



www.bioinformation.net
Volume 21(7)



Review

Received July 1, 2025; Revised July 31, 2025; Accepted July 31, 2025, Published July 31, 2025

DOI: 10.6026/973206300211827

SJIF 2025 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2025) = 8.478

2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at <https://publicationethics.org/>. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by P Kanguane

Citation: Geetha *et al.* Bioinformation 21(7): 1827-1830 (2025)

Views on fall prevention among multi-disciplinary healthcare workers

D. Geetha*, P Vijayasamundeeswari & M. Angel Preethi

Sri Ramachandra Faculty of Nursing, SRIHER (DU), Porur, Chennai 116, India; *Corresponding author

Affiliation URL:

<https://www.sriramachandra.edu.in/>

Author contacts:

D. Geetha - E-mail: geetha.d@sriramachandra.edu.in; Phone: +91 9962512288.

P Vijayasamundeeswari - E-mail: Vijayasamundeeswari.p@sriramachandra.edu.in; Phone: +9941113984

M. Angel Preethi - E-mail: angelpreethi.m@gmail.com; Phone: +9566772447

Abstract:

Falls are a common and inevitable occurrence in healthcare settings. Nurses are often questioned about these incidents and are responsible for reporting them. When the multidisciplinary team is actively involved, it becomes easier to reduce fall rates in healthcare settings. Therefore, it is of interest to assess healthcare professionals' perceptions of fall prevention and to develop an informative video on the subject. A descriptive design was adopted; the target population was multidisciplinary Health Professionals working at teaching hospitals, South India. The stratified sampling technique was used to recruit the samples in the study, the calculated ratio was 0.414. Results show that, consistent monitoring, education and evaluation of fall prevention practices are essential for reducing the occurrence of falls among vulnerable individuals in hospitals.

Keywords: Fall, healthcare professionals, inpatient, multidisciplinary, prevention.

Background:

Patient safety has emerged as a pressing global concern, requiring competence across diverse domains such as human factors and systems engineering. International health authorities have increasingly emphasized system-level strategies to address safety challenges, recognizing that well-designed healthcare systems are crucial to delivering safe and effective care [1]. Among the various patient safety issues, falls represent a significant public health burden worldwide. Each year, approximately 684,000 individuals die from falls, ranking them as the second most common cause of accidental injury-related deaths after road accidents [2]. Studies indicate that falls in hospital settings occur at a rate of 3–5 per 1000 patient days. The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) estimates that annually, between 700,000 and 1 million patients experience a fall while hospitalized [3]. In NHS hospitals, falls constitute the most frequently reported safety incidents, with physical injuries resulting in 30–50% of cases and fractures occurring in 1–3% [4]. Falls not only lead to physical harm but also have psychological repercussions, such as reduced confidence, delayed rehabilitation and extended hospital stays. Healthcare providers play a pivotal role in patient safety by assessing and managing fall risks. Nurses, in particular, are actively engaged in fall prevention as part of routine patient care—conducting regular fall assessments, identifying at-risk patients and marking beds with fall alerts. The consequences of falls range from minor skin injuries to severe outcomes like head trauma, bone fractures and even mortality [5]. In a study conducted at a large urban academic hospital, involving 1,300 beds over 13 weeks, 183 inpatient falls were recorded. The average age of those who fell was 63.4 years. Most falls occurred unassisted (79%); within patient rooms (85%), predominantly during evening or night shifts (59%) and often while the patient was walking (19%) [6]. Collaborative efforts between patients and healthcare teams have shown promise in reducing fall incidents and related injuries [7]. Therefore, it is of interest to explore the perspectives of multidisciplinary healthcare professionals regarding fall prevention practices in selected hospitals.

