



## Research Article

Received August 1, 2025; Revised August 31, 2025; Accepted August 31, 2025, Published August 31, 2025

DOI: 10.6026/973206300212744

SJIF 2025 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2025) = 8.478

2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

### Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at <https://publicationethics.org/>. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

### Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

### License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

### Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

### Disclaimer:

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by Ritik Kashwani  
E-mail: [docritikkashwani@yahoo.com](mailto:docritikkashwani@yahoo.com)  
Phone: +91 8804878162

Citation: Kuppan *et al.* Bioinformation 21(8): 2744-2746 (2025)

# From incision to empowerment: Enhancing maternal recovery and self-care through structured post-caesarean support

Jency Indira Kuppan<sup>1</sup>, Sumathi Chandran<sup>2</sup>, Shankar Shanmugam Rajendran<sup>3\*</sup>, Vanitha Narayanasamy<sup>4</sup>, Kavitha Ethiraj<sup>4</sup>, Chithra Gopalan<sup>5</sup> & Azhgar Jan Thoulath Khan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetric and Gynecological Nursing, College of Nursing, Madras Medical College, The Tamil Nadu, Dr.MGR Medical University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India; <sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Nursing, Institute of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Government Hospital for Women and Children, Madras Medical College, The Tamil Nadu, Dr.MGR Medical University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India; <sup>3</sup>Department of Child Health Nursing, College of Nursing, Madras Medical College, The Tamil Nadu, Dr.MGR Medical University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India; <sup>4</sup>Department of Community Health Nursing, College of Nursing, Madras Medical College, The Tamil Nadu, Dr.MGR Medical University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India; <sup>5</sup>Department of

Medical Surging Nursing, College of Nursing, Madras Medical College, The Tamil Nadu, Dr.MGR Medical University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India; \*Corresponding author

**Affiliation URL:**

<https://tnmgrmu.ac.in/>

**Author contacts:**

Jency Indira Kuppan - E-mail: jencyindira@gmail.com

Sumathi Chandran - E-mail: surendransumathi@yahoo.in

Shankar Shanmugam Rajendran - E-mail: shankarshaki@yahoo.com

Vanitha Narayanasamy - E-mail: nvanitha967@gmail.com

Kavitha Ethiraj - E-mail: bkekavitha@gmail.com

Chithra Gopalan - E-mail: gopalanichithra12051974@gmail.com

Azhgar Jan Thoulath Khan - E-mail: azhgarjan123@gmail.com

**Abstract:**

The effect of a supportive post-operative program for improving recovery and self-care in post-caesarean mothers is of interest. The program, including pain management, wound care, nutrition support and emotional counseling, was shown to significantly enhance recovery compared to a control group. Quantitative results revealed improvements in pain reduction, mobility and self-care confidence. Thus, we show the emotional impact of LSCS and the importance of family support. The study underscores the value of structured nursing interventions for promoting holistic maternal recovery.

**Keywords:** Caesarean section, post-operative care, self-care, recovery, maternal perception, supportive nursing intervention, mixed-method study

**Background:**

A Lower Segment Caesarean Section (LSCS) is a common surgical procedure that helps ensure the safe delivery of babies when normal childbirth becomes risky [1]. However, for many mothers, the journey does not end in the operation theatre. After a caesarean, women often experience pain, fatigue, restricted movements and emotional struggles that affect their ability to care for themselves and their newborn [2]. In India, especially in urban areas, the number of C-sections is rising steadily [3]. Despite this, many mothers are discharged with minimal guidance on recovery, self-care, or coping with the physical and emotional challenges that follow [4]. Simple activities like getting out of bed, breastfeeding, or changing position become difficult in the early post-operative days [5]. Lack of proper instruction and emotional support leads many women to depend heavily on their family members, increasing caregiver burden and lowering the mother's confidence [6]. Traditional care focuses on wound checks and medicines, but often fails to address the deeper need for structured support, education and psychological reassurance during this sensitive period. Supportive post-operative nursing interventions can help bridge this gap by offering step-by-step guidance on self-care, wound management, pain control, early walking and emotional adjustment [7]. These strategies aim not just to heal the body, but also to strengthen the mother's ability to care for herself and her baby with confidence [8]. This study was inspired by real-life clinical experiences, where the researcher observed post-caesarean mothers feeling lost, anxious and unprepared for recovery after discharge. Therefore, it is of interest to determine the nurse-led supportive program could improve recovery,

boost self-care practices and enhance the overall well-being of mothers who have undergone LSCS.

