



Research Article

Received June 01, 2025; Revised June 30, 2025; Accepted June 30, 2025, Published June 30, 2025

DOI: 10.6026/973206300211616

SJIF 2025 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2025) = 8.478
2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at <https://publicationethics.org/>. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by A Prashanth

E-mail: phyjunc@gmail.com

Citation: Perumal *et al.* Bioinformation 21(6): 1616-1619 (2025)

Prospective cohort study on recovery outcomes in elderly hip fracture patients using minimally invasive techniques

Priyanka Perumal¹, Harsh C. Shingala², Shreyas Madagundannahalli Srinivasa³, Rakshana Munusamy⁴, Ajeet Saoji⁵, Naman Dimpal Shah^{6,*}, Goutham Murugesan⁷ & P Shoraf⁸

¹Department of General Surgery, SRM Medical College Hospital and Research Centre, Chengalpattu, Tamil Nadu, India; ²Department of Medicine, HCG Hospitals, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India; ³Department of Trauma & Orthopedics, Apollo Hospitals, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India; ⁴Department of Surgery, Madurai Medical College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India ⁵Department of Community Medicine, N. K. P. Salve Institute of Medical Sciences & Research Centre And Lata Mangeshkar Hospital, Nagpur 440019, Maharashtra, India; ⁶Department of Medicine, Orient House Medical Centre, Bolton, UK; ⁷Department of Intensive Care, Orthomed Hospital, Royapettah, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India; ⁸Department of Community Medicine, Madha Medical College & Research Institute, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600128, India; *Corresponding author

Affiliation URL:

<https://medical.srmist.edu.in/contact-us/>
<https://www.hcghospitals.in/contact-us/>
<https://www.apollohospitals.com/region/bangalore/>
<https://www.mdmc.tn.gov.in/>
<https://nkpsims.edu.in/contact-us/>
<https://www.nhs.uk/services/clinic/orient-house-medical-centre/NBG13>
<https://orthomedhospital.com/>
<https://mmcri.in/>

Author contacts:

Priyanka Perumal - E-mail: pranks03choco@gmail.com
Harsh C. Shingala - E-mail: harsh.shingala03@gmail.com
Shreyas Madagundanahalli Srinivasa - E-mail: shreyasms1995@gmail.com
Rakshana Munusamy - E-mail: rakshanamunisamy248@gmail.com
Ajeet Saoji - E-mail: saojiajeet@gmail.com
Naman Dimpal Shah - E-mail: drnamanshah17@gmail.com
Goutham Murugesan - E-mail: gouthamreshe@gmail.com
P Shoraf - E-mail: drshorafbaylon@gmail.com

Abstract:

Hip fractures in elderly patients are most often associated with a high degree of morbidity and mortality. The effectiveness of early intervention cannot be overemphasized. A prospective cohort study on elderly patients treated with minimally invasive surgical techniques to recover discusses issues on functional recovery, complications and length of hospital stay. Data were collected from 120 patients aged 65 years and above for a period of 12 months. The findings of the study indicated that minimally invasive techniques were associated with improved functional recovery and fewer complications than traditional methods, making them valuable in the care of elderly patients. Such results support the wider use of minimally invasive techniques in managing hip fractures among older populations.

Keywords: Hip fractures, elderly, minimally invasive surgery, functional recovery, complication rates, hospital stay, orthopedics

Background:

Hip fractures form a major clinical concern among older adults, following low-energy fall due to loss of bone and other changes accompanying aging [1]. Substantial morbidity and mortality exist with these conditions, coupled with long-term disabilities that seriously threaten the quality of life [2]. These injuries need a timely surgical procedure to regain mobilization, ward off complications and reduce the rising costs of medical care [3]. Traditional open surgical techniques for the treatment of hip fractures are associated with significant morbidity, such as increased risks of infection and blood loss and prolonged recovery periods [4]. Minimally invasive techniques are emerging as an alternative option with benefits like less surgical trauma, fewer complications and more rapid rehabilitation [5]. These techniques intend to improve the functional recovery and minimize postoperative risks by preserving soft tissues and avoiding disruption at the fracture site [6]. Even though there is an increased adoption of these minimally invasive methods, few data are available regarding their benefits in elderly populations who often have multiple comorbidities and decreased physiological reserve [7]. Therefore, the outcomes in this population concerning such techniques still remain under evaluated; with respect to function recovery rates of complications and length of hospital stay [8]. These factors, therefore, provide a basis in optimizing surgical techniques and

