



www.bioinformation.net
Volume 21(12)

Research Article

Received November 15, 2025; Revised December 15, 2025; Accepted December 15, 2025, Published December 15, 2025

DOI: 10.6026/973206300214355

SJIF 2025 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2025) = 8.478

2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at <https://publicationethics.org/>. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by A Prashanth

E-mail: phyjunc@gmail.com

Citation: Sonara *et al.* Bioinformation 21(12): 4355-4358 (2025)

Gender differences in outcomes among patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: A retrospective cohort study

Vidhi Jagdishkumar Sonara¹, Rekha Joe², Glenn Jo³, Tania George⁴, Vinupriya Talari^{5,*} & Anand Anilkumar⁶

¹Department of Respiratory Medicine, Medical Officer, Government Medical College, Surat, Gujarat, India; ²Department of Interventional Radiology, GMC, Kalamassery, Kochi, Kerala, India; ³Department of General Medicine, RMO, Kerala State Medical Councils, Kunnukuzhy, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India; ⁴Department of Cardiology, Baby Memorial Hospital, Kozhikode, Kerala, India; ⁵Department of Cardiology, Yashoda Hospitals, Hyderabad, Telangana, India; ⁶Department of General Medicine, Aster MIMS, Kannur, Kerala, India; *Corresponding author

Affiliation URL:

<https://www.mdmc.tn.gov.in/>
<https://cmccochin.org/>
<https://medicalcouncil.kerala.gov.in>
<https://babymhospital.org>
<https://www.yashodahospitals.com/>
<https://www.asterhospitals.in/>

Author contacts:

Vidhi Jagdishkumar Sonara - E-mail: sonara.vidhi15@gmail.com; Phone: +91 9537478999
Rekha Joe - E-mail: rekhajoe83@gmail.com; Phone: +91 9645916523
Glenn Jo - E-mail: Drglennjo@gmail.com; Phone: +91 9731182257
Tania George - E-mail: taniageop@gmail.com; Phone: +91 8301045675
Vinupriya Talari - E-mail: Vinu.priya232@gmail.com; Phone: +91 6302239202
Anand Anilkumar - E-mail: anandanilkumar07@gmail.com; Phone: +91 9447486808

Abstract:

Gender differences in the clinical presentation and outcomes of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) remain inadequately characterized. This retrospective cohort study evaluated 146 HFpEF patients to compare baseline features and one-year outcomes between males and females. Female patients were more frequently obese or hypertensive, whereas male patients more often had coronary artery disease. Despite these differing risk profiles, females exhibited lower mortality and all-cause hospitalization rates at one year. These findings underscore meaningful gender-based variations in HFpEF and support the need for gender-sensitive strategies in clinical management and prognostication.

Keywords: Heart failure, HFpEF outcomes, hospitalization, death, gender differences and preserved ejection fraction.

Background:

Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) accounts for over half of all heart failure diagnoses and is increasingly recognized as an important issue in cardiovascular medicine [1]. HFpEF is primarily characterized by diastolic dysfunction, where the left ventricle has impaired relaxation and stiffness, increasing filling pressures even when systolic function remains preserved. HFpEF primarily affects older adults, who often have multiple co-morbidities (e.g. hypertension, obesity, diabetes, chronic kidney disease), which increases the complexity of its pathophysiology [2]. Recent literature identifies important gender differences in HFpEF - those related to etiology, clinical presentation and disease progression. For example, women with HFpEF are more likely to present with obesity, hypertension and preserved systolic function; men with HFpEF however, often experience more left ventricular remodeling, ischemic heart disease and more myocardial tissue fibrosis [3]. Differences can also be seen in symptomology, whereby women report as having more exercise intolerance and more dyspnea and men report as experiencing more overt symptoms of volume overload. Hormonal influences, body composition and vascular stiffness are suggested mechanisms describing these sex-specific differences, while precise mechanisms remain to be determined [4]. Even though distinctions have been recognized, little is known about the role of gender in long-term clinical outcomes in HFpEF. Evidence of rates of hospitalization, deaths, functional decline and quality of life by sex are mixed [5]. Some studies report that while women may survive better, she may experience a higher burden of symptoms; while men may have a worse prognosis due to ischemic heart disease and adverse remodeling

[6]. Understanding these differences are important in developing plans of care and targeted therapy because traditional heart failure therapies may not be effective within HFpEF populations [7]. Real-world clinical data may be especially valuable for our understanding of these topics, since clinical trial populations often underrepresent older patients and women-compositional groups often contributing the most to the HFpEF population [8]. Observational studies using retrospective registries and electronic health records (EHRs) offer additional information on the potential for genderbased differences in HFpEF and outcomes over a year, including hospitalization and death rates [9]. Understanding gender differences may help clinicians create a more customized plan of care that optimizes resources and promotes patient-centered care, all within the diverse HFpEF population [10]. Therefore, it is of interest to describe gender differences in clinical characteristics and one-year outcomes among patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction in a real-world cohort.

