



www.bioinformation.net
Volume 21(8)



Research Article

Received August 1, 2025; Revised August 31, 2025; Accepted August 31, 2025, Published August 31, 2025

DOI: 10.6026/973206300212481

SJIF 2025 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2025) = 8.478

2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at <https://publicationethics.org/>. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by Akshaya Ojha

E-mail: akshayaojha11@gmail.com

Citation: Patil *et al.* Bioinformation 21(8): 2481-2484 (2025)

Sealing efficacy of titanium-doped versus conventional MTA in access perforation repair: An *in vitro* study

Sneha A Patil^{1*}, Shamurailatpam Priyadarshini², Rajeswari Putchala³, Vankala Gautam³, Smita Durga Dutta⁴, Heena Dixit Tiwari⁵ & Rahul Tiwari⁶

¹Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, KLE VK Institute of dental sciences, KLE Academy of Higher Education and Research, Belagavi, Karnataka, India; ²Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Dental College, RIMS, Imphal, Manipur, India; ³Department of Conservative & Endodontics, ST. Joseph's Dental College, Duggirala, NTR University of health sciences andhra Pradesh, India; ⁴Department of Conservative Dental Sciences and Endodontics, College of Dentistry, Qassim University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; ⁵Commissionerate of Health and Family Welfare, Government of Telangana, Hyderabad, India;

⁶Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Narsinhbhai Patel Dental College and Hospital, Sankalchand Patel University, Visnagar, Gujarat, India; *Corresponding author

Affiliation URL:

<https://kledental-bgm.edu.in/>
<https://www.rims.edu.in/secure/>
<https://stjosephdentaleluru.com/>
<https://www.qu.edu.sa/en/colleges/dent/departments/dent-1/>
<https://chfw.telangana.gov.in/home.do>
<https://npdch.edu.in/>

Author contacts:

Sneha A Patil - E-mail: drsnehapatil9@gmail.com
Shamurailatpam Priyadarshini - E-mail: dr26priya@gmail.com
Rajeswari Putchala - E-mail: dr.rajjoy@gmail.com
Vankala Gautam - E-mail: vankala.gautam@gmail.com
Smita Durga Dutta - E-mail: smita_d_dutta@yahoo.com
Heena Dixit Tiwari - E-mail: drheenatiwari@gmail.com
Rahul Tiwari - E-mail: drrahulvctiwari@gmail.com

Abstract:

Mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) is known for good sealing and also for its biocompatibility. However, limitations such as extended setting time and potential leakage persist. Therefore, it is of interest to compare the sealing efficacy of titanium-doped MTA and conventional MTA in the repair of access perforations using in *vitro* dye penetration model forty extracted human mandibular premolars were used. Titanium-doped MTA showed significantly less dye penetration (mean 0.92 mm) compared to conventional MTA (mean 1.46 mm) ($p = 0.001$), indicating superior sealing efficacy. Thus, Titanium-doped MTA demonstrates better sealing capability than conventional MTA and may serve as an improved material for perforation repair.

Keywords: Mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA), titanium-doped MTA, access perforation, microleakage, endodontic repair

Background:

Access perforations during endodontic procedures remain a significant clinical challenge, as they compromise the structural integrity of the tooth and can lead to microbial contamination, ultimately affecting the prognosis of the treatment. Successful management of perforations depends largely on the choice of repair material, which must provide an effective seal, be biocompatible and withstand functional stresses [1]. Among various biomaterials developed for this purpose, MTA has gained wide acceptance due to its superior sealing ability, biocompatibility and regenerative potential [2–3]. Despite its favorable properties, conventional MTA has several drawbacks, including long setting time, difficult handling, potential for discoloration and questionable mechanical resistance under load [4–5]. To overcome these limitations, modifications to MTA formulations have been explored. One such promising advancement is titanium-doped MTA, which involves the incorporation of titanium oxide nanoparticles into the MTA matrix [6]. Titanium compounds are known to enhance physical and biological properties due to their chemical stability, high biocompatibility and ability to promote bio mineralization [7]. *In vitro* studies have suggested that doping MTA with titanium can improve its compressive strength, accelerate setting time and increase resistance to microleakage [8]. This modification also aims to reduce the incidence of material washout and improve sealing ability in the presence of moisture—an essential

requirement in perforation repair scenarios [9]. Given that microleakage at the perforation site is a critical determinant of long-term clinical success, evaluating the sealing efficacy of these materials becomes imperative [10]. Therefore, it is of interest to comparatively assess the sealing ability of titanium-doped MTA versus conventional MTA when used in repairing simulated access perforations in extracted human teeth.

