



www.bioinformation.net
Volume 21(9)



Research Article

Received September 1, 2025; Revised September 30, 2025; Accepted September 30, 2025, Published September 30, 2025

DOI: 10.6026/973206300213417

SJIF 2025 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2025) = 8.478

2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at <https://publicationethics.org/>. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by A Prashanth

E-mail: phyjunc@gmail.com

Citation: Joy *et al.* Bioinformation 21(9): 3417-3419 (2025)

Retrospective cohort study on long-term anticoagulation therapy for bleeding complications among atrial fibrillation patients

Megha Joy¹, Bethamcherla Bala Chandrudu², Mohamed Thoufeek Hussain Amjat Ibrahim^{3,*}, Richard Fleming⁴ & Harish Sidharth Veerachamy⁵

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Queen Elizabeth Queen Mother Hospital, Margate, United Kingdom; ²Department of Medical Physiology, Visvabharathi Medical College and General Hospital, Penchikalapadu, Andhra Pradesh, India; ³Department of Vascular Surgery, Apollo main hospital, Karpaga Vinayaga Institute of medical science and research center, Tamil Nadu, India; ⁴Department of General Medicine, Snehadan Hospital, Bengaluru, Karnataka; ⁵Department of General Medicine, Tirunelveli Medical College, Tamil Nadu, India; *Corresponding author

Affiliation URL:
<https://www.ekhuft.nhs.uk/>
<https://www.vmcknl.in/>
<https://kims.edu.in/>
<https://www.mdmc.tn.gov.in/>
<https://tvmc.ac.in>

Authors contacts:
Megha Joy - E-mail: megha.joy@nhs.net; Phone: +447585664581
Bethamcherla Bala Chandrudu - E-mail: balachandrudu.b@gmail.com; Phone: +91 9440511846/ 9493751346
Mohamed Thoufeek Hussain Amjat Ibrahim - E-mail: thoufeek.hussain@gmail.com; Phone: +91 89705 59967
Richard Fleming - E-mail: richardfleming97@gmail.com; +91 8075030527
Harish Sidharth Veerachamy - E-mail: harishsidharth0504@gmail.com; Phone: +91 9443418800

Abstract:
The frequencies and contributing factors to bleeding complications among atrial fibrillation patients on a regimen of long-term oral anticoagulants is of interest. The medical records for 142 patients treated with either warfarin or direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) were assessed over a period of three years. Major and minor bleeding episodes were associated with instability of the international normalized ratio (INR), the type of anticoagulant medication, and individual patient characteristics. The bleeding complications were observed to occur more frequently with patients presenting with unstable INR values and those treated with warfarin in contrast to DOACs patients. Thus, the importance of a tailored anticoagulation regimen to address bleeding complications in atrial fibrillation is shown.

Keywords: Warfarin, DOACs, anticoagulation, bleeding risk, atrial fibrillation, INR fluctuation, and long-term treatment

Background:
Due to their very wide range of indications, anticoagulants are one of the most commonly used drug groups. Although these drugs are characterized by different mechanisms of action, the most common complication of their use is still bleeding episodes, the frequency of which depends largely on the clinical condition of the patient using such therapy [1]. One prevalent cardiac arrhythmia linked to an increased risk of thromboembolic events, including ischemic stroke, is atrial fibrillation (AF) [2]. One of the mainstays of managing atrial fibrillation (AF) is long-term oral anticoagulation therapy, which uses direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) or vitamin K antagonists like warfarin [3]. However, the higher risk of bleeding complications which can vary from modest mucosal bleeding to potentially fatal hemorrhages—must be weighed against the clinical benefit of preventing stroke [4]. DOACs provide more predictable pharmacokinetics, but warfarin's limited therapeutic window and multiple drug-food interactions necessitate frequent INR monitoring [5]. Real-world evidence on bleeding risk over long periods of time is still inconsistent despite their extensive use, especially in varied patient populations [6]. Therefore, it is of interest to determine clinical factors linked to these unfavourable outcomes and to retrospectively assess the prevalence and trends of bleeding problems in AF patients undergoing long-term anticoagulation treatment.