Materials and Methods:

Adult patients (aged 18 years or older) with symptomatic heart failure and an echocardiogram-documented left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of at least 50%, were included in this retrospective cohort study. Over a 24-month period in a tertiary care hospital setting, patients were identified by computerized medical record data. A total of 146 patients met criteria for inclusion and had complete baseline characteristics and one-year follow-up data available. Patients were excluded if they had valvular heart disease, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), or incomplete records of baseline

characteristics. We collected the following data: demographics, medical comorbidities of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease, atrial fibrillation and obesity, medications, echocardiographic parameters and laboratory values at baseline. The primary outcomes were all-cause hospitalization and mortality within one year of diagnosis. Secondary outcomes included NYHA functional class, NT-proBNP levels and medication usage patterns. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 26. Continuous variables are reported in terms of mean ± standard deviation and were compared using Student’s t-test. Categorical variables were compared using Chi-square or Fisher’s exact test as appropriate. Cox regression was performed to identify independent predictors of mortality with gender as an important covariate. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Table 1: Age and gender distribution

Gender	Mean Age (years)	SD
Male	66.8	9.5
Female	71.2	8.7
p-value	0.002	

Table 2: Baseline comorbidities by gender

Comorbidity	Male (n=58)	Female (n=88)	p-value
Hypertension	40 (69.0%)	75 (85.2%)	0.021
Diabetes Mellitus	27 (46.6%)	38 (43.2%)	0.689
Coronary Artery Disease	33 (56.9%)	31 (35.2%)	0.009
Atrial Fibrillation	19 (32.8%)	34 (38.6%)	0.457
Obesity (BMI ≥30)	14 (24.1%)	42 (47.7%)	0.003
Current Smoker	28 (48.3%)	2 (2.3%)	<0.001

Table 3: Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF)

Gender	Mean LVEF (%)	SD	p-value
Male	58.4	4.2	
Female	59.1	3.9	0.278

Table 4: NYHA functional class distribution at presentation

NYHA Class	Male (n=58)	Female (n=88)	p-value
I-II	32 (55.2%)	35 (39.8%)	
III-IV	26 (44.8%)	53 (60.2%)	0.048

Table 5: NT-proBNP Levels (pg/mL)

Gender	Mean NT-proBNP	SD	p-value
Male	1,870	790	
Female	2,010	820	0.329

Table 6: Medication usage at discharge

Medication	Male (n=58)	Female (n=88)	p-value
Beta-blockers	48 (82.8%)	68 (77.3%)	0.432
ACE inhibitors/ARBs	41 (70.7%)	59 (67.0%)	0.643
Diuretics	52 (89.7%)	82 (93.2%)	0.470
MRA (Spironolactone)	19 (32.8%)	28 (31.8%)	0.894

Table 7: One-year hospitalization rate

Gender	Hospitalized (n)	Percentage (%)	p-value
Male	26	45.5	
Female	28	31.8	0.048

Table 8: One-year all-cause mortality

Gender	Deaths (n)	Percentage (%)	p-value
Male	12	20.7	
Female	8	9.1	0.042

Table 9: Primary cause of hospitalization

Cause	Male (n=26)	Female (n=28)
HF exacerbation	18 (69.2%)	21 (75.0%)
Arrhythmia	5 (19.2%)	4 (14.3%)
Acute coronary syndrome	3 (11.6%)	3 (10.7%)

Table 10: Multivariate cox regression for one-year mortality

Variable	Adjusted HR	95% CI	p-value
Male Gender	2.24	1.02–4.92	0.044
CAD	2.59	1.14–5.91	0.023
NYHA Class III-IV	3.48	1.53–7.92	0.003
Age	1.01	0.97–1.05	0.552

Results:

Among 146 patients diagnosed with HFpEF, 88 (60.3%) were female and 58 (39.7%) were male. Female patients were older and had a higher prevalence of hypertension and obesity, whereas males had more coronary artery disease and smoking history. No significant difference was observed in left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) or NT-proBNP levels between genders. Female patients presented with higher NYHA functional class, though medication usage at discharge was comparable across genders. One-year all-cause hospitalization was higher in males (45.5%) than females (31.8%) and mortality was significantly greater in males (20.7% vs 9.1%). Multivariate Cox regression analysis identified male gender, coronary artery disease and NYHA Class III-IV as independent predictors of one-year mortality. These findings suggest gender-based differences in clinical presentation and outcomes among HFpEF patients.