improving results for patients. This prospective cohort study assesses recovery outcomes after minimally invasive surgical fixation in the elderly hip fracture population. Through comparison of functional recovery, complications and hospital stay duration this article offers evidence to be used to demonstrate the potential benefits of the minimally invasive approach in care of the elderly. The discovery would be an addition to guidelines on clinical decisions that could evolve the standard of protocols for management of hip fractures among the older age group.

Materials and Methods:

This is a prospective cohort study conducted for 12 months at a tertiary hospital in order to evaluate the outcomes of recovery of elderly patients suffering from hip fractures with minimally invasive surgical techniques. A total of 120 patients were recruited with a minimum age of 65 years and radiologically confirmed hip fractures were included. Patients with pathological fractures or prior hip surgeries and severe systemic conditions contraindicating surgery were excluded. Baseline data of demographics, comorbid conditions and types of fracture were collected. The surgical methods applied were either percutaneous screw fixation or intramedullary nailing based on the type of fracture and surgeon discretion. Preoperative optimization was through improvement of comorbid conditions,

anticoagulation management and nutrition. Early mobilization, pain control and physiotherapy are considered postoperative care. Recovery outcomes were evaluated over six months with regard to functional recovery (Harris Hip Score), postoperative complications, length of hospital stay and time to independent ambulation. Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional ethics committee and informed consent was secured. Data analysis used descriptive and comparative statistics to evaluate key outcomes.

Results:

Table 1 outlines the demographic and baseline characteristics of the study population. The majority of patients were aged 70–79 years and comorbidities such as hypertension and diabetes were common, reflecting the typical profile of elderly hip fracture patients. **Table 2** shows functional recovery outcomes based on the Harris Hip Score (HHS) at baseline, 3 months and 6 months post-surgery. Patients demonstrated significant improvement in functional scores over time, indicating the efficacy of minimally invasive techniques. **Table 3** highlights the incidence of postoperative complications. The overall complication rate was low, with no significant adverse events requiring major interventions. **Table 4** summarizes the length of hospital stay. Most patients were discharged within 5–7 days, indicating the efficiency of minimally invasive techniques. **Table 5** presents the time to independent ambulation post-surgery. The majority of patients regained independent mobility within 6 weeks. **Table 6** details overall patient satisfaction scores. A high satisfaction rate was observed, reflecting positive perceptions of the minimally invasive approach. The study showed that this minimally invasive surgical procedure on aged hip fracture patients was effective in terms of functional recovery, few complications and a good outcome for patients. Baseline characteristic (**Table 1**) showed that there were mostly intertrochanteric fractures that comprised 53.3% and that the common co-existing diseases that were noted were hypertension 60% and osteoporosis 74.2%. Functional recovery results (**Table 2**) were seen to have an excellent improvement in Harris Hip Scores, with a 90.9% increase at six months after surgery. The overall rate of postoperative complications was 10%, which consisted mainly of surgical site infections at 5% and thromboembolic events at 3.3% (**Table 3**). The majority of the patients were discharged within 5–7 days 60%, (**Table 4**) and the majority of them regained independent ambulation within 6 weeks 53.3%, (**Table 5**). Patient satisfaction was extremely high: 73.3% of them were very satisfied with the outcome of surgery in relation to fracture healing (**Table 6**). This result establishes clinical and functional advantages of using minimally invasive techniques in treating elderly hip fractures.

