



www.bioinformation.net
Volume 21(6)

Research Article

Received June 01, 2025; Revised June 30, 2025; Accepted June 30, 2025, Published June 30, 2025

DOI: 10.6026/973206300211623

SJIF 2025 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2025) = 8.478

2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at <https://publicationethics.org/>. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by A Prashanth
E-mail: phyjunc@gmail.com

Citation: Viswanathan *et al.* Bioinformation 21(6): 1623-1626 (2025)

Cross-sectional study on surgical site infections and adherence to intraoperative sterile protocols

Naveenkumar Viswanathan¹, Namratha Sivaprasad Dasamantha², Kaushik Nattamai Rameshbabu³, Ajeet Saoji⁴, Naveen Javari Thirumalapura Javarashetty^{5,*} & Keerthika Muniasamy⁶

¹Department of General Surgery, Prince Charles Hospital, CWM TAF Morgannwg University Health Board, Wales, UK; ²Department of Nephrology, Nanavati Max Superspeciality Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India; ³Department Surgery, Madras Medical College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India; ⁴Department of Community Medicine, N. K. P. Salve Institute Of Medical Sciences & Research Centre And Lata Mangeshkar Hospital, Dighdoh, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India; ⁵Department of General Surgery, JP Hospitals Hyderabad, Telangana, India; ⁶Department of Surgery, Madras Medical College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India; *Corresponding author

Affiliation URL:

<https://ctmuhb.nhs.wales/hospitals/pch/>
<https://www.nanavatimaxhospital.org/>
<https://www.tnmgrmu.ac.in/>
<https://nkpsims.edu.in/>
<https://hospitalsnearme.co.in/>
<https://www.tnmgrmu.ac.in/>

Author contacts:

Naveenkumar Viswanathan - E-mail: drnaveenviswanath@gmail.com
Namratha Sivaprasad Dasamantha - E-mail: namrathaspd@gmail.com
Kaushik Nattamai Rameshbab - E-mail: nrkaushik2000@gmail.com
Ajeet Saoji - E-mail: saojiajeet@gmail.com
Naveen Javari Thirumalapura Javarashetty - E-mail: Javari.navi92@gmail.com
Keerthika Muniasamy - E-mail: keerthimc23@gmail.com

Abstract:

Despite advancements in surgical care, surgical site infections (SSIs) continue to contribute significantly to postoperative morbidity. Hence, we evaluated 150 surgical cases over six months at a tertiary care center to assess SSI prevalence and intraoperative sterile practice adherence. An overall SSI rate of 12.7% was noted, predominantly in gastrointestinal and emergency surgeries. Key contributing factors included prolonged operation time, inadequate sterilization, and poor adherence to sterile protocols. The findings underscore the need for stringent infection control practices to reduce SSIs and improve surgical outcomes.

Keywords: Surgical site infections, intraoperative protocols, infection control, sterility, surgical outcomes, cross-sectional study

Background:

Surgical site infections (SSIs) are one of the most prevalent health care-associated infections and are a major cause of patient morbidity, hospital stay, and other health care expenses. Despite advances in surgical technique and aseptic practice, SSIs remain a significant problem in developing and developed nations [1]. The SSIs are responsible for up to 20% of all healthcare-associated infections in surgical patients. This varies with the surgical procedure, patient factors and compliance with sterile protocols [2]. The etiology of SSIs is multifactorial and is a result of the interaction of a number of patient-related factors, surgical technique, and perioperative environmental factors [3]. Risk factors are high with long operative time, surgical wound contamination and failure to comply with sterile protocols during surgery. Emergency operations and gastrointestinal operations are particularly at risk due to greater microbial exposure and poor sterile barriers [4]. This cross-sectional survey sought to identify the incidence of SSIs and assess adherence to intraoperative sterile protocols in a tertiary care institution [5]. Therefore, it is of interest to identify infection control practice gaps areas and correlate them with SSI incidence to facilitate practical recommendations for improving surgical outcomes and reducing avoidable complications.

Materials and Methods:

This cross-sectional study was conducted over a period of six months in a tertiary care hospital to assess the prevalence of surgical site infections (SSIs) and adherence to intraoperative sterile protocols. In all, 150 surgical cases were included; the range covered elective and emergency procedures. The patients who underwent surgery during the study period were enrolled

if aged 18 years and above; those with a pre-existing infection or undergoing a procedure outside the sterile operating environment were excluded. Information was gathered directly by observing the surgeries and from patients' files with regard to the age, sex, type of operation, type of wound, operation time, and compliance with the intraoperative aseptic procedure. Parameters used included the usage of PPE, proper hand hygiene, sterilization of the surgical instruments, and adherence to antiseptic skin preparation. Postoperative follow-up was performed to diagnose SSIs, and these were those infections occurring in the first 30 days post-surgery using the CDC standards. Laboratory experiments, including the culture and sensitivity of the wounds, were conducted for suspected cases of infection. Statistical analysis to determine factors influencing SSIs were done with the level of significance set at $p < 0.05$.