Methodology:

This was a quantitative study examining perspective on fall prevention among multidisciplinary health professionals. After approval by the institutional review boards and the ethical committee the perspective questionnaire was prepared. The study adopted stratified sampling techniques where the health

care professionals were stratified into subgroups to achieve the sample size. Demographic data that were collected included years of experience, educational level, unit worked and previous knowledge on fall prevention in hospital. Fall Prevention Knowledge was measured by a questionnaire developed by the investigator based on the literature review. The Fall Prevention comprehensive perspective Questionnaire consisted of the fall risk assessment, risk factors, complication after fall and post fall measures. The questionnaire consisted of 35 items which was applicable for the registered nurses and 27 items for the other healthcare personnel. Using two different links for the health care professionals, the data was collected. The content validity of the questionnaire was confirmed by experts in the field of quality improvement, fall nurse and academician. The results showed that the test-retest reliability of the questionnaire was $r = 0.98$. Fall Preventive competency was measured by the questionnaire developed by the investigator based on the literature review [8]. The Fall Preventive competency perspective Questionnaire consisted of the behaviour adhered to prevent fall in hospital [9]. The tool consisted of 18 items rated on 5-point likert scale for both the registered nurses and other healthcare professionals circulated through Google form. The results showed that the test-retest reliability of the questionnaire was $r = 0.90$. The comprehensive and competency perspective questionnaires were circulated using the Google form. Each participant was given 15–20 minutes under the guidance of the investigator. The data was collected for a four-week period of time. The video was developed in order to enhance the fall prevention activities among patients [10].

Results & Discussion:

A total of 425 samples were collected out of which five found to be incomplete hence, the data analysis was done with 420 samples. Out of 420 registered nurses, 228 (86%) were female, 182 (68%) were between the ages of 21 and 30 and 112 (42%) had 2 to 5 years of experience. In addition, 16 (61%) had a bachelor's degree, 233 (89%) had knowledge of fall prevention in hospital settings and 31 (11%) did not. The data came from health professionals, mostly women (58%). with the remaining 65% (42%) being male, revealed the following: 114 (73%) were between the ages of 21 and 30. In terms of experience, 89 (57%) were between 2 and 5 years old and 60 (38%) were under one year of age. The mean and standard deviation of the level of fall prevention knowledge of hospital-registered nurses were 22,958, with a standard deviation of 4,068. In contrast, for other

healthcare professionals, the mean score was 17,173 with a standard deviation of 4.209 and the standard deviation and mean of the practice of hospital-certified nurses in fall prevention falls, showing a mean score of 69.556 with a standard deviation of 4,209. For other healthcare professionals, the mean score was 61,442 with a standard deviation of 9.314. **Table 1** Knowledge mean score of registered nurses was 22.958 with a standard deviation of 4.068. On the other hand, for other health care professionals, the mean score is 17.173 with a standard deviation of 4.209. **Table 2** shows 157(59%) registered nurses, 13(13%) residents/duty doctors, 5(20%) allied healthcare professionals, 3(14%) other paramedical hospital staff and 4

(31%) nursing assistants demonstrated satisfactory Perspective. **Table 3** presents the distribution of Competency levels among healthcare professionals 139 (53%) registered nurses, 81 (84%) resident/duty doctors, 18 (7%) allied health professionals, 15 (71%) other paramedical healthcare professionals and 69 (9%) nursing assistants demonstrated moderately satisfactory Competency. In the category of satisfactory Competency, 122 (46%) were registered nurses, 15 (15%) were resident/duty doctors, 5(20%) were allied health professionals, 6 (29%) were other paramedical healthcare professionals and 4 (31%) were nursing assistants.

Table 1: Mean and standard deviation on Level of fall prevention comprehensive and competency perspective among Healthcare professionals (N=420)

Variable	Registered nurses		Other health care professionals	
	n=264	n=156		
	M	SD	M	SD
Comprehensive Perspective	22.96	4.062	17.17	4.21
Competency Perspective	69.56	10.65	61.44	9.31

Table 2: Frequency and percentage distribution of level of comprehensive perspective among multi professional healthcare workers

Level of Comprehensive Perspective	Registered nurse		Resident/ duty doctors		Allied health care professionals		Other paramedical		Nursing assistant	
n=264	%		n=97	%	n=24	%	n=22	%	n=13	%
Inadequate Perspective	15	6	17	18	6	24	5	24	3	23
Moderately adequate Perspective	92	35	67	69	14	56	13	62	6	46
Adequate Perspective	157	59	13	13	5	20	3	14	4	31

