





www.bioinformation.net **Volume 21(7)** 

**Research Article** 

DOI: 10.6026/973206300212186

Received July 1, 2025; Revised July 31, 2025; Accepted July 31, 2025, Published July 31, 2025

SJIF 2025 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2025) = 8.478 2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

#### **Declaration on Publication Ethics:**

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at https://publicationethics.org/. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

## Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

#### License statement

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

#### Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

#### Disclaimer:

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by A Prashanth E-mail: phyjunc@gmail.com Citation: Lokesh *et al.* Bioinformation 21(7): 2186-2190 (2025)

# Diabetic pulmonary microangiopathy and its association with glycated haemoglobin and other diabetic complications

M Lokesh, S.V. Srinivasa & Mani Mohan Reddy\*

Department of General Medicine, Sri Devraj URS Academy of Higher Education And Research, Kolar, Karnataka, India; \*Corresponding author

# **Affiliation URL:**

https://sdumc.ac.in/

Bioinformation 21(7): 2186-2190 (2025)

## **Author contacts:**

M Lokesh - E-mail: lokeshtriambik@gmail.com SV Srinivasa - E-mail: drsrinivasa.dvl@sduaher.ac.in Mani Mohan Reddy - E-mail: manimohanhp@gmail.com

#### Abstract:

Diabetes mellitus is a systemic disease now recognized to affect the lungs through microangiopathy. This cross-sectional study assessed pulmonary function via spirometry in 132 diabetics and 132 controls. Diabetics showed significantly reduced FVC, FEV1 and PEFR, consistent with a restrictive pattern. Poor glycemic control correlated strongly with reduced lung function, while disease duration did not. Spirometry findings also correlated with albuminuria and retinopathy, supporting early screening utility.

**Keywords:** Type 2 diabetes mellitus; pulmonary function tests; spirometry; glycated hemoglobin (HbA1C); albuminuria; diabetic retinopathy; diabetic nephropathy; restrictive lung disease

# Background:

Diabetes mellitus (DM), especially Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM), is one of the most common chronic non-communicable illnesses in the world, with its incidence and burden rising very fast in India [1]. DM is a multifactorial metabolic disorder that is defined by prolonged hyperglycemia due to insulin resistance and/or insufficient insulin secretion [2]. Chronic exposure to hyperglycemia causes diffuse damage to multiple organ systems, and complications have classically been divided into microvascular (retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy) and macrovascular (coronary artery disease, cerebrovascular accident, peripheral vascular disease) forms [3]. Complications are well-described and frequently tracked as components of diabetic management guidelines [4]. Yet, the effect of diabetes on lung function has not received due attention with increasing evidence accruing to the idea that diabetic microangiopathy has the lungs as a target organ. Lung is a very vascular organ with dense capillary bed, and its structural and functional integrity largely rests on microvascular integrity [5, 6]. Chronic hyperglycemia can provoke biochemical and structural alterations in pulmonary microvasculature and connective tissue, with the consequences of impaired gas exchange, stiffening of the lung parenchyma and reduced lung volumesfeatures of restrictive lung disease [7]. A number of populationbased studies have indicated lowered values in pulmonary function tests like Forced Vital Capacity (FVC), Forced Expiratory Volume in one second (FEV1) and Peak Expiratory Flow Rate (PEFR) in diabetic patients, frequently even without overt respiratory symptoms [8]. These alterations have been attributed to non-enzymatic glycosylation of lung proteins, oxidative stress, alveolar epithelial basement membrane thickening, and augmented collagen deposition, all being diabetic microangiopathy pathophysiologic hallmarks [9]. In addition, severity of impairment of pulmonary function might be affected by glycemic control as measured through glycated hemoglobin (HbA1C) and potentially the duration of diabetes [10].