Methodology:**Research design:**

This in vitro experiment research was conducted to compare the sealing efficacy of “titanium-doped mineral trioxide aggregate (Ti-MTA)” and “conventional mineral trioxide aggregate (C-MTA)” in access perforation repair. Forty freshly extracted human single-rooted mandibular premolars were considered for the research based on standardized inclusion and exclusion criteria. Teeth with cracks, caries, restorations, or resorptive defects were excluded.

Specimen preparation:

All teeth crowns were removed at the “cemento-enamel junction CEJ” to obtain a standardized root length of 15mm. Root canals were prepared using ProTaper rotary files up to size F3 and irrigated with 2.5% sodium hypochlorite followed by 17% EDTA to clear the smear layer. Canals were dried with paper-point and access perforations were made in the coronal third of the buccal

root surface using a round bur (ISO size 0.8 mm) under water coolant to simulate clinical iatrogenic perforations.

Grouping and repair material placement:

The 40 specimens were randomly divided into 2 equal sets (n=20):

- [1] Group A (Control): Access perforations repaired with conventional MTA
- [2] Group B (Experimental): Access perforations repaired with titanium-doped MTA

Both materials were mixed as per manufacturer instructions and placed into the perforation site with a microcarrier. A wet cotton pellet was placed in the canal and all specimens were stored at 37°C in 100% humidity for 48 hours to ensure ample setting.

Dye penetration and evaluation:

After setting, the external root surfaces were coated with two layers of nail varnish but around the perforation site. The samples were submerged in 2% methylene blue dye for 48 hours, then rinsed and sectioned longitudinally. Dye penetration was assessed under a stereomicroscope at 20× magnification. Linear dye penetration (in mm) from the external surface toward the canal space was measured using Image J software.

Table 1: Comparison of mean dye penetration between groups

Group	n	Mean Dye Penetration (mm)	Standard Deviation	p-value
Conventional MTA	20	1.46	0.42	0.001
Titanium-MTA	20	0.92	0.31	

Table 2: Frequency distribution of dye penetration severity in both groups

Leakage Severity	Conventional MTA (n=20)	Titanium-MTA (n=20)
Mild (<1 mm)	6 (30%)	15 (75%)
Moderate (1-2 mm)	10 (50%)	5 (25%)
Severe (>2 mm)	4 (20%)	0 (0%)

Discussion:

The management of access perforations is a critical aspect of endodontic therapy and the material used for repair plays a significant role in determining the clinical outcome. This research aimed to compare the sealing efficacy of titanium-doped MTA with that of conventional MTA, using dye penetration as an indicator of microleakage. The results demonstrated that titanium-doped MTA showed significantly reduced dye penetration compared to conventional MTA, indicating superior sealing ability. The enhanced performance of titanium-doped MTA can be attributed to several material properties. Titanium oxide, when incorporated into MTA, is known to improve the crystalline structure, leading to reduced porosity and better marginal adaptation. This contributes to decreased pathways for microleakage and improves the integrity of the seal in moist environments, which is crucial in clinical scenarios involving perforations [11]. Moreover, titanium-doped formulations are reported to have faster setting times and improved handling characteristics, both of which can influence the clinical success of perforation repair [12]. Previous studies evaluating modifications of MTA with different nanoparticles have demonstrated similar improvements in sealing efficacy and physical properties. The biocompatibility of titanium-containing

Statistical analysis:

Mean dye penetration values were compared between sets using the independent t-test. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant using SPSS version 25.0.