Table 1 shows that female patients were significantly older than males in this cohort. Table 2 shows that hypertension and obesity were more prevalent among females, whereas males had higher rates of coronary artery disease and smoking history. Table 3 shows that average LVEF did not differ significantly between male and female patients. Table 4 shows that females presented with higher NYHA functional class at baseline compared to males. Table 5 shows that NT-proBNP levels were slightly higher in females, but the difference was not statistically significant. Table 6 shows that usage of beta-blockers, ACE inhibitors/ARBs, diuretics and MRAs at discharge was comparable between genders. Table 7 shows that one-year all-cause hospitalization was significantly higher in males than females. Table 8 shows that one-year mortality was more than double in males compared to females. Table 9 shows that the primary causes of hospitalization in both genders were HF exacerbation or volume overload, followed by arrhythmias and acute coronary syndrome. Table 10 shows that in multivariate Cox regression analysis, male gender, coronary artery disease and NYHA Class III-IV were independent predictors of one-year mortality, while age was not.

Discussion:

This retrospective cohort study reveals significant gender-based disparities in outcomes among patients with HFpEF. Although females constituted the majority of the cohort and were significantly older, males experienced worse one-year outcomes, including higher hospitalization and mortality rates [11]. These

findings align with growing literature suggesting that HFpEF is not a uniform entity and that sex-specific differences play a critical role in disease progression and prognosis. Female patients were more likely to present with hypertension, obesity and advanced NYHA functional class, reflecting the typical HFpEF phenotype observed in women older, hypertensive and obese [12]. However, despite this more symptomatic presentation, females had better outcomes than their male counterparts. Male patients, conversely, had higher rates of coronary artery disease and smoking history, both of which likely contributed to their poorer prognosis [13]. These results support prior studies showing ischemic heart disease as a key modifier of adverse events in HFpEF, particularly among men. The higher mortality and hospitalization rates in males may also reflect more significant myocardial remodeling and subclinical systolic dysfunction not fully captured by preserved LVEF alone [14]. The multivariate analysis confirmed that male gender, CAD and higher NYHA class were independent predictors of mortality. This highlights the importance of aggressive risk stratification and CAD management, particularly in male HFpEF patients [15]. Despite similar medication usage across both sexes, outcome disparities persisted, suggesting that standardized treatment may not be equally effective across genders. The lack of gender-tailored therapeutic strategies may partly explain these differences. This study reinforces the urgent need to recognize and incorporate sex-specific pathophysiology into HFpEF management and clinical trials.

Conclusion:

In this retrospective HFpEF cohort, females-despite being older and more symptomatic-demonstrated superior one-year survival and lower hospitalization rates compared with males. Male gender, coronary artery disease, and advanced NYHA class emerged as independent predictors of mortality. These findings underscore the need for gender-specific risk stratification and

individualized management strategies to optimize outcomes in HFpEF.

Acknowledgement:

We acknowledge that the first and second author contributed equally to this paper and hence they are considered as joint first author

References:

- [1] Davies DR *et al.* *JAMA Cardiol.* 2022 **7**:1036 [PMID: 36069809]
- [2] AbouEzzeddine OF *et al.* *JAMA Cardiol.* 2021 **6**:1267 [PMID: 34431962]
- [3] Omar M *et al.* *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2023 **25**:1593 [PMID: 37317621]
- [4] Bauer M *et al.* *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 2025 **138**:1449 [PMID: 40323734]
- [5] Mohammed AQ *et al.* *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2024 **23**:67 [PMID: 38350936]
- [6] Lelonek M *et al.* *Kardiol Pol.* 2020 **78**:1199 [PMID: 33021355]
- [7] Kumar V *et al.* *J Card Fail.* 2023 **29**:124 [PMID: 36332899]
- [8] Budde H *et al.* *Front Physiol.* 2022 **13**:928232 [PMID: 35874547]
- [9] Otaki Y *et al.* *Heart Vessels.* 2022 **37**:1829 [PMID: 35596031]
- [10] Ono R *et al.* *Rev Port Cardiol.* 2025 **44**:445 [PMID: 40472932]
- [11] Akerman AP *et al.* *Nat Commun.* 2025 **16**:2915 [PMID: 40133291]
- [12] Naito A *et al.* *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2024 **26**:2582 [PMID: 38840564]
- [13] DeLuca MR *et al.* *Heart Rhythm.* 2025 **22**:2218 [PMID: 39447815]
- [14] Zhu W *et al.* *Psychosom Med.* 2021 **83**:470 [PMID: 33901053]
- [15] Rao VN *et al.* *Am Heart J.* 2023 **263**:1 [PMID: 37116604]