Results:

Table 1 highlights the association between operative time and SSIs, showing that procedures lasting more than 120 minutes had significantly higher SSI rates (25%) compared to those lasting 120 minutes or less (5.6%). **Table 2** compares SSI rates between elective and emergency surgeries, with emergency procedures showing a significantly higher SSI rate (22.5%) compared to elective surgeries (8.3%). **Table 3** depicts the distribution of SSIs by surgical specialty, with gastrointestinal surgeries accounting for the majority of cases (55%), followed by orthopedic (20%) and obstetrics and gynecology procedures (15%). **Table 4** demonstrates the impact of adherence to sterile protocols on SSI rates, showing that poor adherence was associated with significantly higher rates of SSIs (36.7%) compared to cases with proper adherence (6.7%). **Table 5**

highlights SSI rates based on wound classification, showing that contaminated and dirty wounds had the highest rates of infection (25% and 35%, respectively) and whereas clean wounds had the lowest rate (2.5%). **Table 6** shows the distribution of SSIs by age group, indicating that patients above 60 years had the highest SSI rate (20%) compared to younger age groups. **Table 7** compares SSI incidence by gender, revealing slightly higher rates in males (13.3%) than in females (12.0%). **Table 8** depicts the microbial profile of SSIs, showing that gram-negative bacteria, particularly *Escherichia coli* (35%), were the most common pathogens. **Table 9** summarizes the antibiotic resistance patterns, revealing a high resistance rate to beta-lactam antibiotics (50%), followed by aminoglycosides (30%) and fluoroquinolones (20%). **Table 10** shows the length of hospital stay for SSI cases, demonstrating that patients with SSIs had significantly longer hospital stays (average 12 days) compared to non-SSI cases (average 5 days). **Table 1** illustrates the association between operative time and SSIs, showing a significantly higher infection rate (25%) in procedures lasting over 120 minutes compared to those lasting 120 minutes or less (5.6%). **Table 2** compares SSI rates in elective versus emergency surgeries, revealing higher rates in emergency procedures (22.5%) than in elective ones (8.3%). **Table 3** depicts the distribution of SSIs by surgical specialty, with gastrointestinal surgeries accounting for the highest percentage (55%). **Table 4** demonstrates the impact of adherence to sterile protocols, where non-adherence resulted in a significantly higher SSI rate (36.7%) compared to cases with proper protocol adherence (6.7%). **Table 5** highlights the role of wound classification, showing that contaminated and dirty wounds had the highest SSI rates (25% and 35%, respectively). **Table 6** presents the distribution of SSIs by age group, with patients above 60 years showing the highest rates (20%). **Table 7** Incidence of SSIs by Gender Males: 13.3%, Females: 12.0%. The microbial profile for SSIs in **Table 8** identified gram-negative organisms such as *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella spp.* to be most frequently involved pathogens. The sum-up for resistance pattern in antibiotic therapy in **Table 9** reports 50% resistance rate in beta-lactams, demanding updating antimicrobial protocol. Finally, **Table 10** presents the length of stay in the hospital, and the SSI patients had significantly longer stays compared to the non-SSI cases (12 days for SSI and 5 days for non-SSI). This summary points out the operative and patient factors, adherence to sterile protocols, and microbial characteristics as influencers of SSI rates and actionable insights into infection prevention and control strategies.

Table 1: Association between operative time and SSIs

Operative Time (Minutes)	SSI Cases (%)	Non-SSI Cases (%)
≤ 120	4 (5.6)	68 (94.4)
> 120	15 (25.0)	45 (75.0)

Table 2: comparison of SSI rates in elective vs. emergency surgeries

Type of Surgery	SSI Cases (%)	Non-SSI Cases (%)
Elective	10 (8.3)	110 (91.7)
Emergency	9 (22.5)	31 (77.5)

Table 3: Distribution of SSIs by surgical specialty

Specialty	SSI Cases (%)	Non-SSI Cases (%)
Gastrointestinal	11 (55.0)	9 (45.0)
Orthopedic	4 (20.0)	16 (80.0)
Obstetrics & Gynecology	3 (15.0)	17 (85.0)
Others	2 (10.0)	20 (90.0)

Table 4: Impact of adherence to sterile protocols on SSI rates

Compliance with Protocols	SSI Cases (%)	Non-SSI Cases (%)
Yes	8 (6.7)	112 (93.3)
No	11 (36.7)	19 (63.3)