Certain research has suggested that suboptimal glycemic control worsens subclinical lung disease, whereas others have sought to correlate diabetic complications like nephropathy, retinopathy and neuropathy with decreasing lung function, suggesting an underlying microvascular etiology [11, 12]. As exciting as this new evidence is, routine pulmonary function testing is not yet part of the standard care for diabetes. Identification of pulmonary impairment in diabetics at an early phase is imperative for early intervention and avoidance of respiratory compromise [13]. Spirometry is a low-technology, non-invasive and inexpensive means of detecting alterations in lung function and can be a valuable adjunct in the monitoring of diabetic complications [14]. Therefore, it is of interest to assess pulmonary function in diabetics compared to non-diabetics and examine its association with glycemic control and microvascular complications.

#### Materials and Methods:

This cross-sectional comparative study was performed in the Department of General Medicine at Sri Devrai Urs Medical College and Hospital, a constituent institution of Sri Devraj Urs Academy of Higher Education and Research (SDUAHER), Kolar, Karnataka, India. The study was conducted during a specified duration between 2020 and 2022 after getting clearance from the Institutional Ethics Committee. Informed consent was taken from all the participants before enrollment. 264 volunteers aged 18-70 years were enrolled in the study and grouped into two equal sets of 132 each: 132 patients with documented Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and 132 age- and sex-matched healthy nondiabetic controls. The diagnosis of diabetes was made according to the American Diabetes Association (ADA) criteria, which are fasting plasma glucose ≥126 mg/dL, postprandial glucose ≥200 mg/dL, or HbA1C ≥6.5%. Inclusion criteria in the diabetic group were patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus of any duration and who were clinically stable with no acute complications. The control group was constituted of non-diabetic patients with no chronic history of disease, age- and sex-matched. Exclusionary criteria for both groups were patients with a history of chronic respiratory illnesses like asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), pulmonary tuberculosis, and interstitial lung disease.

Patients with cardiovascular disease, active smokers, recent upper or lower respiratory infections, and patients with known neuromuscular conditions or recent thoracic/abdominal surgery were also excluded to exclude confounding factors on

pulmonary function. Pulmonary function was measured with standardized spirometry. Recorded parameters included Forced Vital Capacity (FVC), Forced Expiratory Volume in one second (FEV1), the FEV1/FVC ratio, and Peak Expiratory Flow Rate (PEFR). All measurements were done with calibrated spirometers, with procedures performed by trained staff following American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society (ATS/ERS) guidelines. All participants executed at least three satisfactory maneuvers, and the best of those three was used. In diabetic patients, further testing consisted of measurement of glycated hemoglobin (HbA1C) levels with a standardized immunoturbidimetric assay. Microvascular complications were assessed using the following clinical measures: nephropathy was measured by an estimate of the urine albumin-creatinine ratio (UACR), where values >30 mg/g were taken as positive for microalbuminuria; retinopathy was detected by direct ophthalmoscopy or fundus photography and graded as non-proliferative or proliferative diabetic retinopathy; and neuropathy was assessed with monofilament examination and clinical evaluation of pain, vibration, and temperature.

Table 1: Age and gender distribution of study participants

Variable	Diabetics (n=132)	Non-Diabetics (n=132)	p-value
Mean Age (yrs)	54.3 ± 9.1	52.8 ± 8.7	0.16
Male (n, %)	80 (60.6%)	78 (59.1%)	0.78
Female (n, %)	52 (39.4%)	54 (40.9%)	

Table 2: Pulmonary function parameters in diabetic's vs non-diabetics

Parameter	Diabetics (mean ± SD)	Non-Diabetics (mean ± SD)	p-value
FVC (L)	$2.42 \pm 0.58$	$2.82 \pm 0.63$	< 0.001
FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	$2.01 \pm 0.51$	$2.45 \pm 0.55$	< 0.001
FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC (%)	81.3 ± 5.9	$84.2 \pm 6.1$	0.017
PEFR (L/min)	$280.7 \pm 48.2$	310.5 ± 52.7	< 0.001

Table 3: Association of HbA1C with pulmonary function (Diabetic Group)