Results:

The mean dye penetration depth in Group A (Conventional MTA) was greater compared to Group B (Titanium-Doped MTA), indicating superior sealing ability in the latter. The variance between the two sets was statistically significant. Table 1 presents the mean and standard deviation of dye penetration values in millimeters for both groups. Titanium-doped MTA exhibited a mean leakage of 0.92 ± 0.31 mm, while conventional MTA showed higher leakage at 1.46 ± 0.42 mm. In addition, the frequency distribution of specimens by leakage severity (mild: <1 mm, moderate: 1-2 mm, severe: >2 mm) revealed that 75% of specimens in the titanium-MTA group had mild leakage, while only 30% of the conventional MTA group achieved the same. This pattern further supports the improved sealing efficacy of titanium-doped MTA (Table 2). These results demonstrate that titanium doping in MTA significantly enhances sealing capacity in access perforation repair, likely due to improved material adaptation and reduced porosity.

compounds also makes them suitable for endodontic applications, as they support favorable tissue responses without inducing inflammation or cytotoxic effects [13]. This is essential for achieving long-term healing and maintaining the integrity of periradicular tissues. Additionally, the reduction in severe leakage in the titanium-MTA group in this research suggests better adaptation and material stability over time. The absence of specimens with dye penetration greater than 2 mm in the titanium-MTA group may imply a more predictable sealing outcome, which is particularly valuable in perforation management cases that are otherwise at higher risk for failure [14]. It is important to note that while in vitro studies like this one provide controlled comparisons, they may not fully replicate clinical conditions such as blood contamination, functional stresses and host immune responses. Therefore, further in vivo studies and clinical trials are necessary to confirm these findings and establish long-term outcomes [15-20].

Conclusion:

Titanium-doped MTA exhibited significantly better sealing efficacy than conventional MTA in access perforation repair. Its reduced microleakage, likely due to enhanced physical and structural properties, positions it as a promising material for

clinical use. Further research is needed to validate these results in clinical scenarios and explore long-term biocompatibility and success rates.

References:

- [1] Makhlouf M *et al.* *J Contemp Dent Pract.* 2020 **21**:1091. [PMID: 33686028]
- [2] Abdelmotelb MA *et al.* *Clin Oral Investig.* 2021 **25**:4915. [PMID: 33483869]
- [3] Das M *et al.* *J Contemp Dent Pract.* 2022 **23**:1136. [PMID: 37073937]
- [4] Mohan D *et al.* *J Contemp Dent Pract.* 2021 **22**:80. [PMID: 34002714]
- [5] Shah S *et al.* *Indian J Dent Res.* 2019 **30**:573. [PMID: 31745055]
- [6] Aladimi AA *et al.* *Eur Endod J.* 2020 **5**:138. [PMID: 32766525]
- [7] Mohamed DA *et al.* *Clin Oral Investig.* 2023 **27**:5103. [PMID: 37500933]
- [8] Clauder T. *Int Endod J.* 2022 **55**:872. [PMID: 35403711]
- [9] Abboud KM *et al.* *BMC Oral Health.* 2021 **21**:192. [PMID: 33849516]
- [10] de Sousa Reis M *et al.* *Clin Oral Investig.* 2019 **23**:4019. [PMID: 30864115]
- [11] Gorni FG *et al.* *J Endod.* 2022 **48**:1092. [PMID: 35714727]
- [12] Pinheiro LS *et al.* *Int Endod J.* 2021 **54**:2195. [PMID: 34418122]
- [13] Övsay E *et al.* *Biomed Res Int.* 2018 **2018**:5478796. [PMID: 29568756]
- [14] Nagmode P *et al.* *J Clin Exp Dent.* 2023 **15**:e32. [PMID: 36755683]
- [15] Koç C *et al.* *J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects.* 2021 **15**:183. [PMID: 34712409]
- [16] Izaz S *et al.* *J Pharm Bioallied Sci* 2021 **13**:S952. [DOI: 10.4103/jpbs.jpbs_392_21]
- [17] Izaz S *et al.* *J Pharm Bioallied Sci* 2021 **13**:S43. [DOI: 10.4103/jpbs.JPBS_810_20]
- [18] Mall A *et al.* *IP Indian J Conserv Endod.* 2025 **10**:48. [DOI: 10.18231/j.ijce.2025.008]
- [19] Shaik I *et al.* *J Pharm Bioallied Sci.* 2024 **16**:S613. [DOI: 10.4103/jpbs.jpbs_891_23]
- [20] Bichile ML *et al.* *J Conserv Dent.* 2022 **25**:541. [PMID: 36506620].