brain natriuretic peptides (ANP and BNP, respectively). By inhibiting their degradation, the high levels of these peptides cause a physiologic natriuretic and subsequent release of excessive sodium and water. These actions serve to further improve heart failure symptoms of volume overload and reducing adverse remodeling of cardiac tissue. Currently, sacubitril is the only available neprilysin inhibitor available as a part of combination therapy with an ARB, collectively known as angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitors (ARNi). The ARNi combination yields improved prognosis of heart failure patients suffering from CoVid-19 [14], and presumably PACS, with the action of natriuretic peptides implicated as key players [15].

Protection from RAAS Antagonism:

As described above, there is a growing prevalence of alterations to cardiac tissue in recovering patients that requires continued monitoring [16]. Heart monitoring with transthoracic echocardiography has shown affected patients with evidence of both reduced systolic and diastolic functioning [17]. The

consensus regarding the increased incidence of heart failure as a long-term consequence of CoVid-19 is clear [5], and the role our advanced therapies play in the setting of these patients and their associated prognoses is still growing.

Conclusion:

There exists evidence to suggest significant improvement in heart failure symptoms and cardiac abnormalities, such low left ventricular ejection fraction, in recovering patients with the initiation of traditional HF-GDMT [14, 17]. A four month follow up of post-CoViD conditions found that less than 10% of patients were found to have a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of <50%, of which none had an LVEF of less than 40% [16]. Of note, many investigations into CoViD-related myocardial injury and cardiac alterations show minimal significance in the larger clinical picture [17-19]. Taken together, the early initiation and safe advancement of HF-GDMT seems to show better outcomes, decreased mortality, and improved prognosis of heart failure patients suffering from PACS.

References:

- [1] Chiappelli F & Fotovat L, *Bioinformation*. 2022 **18**:908. [PMID: 37654836]
- [2] Post-COVID Conditions: Information for Healthcare Providers. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2024. Available at: <https://cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/clinical-care/post-covid-conditions.html> (Accessed on April 27, 2024)
- [3] Chiappelli F *et al. Bioinformation*. 2020 **16**:219. [PMID: 32308263]
- [4] Montani D *et al. Eur Respir Rev*. 2022 **31**:210185. [PMID: 35264409]
- [5] Xie Y *et al. Nat Med*. 2022 **28**:583. [PMID: 35132265]
- [6] Antoncicchi V *et al. Int J Cardiol Cardiovasc Risk Prev*. 2024 **21**:200267. [PMID: 35264409]
- [7] Bashir H *et al. Heart Failure Clinics*. 2023 **19**:e1. [PMID: 37169437]
- [8] Shu H *et al. Cardiology plus*, 2023 **8**:72. [PMID: 37539019]
- [9] Honchar O & Ashcheulova T. *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2023 **10**:1250656. [PMID: 38075959]
- [10] Amraei R *et al. bioRxiv*. In print 2021 **7**:1156. [PMID: 32607506]
- [11] Angioni R *et al. Cell Rep Med*. 2023 **4**:101266. [PMID: 37944530]
- [12] Nagozir S *et al. Human Gene*. 2023 **1**:201215. [doi:10.1016/j.humgen.2023.201215]
- [13] Gnanenthiran S *et al. J Amrcn Hrt Assn Cardiovasc & Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2022 **11**:e026143. [PMID: 36000426]
- [14] Rubattu S *et al. Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine*. 2020 **7**:616564. [doi:10.3389/fcvm.2020.616564]
- [15] Rubattu S *et al., Front Physiol*. 2021 **12**:643721. [PMID: 34335287]
- [16] Morin L *et al. JAMA*. 2021 **325**:1525. [doi:10.1001/jama.2021.3331]
- [17] Gyöngyösi M *et al. Biomedicine*. 2023 **11**:3312. [PMID: 38137533]
- [18] Puntmann VO *et al. Nat Med*. 2022 **28**:2117. [PMID: 36064600]
- [19] Vidula M *et al. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2023 **16**:609. [PMID: 36752429]