HbA1C Group	FVC (L)	FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	PEFR (L/min)
<7% (n=58)	$2.56 \pm 0.49$	$2.12 \pm 0.44$	294.3 ± 42.5
≥7% (n=74)	$2.31 \pm 0.62$	$1.94 \pm 0.53$	270.9 ± 50.1

Table 4: Pulmonary function by duration of diabetes

Duration	FVC (L)	FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	PEFR (L/min)	p-value
<5 years (n=39)	$2.48 \pm 0.56$	$2.05 \pm 0.48$	286.1 ± 47.5	>0.05
5-10 years (n=53)	$2.36 \pm 0.58$	$1.96 \pm 0.52$	$276.4 \pm 46.3$	
>10 years (n=40)	$2.30 \pm 0.63$	$1.93 \pm 0.55$	273.6 ± 48.1	

Table 5: Pulmonary function and diabetic nephropathy (UACR Levels)

UACR Status	FVC (L)	FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	PEFR (L/min)	p-value
Normal (<30 mg/g)	2.55 ± 0.51	$2.13 \pm 0.45$	293.5 ± 47.3	< 0.01
Microalbuminuria	$2.21 \pm 0.57$	$1.84 \pm 0.49$	$262.2 \pm 46.5$	

**Table 6:** Pulmonary function in patients with and without diabetic retinopathy

Retinopathy Status	FVC (L)	FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	PEFR (L/min)	p-value
Absent (n=91)	$2.50 \pm 0.52$	$2.06 \pm 0.48$	287.9 ± 45.6	0.015
Present (n=41)	$2.19 \pm 0.63$	$1.83 \pm 0.54$	$266.1 \pm 50.4$	

# **Results:**

A total of 264 participants were included in this cross-sectional study, comprising 132 individuals with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and 132 age and sex-matched non-diabetic controls. Spirometric parameters were compared between groups, and correlations were explored between lung function and glycemic control,

duration of diabetes, and the presence of microvascular complications.

Table 7: Pulmonary function and diabetic neuropathy

Neuropathy Status	FVC (L)	FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	PEFR (L/min)	p-value
Absent (n=93)	$2.46 \pm 0.55$	$2.04 \pm 0.49$	284.6 ± 48.7	>0.05
Present (n=39)	$2.35 \pm 0.59$	$1.95 \pm 0.53$	$276.3 \pm 47.9$	

Table 8: Pattern of pulmonary impairment in diabetic group

Pattern Type	Number (n)	Percentage (%)
Normal	42	31.8%
Restrictive	77	58.3%
Obstructive	13	9.8%

Table 9: Correlation of HbA1C with pulmonary parameters (Pearson's r)

Parameter	Correlation Coefficient (r)	p-value
FVC	-0.37	0.001
FEV <sub>1</sub>	-0.33	0.004
PEFR	-0.42	< 0.001

Table 10: Prevalence of abnormal spirometry patterns

Group	Normal (n, %)	Abnormal (n, %)	p-value
Diabetics	42 (31.8%)	90 (68.2%)	< 0.001
Non-Diabetics	92 (69.7%)	40 (30.3%)	

Table 11: Pulmonary function by gender and diabetic status

Gender	Group	FVC (L)	FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	PEFR (L/min)
Male	Diabetic	$2.50 \pm 0.55$	$2.07 \pm 0.47$	287.1 ± 47.3
Male	Non-Diabetic	$2.88 \pm 0.60$	$2.51 \pm 0.52$	$315.4 \pm 51.6$
Female	Diabetic	$2.29 \pm 0.59$	$1.90 \pm 0.53$	271.5 ± 49.2
Female	Non-Diabetic	$2.71 \pm 0.64$	$2.36 \pm 0.58$	$304.3 \pm 53.9$

Table 12: Pulmonary Function by BMI Category (Diabetic Group)