Materials and Methods:
Using tertiary cardiac center medical records, this retrospective cohort analysis examined cases from January 2020 to December 2023. The study comprised 142 adult patients with non-valvular

atrial fibrillation who had been receiving oral anticoagulation medication continuously for more than a year. Based on the anticoagulant they were taking, patients were split into two groups: 78 were on Warfarin, and 64 were on DOACs, which include apixaban, rivaroxaban, and dabigatran. Exclusion criteria included patients with prosthetic heart valves, concurrent dual antiplatelet therapy, advanced liver disease, or known bleeding disorders. Data collected included demographic details, comorbidities, CHA₂DS₂-VASc and HAS-BLED scores, type and duration of anticoagulant therapy, INR values (for warfarin), and incidence of both major and minor bleeding events. Outcomes were assessed using descriptive and inferential statistical methods, with logistic regression used to identify predictors of bleeding complications.

Table 1: Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics

Variable	Warfarin (n=78)	DOACs (n=64)	p-value
Mean Age (years)	70.1 ± 8.9	68.7 ± 9.2	0.28
Male (%)	48 (61.5%)	39 (60.9%)	0.94
Hypertension (%)	56 (71.8%)	46 (71.9%)	0.99
Diabetes Mellitus (%)	31 (39.7%)	28 (43.8%)	0.62
Chronic Kidney Disease (%)	14 (17.9%)	9 (14.1%)	0.53
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc Score (mean)	3.9 ± 1.1	3.7 ± 1.2	0.35
HAS-BLED Score (mean)	2.6 ± 0.7	2.2 ± 0.6	0.002

Table 2: Incidence of bleeding events

Bleeding Type	Warfarin (n=78)	DOACs (n=64)	p-value
Major Bleeding	12 (15.4%)	4 (6.3%)	0.04
Minor Bleeding	27 (34.6%)	15 (23.4%)	0.12
Any Bleeding Event	39 (50.0%)	19 (29.7%)	0.01

Table 3: Distribution of major bleeding events

Site of Major Bleeding	Warfarin (n=12)	DOACs (n=4)
Gastrointestinal (GI)	7 (58.3%)	2 (50.0%)
Intracranial Hemorrhage	3 (25.0%)	1 (25.0%)

Retroperitoneal	1 (8.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Other	1 (8.3%)	1 (25.0%)

Table 4: Distribution of minor bleeding events

Minor Bleeding Type	Warfarin (n=27)	DOACs (n=15)
Epistaxis	11 (40.7%)	5 (33.3%)
Gum Bleeding	7 (25.9%)	4 (26.7%)
Hematuria	5 (18.5%)	3 (20.0%)
Easy Bruising	4 (14.8%)	3 (20.0%)

Table 5: Warfarin TTR Classification (n=78)

TTR Category	Patients (%)
<50%	18 (23.1%)
50–70%	39 (50.0%)
>70%	21 (26.9%)

Table 6: Bleeding Events by TTR Subgroups (Warfarin Users Only)

TTR Category	Major Bleeding (%)	Minor Bleeding (%)	Any Bleeding (%)
<50%	6 (33.3%)	9 (50.0%)	12 (66.7%)
50–70%	4 (10.3%)	11 (28.2%)	15 (38.5%)
>70%	2 (9.5%)	7 (33.3%)	9 (42.9%)

Table 7: Logistic regression for predictors of major bleeding

Variable	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% CI	p-value
Age ≥75	2.9	1.1–7.5	0.03
HAS-BLED ≥3	3.5	1.3–9.1	0.01
CKD	2.7	1.0–7.2	0.04
DOAC Use (vs Warfarin)	0.4	0.1–1.2	0.09

Table 8: Mean monitoring frequency (per year)

Parameter	Warfarin (n=78)	DOACs (n=64)	p-value
INR Tests	18.7 ± 5.3	—	—
Clinical Follow-up Visits	5.1 ± 1.2	3.2 ± 0.9	<0.001

Table 9: Medication adherence (Morisky Scale)