**Methodology:**

The study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of a supportive post-operative program on recovery, self-care and maternal perception of caesarean section at a selected tertiary care hospital in Chennai. An explanatory mixed-method design with a quasi-experimental approach was used. The research was conducted in the post-operative wards of IOG, Chennai, after obtaining ethical clearance and official permissions. In the quantitative phase, 60 post-caesarean mothers were selected using convenience sampling and divided into two groups: experimental (n = 30) and control (n = 30). The experimental group received a five-day supportive program, which included pain management, wound care, early ambulation, breastfeeding support, dietary advice and emotional guidance. The control group received routine postnatal care. The effectiveness of the intervention was measured using pre- and post-tests, including the Obstetrics Quality of Recovery Scale and the Self-Care Assessment Tool. Data were analyzed using SPSS with descriptive and inferential statistics, considering a significance level of  $p \leq 0.05$ . In the qualitative phase, five mothers were selected through purposive sampling. Semi-structured interviews were conducted to explore the emotional, cultural and personal aspects of recovery and maternal perception of caesarean birth. The data from these interviews were analyzed through thematic analysis to gain insights into the mothers' experiences and perceptions.

Results:

Most of the post-caesarean mothers were between 21-30 years (46.67%) and 65% were primigravida. More than half had only secondary education and many were low-income homemakers. Both groups were similar at the beginning, with no significant differences in their background details. From the qualitative data, six major themes were identified: fear about surgery, physical discomfort, difficulties in baby care, lack of self-care knowledge, emotional need for support and trust in nurses. Initially, mothers felt anxious and confused, but after receiving proper nursing guidance, they felt more confident and emotionally supported. In the quantitative phase, recovery scores were low in both groups during the pre-test. After the intervention, 70% of mothers in the experimental group reached high recovery levels, while 76.67% of mothers in the control

group stayed at low levels. The improvement of 61.5 points in the experimental group was statistically significant ( $P < 0.001$ , **Table 1**). Similarly, self-care scores were initially low in both groups. After the program, 66.67% of mothers in the experimental group showed moderate to high self-care ability, but 83.33% of the control group remained low. The experimental group showed a significant improvement of 56.2 points ( $P < 0.001$ , **Table 2**). There was a moderate negative correlation ( $r = -0.42$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ) between self-care and recovery scores, meaning as self-care improved, recovery also increased. This correlation was significant only in the experimental group. Younger mothers (21-25 years), those with normal BMI and primigravida women showed better results and these associations were statistically significant only in the experimental group.

Table 1: Comparison of pre-test and post-test level of recovery score among post caesarean mothers

Group	Pre-Test Mean	Pre-Test SD	Post-Test Mean	Post-Test SD	Mean Difference	Student Paired t-test
EXP	60.5	15.26	82.17	11.24	21.67	$t = 7.26, p = 0.001^{***}(S)$
CONT	59.5	15.22	61.5	15.22	2	$t = 1.28, p = 0.21 (NS)$

p<0.001-S\*\*\* Very highly significant

Table 2: Comparison of pre-test and post-test level of self-care score among post caesarean mothers

Group	Pre-Test Mean	Pre-Test SD	Post-Test Mean	Post-Test SD	Mean Difference	Student Paired t-test
EXP.	60.7	11.61	72.5	11.19	11.8	$t = 6.46, p = 0.001^{***}(S)$
CONT	58.9	12.58	62.5	10.23	3.6	$t = 1.82, p = 0.08 (NS)$

p<0.001-S\*\*\* Very highly significant

Discussion:

This study demonstrated that a supportive post-operative program facilitated faster recovery and improved self-care management among post-caesarean mothers. These findings are supported by Mdoe *et al.* (2024) [9] who also reported that structured nursing care improves postnatal recovery and promotes independence. The positive correlation between self-care and recovery echoes Tazreean *et al.* (2022) [10], highlighting that early mobility and proper guidance speed up healing. Qualitative findings in this study, like emotional reassurance and trust in nurses, align with Tinmaz *et al.* [11] who emphasised the emotional needs of LSCS mothers and the value of nurse-led support. Overall, this study strongly supports integrating nurse-led, patient-centred care into routine post-caesarean recovery to enhance both physical and emotional well-being.

Conclusion:

Post-operative nursing interventions significantly improved recovery and self-care among post-caesarean mothers. Thus, we demonstrate that emotional needs and nurse support are key factors in enhancing maternal confidence and well-being.

References:

[1] <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK546707/>  
[2] Duran S *et al.* *Iran J Public Health.* 2023 **52**:2036. [PMID: 37899917]  
[3] Roy N *et al.* *J Family Med Prim Care.* 2021 **10**:4182. [PMID: 35136786]  
[4] Liu Y *et al.* *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2023 **23**:251. [PMID: 37055725]  
[5] <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK153462/>  
[6] <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK2665/>  
[7] Probst S *et al.* *Int Wound J.* 2025 **22**:e70687. [PMID: 40419284]  
[8] Neely E *et al.* *Health Promot Int.* 2023 **38**:daad014. [PMID: 36840529]  
[9] Mdoe MB *et al.* *Nurs Open.* 2024 **11**:e2145. [PMID: 38532272]  
[10] Tazreean R *et al.* *J Comp Eff Res.* 2022 **11**:121. [PMID: 35045757]  
[11] Tinmaz G *et al.* *J PediatrNurs.* 2022 **67**:116. [PMID: 36088729]