BMI Category (kg/m²)	FVC (L)	FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	PEFR (L/min)	p-value
<25	$2.44 \pm 0.57$	$2.03 \pm 0.50$	281.6 ± 48.1	>0.05
≥25	$2.40 \pm 0.59$	$2.00 \pm 0.52$	279.8 ± 48.5	

The research involved 264 subjects who were equally distributed between non-diabetic and diabetic groups with similar age and gender distribution shown in Table 1. Diabetic patients had much lower pulmonary function values, mainly FVC, FEV1, and PEFR, than controls, ascertaining a restrictive pattern highlighted in Table 2. Stratified by glycemic control, the patients with HbA1C ≥7% had significantly lower spirometric indices compared to those who had better glycemic control, which showed a very good inverse correlation between HbA1C levels and lung function as compared in Table 3. Even though there was a trend towards declining FVC, FEV1, and PEFR with increasing duration of diabetes, this was not statistically significant shown in Table 4. A relevant correlation was noted between pulmonary impairment and diabetic nephropathy, with patients with microalbuminuria having decreased spirometry values than those with normal UACR highlighted in Table 5. Diabetic retinopathy was also related to noticeably diminished lung function parameters, particularly FVC and FEV1 depicted in Table 6. There was no statistically significant correlation noted between spirometry values and diabetic neuropathy highlighted in Table 7. The majority of diabetics exhibited a restrictive lung pattern, with 58.3% demonstrating such changes, and merely 9.8% and obstructive defect shown in Table 8. Pearson's correlation also established a moderate inverse correlation between HbA1C and pulmonary function parameters with PEFR correlating the most shown in **Table 9.** Substantially more diabetics exhibited abnormal spirometry patterns than non-diabetics (68.2% vs. 30.3%), as indicated in **Table 10**. Among both male and female, diabetics consistently had lower pulmonary lung function than non-diabetics as compared in **Table 11**. Finally, no significant relationship was identified between BMI and pulmonary parameters in the diabetic group as shown in **Table 12**.

#### Discussion:

This cross-sectional study assessed pulmonary function in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus patients compared to non-diabetic controls and its correlation with glycemic control and known microvascular complications [15]. The results clearly showed diabetic patients to have a significant impairment of vital spirometry parameters such as FVC, FEV1 and PEFR. These were indicative of a largely restrictive pulmonary pattern, as per the theory of diabetic pulmonary microangiopathy [16]. The lungs, although previously underappreciated as a target organ in diabetes, are structurally comparable to other microvasculardense organs like the kidneys and retina [17]. This study confirms increasing literature that chronic hyperglycemia impacts the pulmonary microvasculature potentially via mechanisms such as thickening of basement membranes, oxidative stress and non-enzymatic glycation of structural proteins [18, 19]. As evidenced in our findings, the vast majority of the diabetic population - over two-third had impaired pulmonary function, restrictive defects being most prevalent [20]. One notable observation was the reversely related association between HbA1C and lung function indices. All patients with HbA1C ≥7% had lower FVC, FEV1, and PEFR compared to patients with improved glycemic control [21]. This supports previous findings that long-term poor glycemic control is a cause of pulmonary dysfunction. Correlation analysis also showed a moderate but significant negatively related correlation between levels of HbA1C and spirometric values, especially PEFR [22]. Even though no statistically significant correlation was observed between diabetes duration and pulmonary function in the study, a trend toward declining pulmonary function with increasing disease duration was noted [23]. This indicates that the cumulative, yet variable, burden of glycemia over time might affect pulmonary function in a similar manner, which might not always be apparent in cross-sectional imaging snapshots [24]. Both the occurrence of diabetic nephropathy and retinopathy were significantly correlated with decreased values of spirometry, pointing to the common microangiopathic etiology [25]. These results are consistent with earlier research that has suggested that pulmonary alterations can occur concomitantly with nephropathic and retinal involvement [26]. Conversely, no statistically significant correlation between pulmonary function and diabetic neuropathy was noted, implying different pathophysiologic processes [27,28].