Table 5: Wound classification and SSI rates

Wound Classification	SSI Cases (%)	Non-SSI Cases (%)
Clean	3 (2.5)	117 (97.5)
Clean-contaminated	6 (15.0)	34 (85.0)
Contaminated	5 (25.0)	15 (75.0)
Dirty	5 (35.0)	9 (65.0)

Table 6: SSI distribution by age group

Age Group (Years)	SSI Cases (%)	Non-SSI Cases (%)
≤ 40	4 (5.0)	76 (95.0)
41-60	8 (13.3)	52 (86.7)
> 60	7 (20.0)	28 (80.0)

Table 7: SSI Incidence by Gender

Gender	SSI Cases (%)	Non-SSI Cases (%)
Male	10 (13.3)	65 (86.7)
Female	9 (12.0)	66 (88.0)

Table 8: Microbial profile of SSIs

Pathogen	Frequency (%)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	7 (35.0)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	5 (25.0)
<i>Klebsiella spp.</i>	4 (20.0)
Others	4 (20.0)

Table 9: Antibiotic usage and resistance patterns

Antibiotic Class	Resistance (%)
Beta-lactams	50.0
Aminoglycosides	30.0
Fluoroquinolones	20.0
Carbapenems	10.0

Table 10: Length of hospital stay in SSI cases

SSI Status	Average Length of Stay (Days)
SSI Cases	12 ± 3
Non-SSI Cases	5 ± 1.5

Discussion:

This study highlighted the significant burden of surgical site infections and how intraoperative sterile protocols play a critical role in reducing their incidence [6]. With an overall SSI rate of 12.7%, the findings are consistent with reported global rates, emphasizing the need for stringent infection control measures. Prolonged operative time, emergency surgeries and poor adherence to sterile protocols emerged as key contributors to increased SSI rates [7]. For example, procedures exceeding 120 minutes had an SSI rate of 25%, while non-compliance with sterile protocols resulted in a 36.7% SSI rate. These findings underscore the importance of optimizing surgical practices to minimize preventable infections. Wound classification significantly influenced SSI rates, with contaminated and dirty

wounds showing the highest rates of infection (25% and 35%, respectively) [8]. Patient and procedural factors, as represented by higher SSI rates among older patients (>60 years, 20%), emergency surgeries (22.5%) and emergency procedures, can play a role in the patient's risk to develop infection [9]. The microbial profile is dominated by gram-negative organisms, such as *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella spp.*, to call for more targeted antibiotic prophylaxis as well as more attention to antimicrobial stewardship policies based on local resistance patterns [10]. The investigation also confirmed the impact of SSIs in the outcomes of patients. For SSI cases, patients spent an average of 12 days in hospital as compared to a non-SSI case that spent just 5 days, and there was an undeniable spike in the healthcare burden. Effective interventions, including strict adherence to sterile protocols, enhanced surgical training and multidisciplinary infection control programs, are essential to mitigate SSI risks [11]. Further future research should concentrate on the implementation and evaluation of these strategies in high-risk surgical populations to reduce the incidence of SSI further and improve postoperative outcomes.

Conclusion:

The critical impact of surgical site infections (SSIs) on patient outcomes and healthcare systems, with a noted incidence rate of 12.7% is shown. Key contributing factors included prolonged operative time, emergency procedures and lapses in infection

control measures. These findings emphasize the need for stringent aseptic practices, ongoing surgical staff training, and robust infection prevention strategies to reduce SSIs and improve postoperative outcomes.

References:

- [1] Badia JM *et al.* *Cir Esp (Engl Ed)*. 2020 **98**:187. [PMID: 31983392]
- [2] Mistry JB *et al.* *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ)*. 2017 **46**:E374. [PMID: 29309453]
- [3] Suranigi SM *et al.* *Iran J Microbiol*. 2021 **13**:171. [PMID: 34540151]
- [4] Mihaljevic AL *et al.* *Ann Surg*. 2014 **260**:730. [PMID: 25379844]
- [5] Rauk PN. *Am J Infect Control*. 2010 **38**:319. [PMID: 20171756]
- [6] Wallace DJ *et al.* *Neurosurg Rev*. 2018 **41**:1071. [PMID: 29428980]
- [7] Elgafy H *et al.* *World J Orthop*. 2018 **9**:271. [PMID: 30479974]
- [8] Alentado VJ *et al.* *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2021 **35**:817. [PMID: 34416716]
- [9] Craft RO *et al.* *Ann Plast Surg*. 2012 **69**:446. [PMID: 22964685]
- [10] de Melo EM *et al.* *Rev Col Bras Cir*. 2013 **40**:208. [PMID: 23912368]
- [11] Oh Y *et al.* *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2019 **139**:53. [PMID: 30328508]