Table 3: Frequency and percentage distribution of level of competency perspective among multi professional healthcare workers

Level of Competency	Registered nurse	Resident / duty doctors		Allied healthcare professionals.		Other paramedical health care professionals.		Nursing assistant		
	n=264	%	n=97	%	n=24	%	n=22	%	n=13	%
Unsatisfactory		1	1	1	2	8	0	0	0	0
Moderately Satisfactory	139	53	81	84	18	7	15	71	9	69
Satisfactory	122	46	15	15	5	20	6	29	4	31

Table 4: Details of various healthcare professionals in the hospital and the no. of representatives as sample

Department	Total no. of Staff	Stratified Samples
Physician	250	103
Nursing staff	633	265
Allied Health sciences and paramedics	110	45
Nursing assistants	32	13
Total	1025	425

The present study revealed that fall incidents were observed by the study participants across different age groups, with 7% occurring among individuals aged 19 to 35 years and another 7% in those aged 56 years and above. When analyzed by gender, 10% of the falls involved female patients, while a higher proportion (19%) involved male patients. The most common locations for falls were restrooms (14.4%), followed by bedsides (13.6%) and corridors (2%) [11]. **Table 4** shows the method of selecting the samples from population by stratified method. The overall Comprehensive mean score for the registered nurses on fall prevention in hospital was 22.958 (out of 35). The level of Comprehensive perspective among registered nurses showed that 15 (6%) had inadequate, 92 (35%) had moderate and 157 (59%) had adequate perspective on fall prevention in hospital (**Table 3**). The overall mean score for other health care professionals was 17.173 (out of 27). An adequate

Comprehensive perspective was evident among the other health care professionals was 17 (18%) in residents and duty doctors, 6 (24%) in allied health workers, 5(24%) in other paramedical workers and 3 (23%) in nursing assistants. The moderate perspective among other health care professionals was 67 (69%) of residents/duty doctors, 14 (56%) of allied health workers, 13 (62%) in other paramedical worker and 6 (46%) nursing assistants and an inadequate comprehensive perspective was prominent among 13 (13%) residents/duty doctors, 5 (20%) allied health workers, 3 (14%) other paramedical hospitals and in 4 (31%) nursing assistants. A similar study showed the knowledge, attitude and fall prevention strategies all received fairly satisfactory scores. In the correlation between the knowledge and attitudes for falls and fall prevention activities, knowledge and attitudes about falls (r = 0.45, p < 0.001), knowledge and fall prevention activities (r = 0.27, p < 0.002) and

fall attitude and preventive activities ($r = 0.42$, $p < 0.001$) showed statistically significant positive correlation [12]. Another study results showed that 6% of participants in this research had adequate knowledge about falls, 57.2% had favourable attitudes towards falls and 38.3% had adequate awareness of fall risk factors. Years of nursing experience are statistically significantly correlated with fall knowledge level. Age, education, nursing experience and prior patient falls all demonstrated statistically significant relationships with the participant's attitude towards falling. The relationship between fall awareness, fall-proneness and understanding of risk factors for falls was extremely significant. The vast majority of participants voiced support for the need for fall prevention education [13]. The study showing that by the end of the second PDSA cycle, the fall rate had decreased by 28% from the starting point. There were 99 admissions in total in September and 34 (34.3%) of those patients were classified as having a high fall risk. The reported fall rate was 3.8 per 1000 patient days. A third PDSA cycle was scheduled for October due to an increase in the fall rate in September. A total of 101 patients were admitted in total in October and 35 of them (34.6%) were classified as having a high risk of falling. In October, there was no fall occurrence. By the time the project was complete, they had nearly 90 days without a fall. When compared to the baseline, the average fall rate over the QI period (July to December 2021) was 1.56 per 1000 patient days. The results show that with increased practice on fall prevention methods the rates of fall had decreased [14]. Hospitals employ various measures like regular check-ins, bed alarms and mobility aids to prevent falls [15], but these precautions cannot entirely eliminate the inherent unpredictability of such incidents. Nonetheless, healthcare facilities make dedicated efforts to establish safe environments and educate patients and their families about fall risks, empowering them to play an active role in preventing falls [16]. Most of the literature shows that nurses had adequate knowledge and practice on fall prevention. Other health care professionals had a good degree of knowledge on fall prevention. The present study's video aimed at preventing falls was developed to validate by a panel of six experts. The assessment of content validity index of 0.83 with a universal agreement score of 0.85. For the purpose of establishing a valid instrument, it is generally recommended that the Content Validity Index (CVI) exceeds 0.80. The findings surpassed this threshold, providing evidence that the content presented in the educational video met the criteria of acceptability. These experts proposed interventions and precautionary measures to mitigate risks for patients dealing with delirium or dementia. Additionally, the experts suggested a reduction in the duration of the video.