Sex-stratified analysis verified that diabetic men and women both had lower pulmonary parameters than their non-diabetic counterparts, even though the magnitude of depression did differ somewhat with gender [29]. BMI was not found to have a significant influence on pulmonary function in the diabetic group, suggesting that changes seen are more diabetes-related than obesity-related per se [30]. This research very much attests to the status of pulmonary dysfunction as a significant, yet silent, complication of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Regular spirometric screening, particularly in cases with poor glycemic control or microvascular disease, could help facilitate early detection and management of respiratory impairment.

## **Conclusion:**

Type 2 diabetes is associated with significant restrictive impairment in pulmonary function. This impairment correlates strongly with poor glycemic control and microvascular complications. Routine spirometry may aid in early detection and better long-term respiratory outcomes in diabetics.

# Acknowledgement:

We acknowledge that the first and second author contributed equally to this paper and hence they are considered as joint first author

# **References:**

- [1] https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19118289/
- [2] Kulkarni A et al. Cureus. 2024 16:e56674. [PMID: 38646317]
- [3] Kolahian S *et al. Rev Endocr Metab Disord*. 2019 **20**:303. [PMID: 31637580]
- [4] Irfan M et al. Lung India. 2011 **28**:89. [PMID: 21712938]
- [5] Shah SH et al. Lung India. 2013 **30**:108. [PMID: 23741090]
- [6] Rajput S et al. Cureus. 2023 **15**:e35081. [PMID: 36945284]
- [7] Meo SA *et al. J Diabetes Sci Technol.* 2007 **1**:711. [PMID: 19885139]
- [8] Sharma A et al. Cureus. 2023 15:e38919. [PMID: 37309345]
- [9] Mameli C et al. Metabolites. 2021 11:69. [PMID: 33530418]
- [10] Yeh HC et al. Diabetes Care. 2008 31:741. [PMID: 18056886]
- [11] Ljubić S *et al. Chest.* 1998 **114**:1033. [PMID: 9792573]
- [12] Keech A et al. Lancet. 2005 366:1849. [PMID: 16310551]
- [13] Yu S et al. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2019 10:333. [PMID: 31747961]
- [14] Moral-Sanz J et al. Curr Vasc Pharmacol. 2014 12:473. [PMID: 24846236]
- [15] Lecube A et al. Endocr Rev. 2017 38:550. [PMID: 28938479]
- [16] Saini M et al. Curr Diabetes Rev. 2020 16:759. [PMID: 31333139]
- [17] Aurora RN et al. Lancet Respir Med. 2013 1:329. [PMID: 24429158]
- [18] Okur I et al. Physiother Theory Pract. 2020 36:916. [PMID: 30183496]
- [19] Ahmed M et al. Int J Mycobacteriol. 2017 **6**:97. [PMID: 28317813]
- [20] Kinney GL *et al. Diabetes Care.* 2014 **37**:389. [PMID: 24026562]
- [21] Kuziemski K *et al. Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2015 **108**:e56. [PMID: 25836946]
- [22] Jagat JM *et al. Diabetes Metab Syndr.* 2020 **14**:829. [PMID: 32540737]

- [23] He YY *et al. BMC Endocr Disord.* 2020 **20**:112. [PMID: 32703207]
- [24] Chang MJ et al. Tuberculosis (Edinb). 2015 95:54. [PMID: 25482224]
- [25] Uribe-Heredia G *et al. J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev.* 2020 **40**:167. [PMID: 31714395]
- [26] Klein OL et al. Diabet Med. 2010 27:977. [PMID: 20722670]
- [27] Wang XH et al. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2017 21:910. [PMID: 29073942]
- [28] Pei E *et al. J Diabetes Complications.* 2014 **28**:559. [PMID: 24849711]
- [29] Zenil-Vega M *et al. Clin Nutr.* 2020 39:3019. [PMID: 32093879]
- [30] Röhling M et al. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes. 2018 126:584. [PMID: 30142673]