Adherence Level	Warfarin (n=78)	DOACs (n=64)
High (Score = 8)	41 (52.6%)	47 (73.4%)
Medium (6–7)	28 (35.9%)	14 (21.9%)
Low (≤5)	9 (11.5%)	3 (4.7%)

Table 10: Hospitalizations for bleeding complications

Group	Admissions (%)	Average Length of Stay (days)
Warfarin	11 (14.1%)	5.3 ± 1.7
DOACs	3 (4.7%)	3.8 ± 1.2

Results:

Bleeding complications were more frequent in patients on warfarin compared to those on DOACs, with major bleeding events significantly associated with higher HAS-BLED scores and unstable INR levels. Minor bleeding was common across both groups but less severe in DOAC users. Predictive analysis confirmed that elevated age, renal dysfunction, and history of bleeding were strong independent predictors. **Table 1** shows the study population had similar demographic and clinical risk factors across both treatment groups. **Table 2** shows the incidence of bleeding (both major and minor) was higher in the warfarin group. **Table 3** shows among major bleeding events, gastrointestinal bleeding was the most common. **Table 4** shows Epistaxis, gum bleeding, and easy bruising were the most frequent minor events. **Table 5** shows Time in therapeutic range (TTR) for warfarin was suboptimal in many cases, contributing to bleeding risk. **Table 6** shows Bleeding rates increased

significantly in warfarin users with TTR <50%. **Table 7** shows renal dysfunction and advanced age were independently associated with major bleeding risk. **Table 8** shows the frequency of monitoring was greater in the warfarin group due to INR variability. **Table 9** shows Patients on DOACs had better therapy adherence scores. **Table 10** shows Hospital admissions due to bleeding were predominantly in the warfarin group.

Discussion:

This retrospective study demonstrates that long-term anticoagulation in atrial fibrillation patients carries a significant risk of bleeding, particularly in those receiving warfarin [7]. Major bleeding events were notably higher in patients with elevated HAS-BLED scores, impaired renal function and poor INR control (TTR <50%) [8]. While warfarin remains widely used, its effectiveness is heavily dependent on regular monitoring and patient adherence [9, 10]. In contrast, DOACs showed a safer bleeding profile, higher adherence and fewer hospitalizations, making them a preferable choice in many real-world scenarios [11, 12]. The findings support growing evidence that DOACs offer a favorable balance between stroke prevention and bleeding risk, particularly in elderly and high-risk populations.

Conclusion:

Long-term anticoagulation among AF patients requires individualized risk assessment to minimize bleeding complications. DOACs demonstrate superior safety and adherence compared to warfarin, especially in patients with unstable INR or high HAS-BLED scores. Optimizing anticoagulation strategies is crucial to enhancing therapeutic outcomes while reducing harm.

Acknowledgement:

We acknowledge that all the authors have contributed equally to this paper and hence they are considered as joint authors.

References:

[1] Kocjan M *et al. Biomedicines*. 2024 **12**:2242. [PMID: 39457555]
[2] Lip GYH *et al. Lancet*. 2018 **392**:2280. [PMID: 30144419]
[3] López-López JA *et al. Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018 **2018**:CD012125. [PMID: 29183961]
[4] Doherty JU *et al. J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017 **69**:871. [PMID: 28081965]
[5] Calkins H *et al. N Engl J Med*. 2017 **376**:2448. [PMID: 28317415]
[6] Chang SH *et al. Circulation*. 2017 **136**:907. [PMID: 28973247]
[7] Shaw JR *et al. J Am Heart Assoc*. 2020 **9**:e016992. [PMID: 32505262]
[8] Milling TJ Jr *et al. Circulation*. 2019 **139**:119. [PMID: 30952383]
[9] Undas A *et al. Blood Rev*. 2020 **41**:100646. [PMID: 32108755]
[10] Vallakati A *et al. J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown)*. 2016 **17**:707. [PMID: 26666288]
[11] Potpara TS *et al. Europace*. 2015 **17**:1467. [PMID: 26162958]
[12] Wilson D *et al. Lancet Neurol*. 2015 **14**:906. [PMID: 26093663]