Conclusion:

Most nurses demonstrated sufficient knowledge and moderately satisfactory practice regarding fall prevention, while other healthcare professionals showed moderately sufficient knowledge and practice. Consistent monitoring, education and evaluation of

fall prevention practices are essential for reducing the occurrence of falls among vulnerable individuals in hospitals. Thus, the significant responsibility of healthcare professionals and nurses in ensuring patient safety is needed.

Compliance with ethical standards Ethical statement:

The study was conducted after approval with reference of CSP/22/JUL/114/437 from the Institutional Ethics Committee. The participants were explained clearly about the purpose of the study on Fall prevention perspectives. The written informed consent was obtained from all the participants before conducting the study. Confidentiality of responses was assured and maintained throughout the study.

Conflict of Interest:

The authors do not have anything to disclose and declare no conflicts of interest.

Financial support and sponsorship: This project was self-funded

Acknowledgements:

The authors acknowledge the support and help provided by the Institution.

References:

- [1] Carayon P & Wood KE. *Stud Health Technol Inform.* 2010 **153**:23. [PMID: 20543237].
- [2] Burzyńska M *et al.* *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2023 **20**:5073. [PMID: 36981982].
- [3] LeLaurin JH & Shorr RI. *Clin Geriatr Med.* 2019 **35**:273. [PMID: 30929888].
- [4] Morris R & O'Riordan S. *Clin Med (Lond).* 2017 **17**:360. PMID: 28765417.
- [5] Cuttler SJ *et al.* *BMJ Open Qual.* 2017 **6**:e000119. [PMID: 29450285].
- [6] Cho M & Jang SJ. *BMC Nurs.* 2020 **19**:108. [DOI: 10.1186/s12912-020-00507-w].
- [7] Dykes PC *et al.* *JAMA Netw Open.* 2020 **3**:e2025889. [DOI: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.25889].
- [8] <https://www.performancehealth.co.uk/articles/fall-prevention-strategies-in-hospitals>
- [9] Chinh NTM *et al.* *Syst Rev Pharm.* 2021 **12**:308. [DOI: 10.31838/srp.2021.3.48].
- [10] Campos DC *et al.* *TextoContextoEnferm.* 2021 **30**:e20190238. [DOI: 10.1590/1980-265X-TCE-2019-0238].
- [11] Hitcho EB *et al.* *J Gen Intern Med.* 2004 **19**:732. [PMID: 15209586].
- [12] Han Y *et al.* *Open J Nurs.* 2020 **10**:676. [DOI: 10.4236/ojn.2020.107048].
- [13] James KM *et al.* *AIMS Med Sci.* 2022 **9**:304. [DOI: 10.3934/medsci.2022013].
- [14] Chhetri K *et al.* *BMJ Open Qual.* 2022 **11**:e001892. [DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-001892].
- [15] Turner K *et al.* *J Patient Saf.* 2022 **18**:e236. [PMID: 32732628]
- [16] Heng Het *et al.* *BMC Geriatr.* 2020 **20**:140. [PMID: 32293298]