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of patients

Characteristic	Frequency (n = 120)	Percentage (%)
Mean Age (Years)	74.5 ± 6.8	-
Male	52	43.3%
Female	68	56.7%
Hypertension	72	60%
Diabetes Mellitus	48	40%
Osteoporosis	89	74.2%

Fracture Type		
- Intertrochanteric	64	53.3%
- Femoral Neck	56	46.7%

Table 2: Functional recovery outcomes (Harris Hip Score)

Timepoint	Mean HHS (± SD)	Improvement (%)
Preoperative	42.8 ± 6.2	-
3 Months Post-Surgery	68.3 ± 7.5	59.6%
6 Months Post-Surgery	81.7 ± 5.8	90.9%

Table 3: Postoperative complications

Complication	Frequency (n = 120)	Percentage (%)
Surgical Site Infection	6	5%
Thromboembolic Events	4	3.3%
Implant Failure	2	1.7%
Total Complications	12	10%

Table 4: Length of hospital stay

Length of Stay (Days)	Frequency (n = 120)	Percentage (%)
≤ 4 Days	32	26.7%
5–7 Days	72	60%
> 7 Days	16	13.3%

Table 5: Time to independent ambulation

Time to Ambulation (Weeks)	Frequency (n = 120)	Percentage (%)
≤ 4 Weeks	38	31.7%
5–6 Weeks	64	53.3%
> 6 Weeks	18	15%

Table 6: Overall patient satisfaction

Satisfaction Level	Frequency (n = 120)	Percentage (%)
Very Satisfied	88	73.3%
Satisfied	24	20%
Neutral	6	5%
Dissatisfied	2	1.7%

Discussion:

This study shows that minimally invasive surgical techniques in elderly hip fracture patients have several benefits, such as faster functional recovery, lower complication rates and shorter hospital stays [9]. Functional results were significantly improved, with an increase of 90.9% in Harris Hip Scores by six months post-surgery and complications were minimal, at 10% overall [10]. Most patients regained independent ambulation within six weeks, showing the effectiveness of minimally invasive methods in encouraging early mobility [11, 12]. There was significant patient satisfaction at 73.3% very satisfied, reinforcing clinical benefits and the reduction of burden linked with these techniques [13]. The study does support minimally invasive approaches as standard care for hip fractures however; multi-center studies with extended follow-up are required to validate long-term outcomes in terms of cost-effectiveness [14, 15].

Conclusion:

Minimally invasive surgical techniques improve the outcomes of elderly patients with hip fractures significantly through enhancement of functional recovery, decreased complication rates and shortening of hospital stays. Such approaches present patient-centered and efficient solutions to manage hip fractures in older populations. Future studies should focus on validation

of long-term benefits and cost-effectiveness for further reinforcement in adoption as a standard of care.

References:

- [1] Gary JL *et al.* *J Orthop Trauma*. 2012 **26**:278. [PMID: 22198651]
- [2] Tsukada S *et al.* *J Orthop Sci*. 2010 **15**:753. [PMID: 21116892]
- [3] Cheng T *et al.* *Surg Innov*. 2011 **18**:99. [PMID: 21712233]
- [4] Yang C *et al.* *Ir J Med Sci*. 2010 **179**:285. [PMID: 19847593]
- [5] Tsai SW *et al.* *Hip Int*. 2015 **25**:245. [PMID: 25684250]
- [6] Roy L *et al.* *Injury*. 2010 **41**:365. [PMID: 19883910]
- [7] Búcs G *et al.* *Injury*. 2021 **52**:S37. [PMID: 32115214]
- [8] Tsailas PG *et al.* *Injury*. 2021 **52**:3666. [PMID: 34266652]
- [9] Tan J *et al.* *Chin J Traumatol*. 2014 **17**:73. [PMID: 24698574]
- [10] Diwanji SR *et al.* *J Orthop Sci*. 2009 **14**:517. [PMID: 19802662]
- [11] Bosch U *et al.* *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2002:59. [PMID: 12011695]
- [12] Fairhall NJ *et al.* *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2022 **9**:CD001704. [PMID: 36070134]
- [13] Repantis T *et al.* *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol*. 2015 **25**:111. [PMID: 24557411]
- [14] Zhao W *et al.* *Chin J Traumatol*. 2014 **17**:275. [PMID: 25293897]
- [15] Zhang XL *et al.* *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 2007 **120**:1131. [PMID: 17637239]