



www.bioinformation.net
Volume 21(12)



Research Article

Received November 15, 2025; Revised December 15, 2025; Accepted December 15, 2025, Published December 15, 2025

DOI: 10.6026/973206300214484

SJIF 2025 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2025) = 8.478

2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at <https://publicationethics.org/>. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by P Kanguene

Citation: Kumar *et al.* Bioinformation 21(12): 4484-4487 (2025)

Diagnostic utility of ADA and CBNAAT in tubercular pleural effusion

N. Patel Ronak Kumar¹, Rahul Soni², Darshi Rastogi¹, Pankaj Kumar Gupta^{3,*} & Sachin Parmar⁴

¹Department of Pulmonary Medicine, L.N Medical College & J.K Hospital, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India; ²Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Birsu Munda Government Medical College, Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh, India; ³Department of General Medicine, Birsu Munda Government Medical College Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh, India; ⁴Department of Community Medicine, V.K.S. Government Medical College, Neemuch, Madhya Pradesh, India; *Corresponding author

Affiliation URL:

<https://lnctu.ac.in/ln-medical-college/>

<https://gmshahdol.org/>

<https://vksgmcneemuch.org/>

Author contacts:

N Patel Ronak Kumar - E-mail: rnpatel6692@gmail.com
Rahul Soni - E-mail: Rssonirahul@gmail.com
Darshi Rastogi - E-mail: rrhunjun@gmail.com
Pankaj Kumar Gupta - E-mail: dr.pankajgupta89@gmail.com
Sachin Parmar - E-mail: dr.sachinparmar@gmail.com

Abstract:

The diagnostic performance of Adenosine Deaminase (ADA) and Cartridge-Based Nucleic Acid Amplification Test (CBNAAT) in diagnosing tubercular pleural effusion (TPE) is of interest. Hence, a study was conducted over 18 months at LN Medical College and JK Hospital, Bhopal. The study involved 108 adult patients with TPE. Data shows that CBNAAT, although highly specific, had limited sensitivity, while ADA ≥ 40 IU/L demonstrated strong sensitivity. ADA levels were significantly higher in CBNAAT-positive participants, suggesting that combining both tests enhances diagnostic accuracy. This approach can help reduce the need for invasive procedures and improve timely treatment, especially in resource-limited settings. We show the importance of using ADA and CBNAAT together in the diagnosis of TPE.

Keywords: Tubercular pleural effusion, Adenosine Deaminase (ADA), CBNAAT, diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity.

Background:

Tuberculous pleural effusion (TPE) is the second-commonest form of extra-pulmonary tuberculosis and accounts for a substantial proportion of exudative pleural effusions, especially in high-burden countries [1]. Definitive diagnosis rests on isolation of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from pleural fluid or pleural tissue; however, culture yields are low because the disease is paucibacillary, while thoracoscopic or image-guided biopsies, though more sensitive, are invasive, costly and not universally available [2]. Consequently, clinicians rely on surrogate biomarkers such as pleural fluid adenosine deaminase (ADA) and rapid molecular assays like cartridge-based nucleic acid amplification test (CBNAAT; Xpert MTB/RIF and the newer Xpert-Ultra) to guide early management. ADA, a purine-catabolising enzyme released predominantly by activated T-lymphocytes, rises sharply in the lymphocytic exudate characteristic of TPE. A systematic review of 174 studies (27 009 patients) demonstrated pooled sensitivity and specificity of 92% and 90% respectively, with optimal discriminatory thresholds clustering around 40 IU/L [3]. Indian studies report comparable accuracy; one prospective series recorded 95% sensitivity and 80% specificity using the same cut-off [4]. Nevertheless, ADA levels overlap with those seen in empyema, malignancy and rheumatoid pleurisy and borderline values (40–70 IU/L) pose particular diagnostic dilemmas, prompting calls to integrate ADA with clinical, cytological or biochemical indices for better discrimination [5, 6]. CBNAAT detects *M. tuberculosis* DNA and rifampicin resistance within two hours, bypassing culture requirements. While highly sensitive in sputum-positive pulmonary disease, its performance in pleural fluid has been disappointing. A meta-analysis of 45 studies reported a pooled sensitivity of only 52% against culture, though specificity exceeded 98% [7]. Indian cohorts echo these findings; Chakraborty *et al.* observed sensitivity of 13% yet 100% specificity in biopsy-proven TPE [8], whereas another rural study found 16.6% sensitivity with perfect specificity [9]. The newer Xpert-Ultra, with a ten-fold lower limit of detection, improves yield modestly; a comparative meta-analysis involving 74 studies showed Ultra sensitivity of 68% versus 52% for Xpert,

without major loss of specificity [7]. Nevertheless, both assays remain insufficient as stand-alone tests and negative results cannot reliably exclude disease. Given these limitations, international and Indian guidelines advocate a composite approach: in a high-prevalence setting, a lymphocytic exudate with ADA > 40 IU/L or a positive CBNAAT suffices for presumptive treatment, whereas low ADA (< 40 IU/L) or inconclusive molecular tests warrant pleural biopsy or thoracoscopy [2, 10]. Emerging algorithms also explore combining ADA with ADA/LDH ratios, interferon- γ assays or ultrasound-guided biopsy to enhance diagnostic certainty [11]. Therefore, it is of interest to assess the diagnostic utility of pleural fluid ADA and CBNAAT individually and in combination and to explore the correlation between ADA activity and molecular detection of *M. tuberculosis* in patients with suspected tubercular pleural effusion.

Materials and Methods:

This cross-sectional, observational study aimed to evaluate the diagnostic performance of Adenosine Deaminase (ADA) and Cartridge-Based Nucleic Acid Amplification Test (CBNAAT) in diagnosing tubercular pleural effusion. Conducted at the Department of Pulmonary Medicine, LN Medical College and JK Hospital, Bhopal, the study spanned 18 months and involved three phases: planning (3 months), participant recruitment and data collection (12 months) and data analysis and report writing (3 months). Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethical Committee and informed consent was acquired from all participants. The study included 108 adult patients aged 18 to 65 years, diagnosed with tubercular pleural effusion. A non-probability convenience sampling method was used for recruitment. Participants were eligible if they presented with pleural effusion and met the inclusion criteria, which excluded those with transudative pleural effusion, malignancy, or contraindications to thoracentesis. Clinical data and pleural fluid samples were collected from each participant. The primary aim was to compare the utility of ADA and CBNAAT in diagnosing tubercular pleural effusion. ADA levels above 40 U/L or those below 40 U/L with corresponding symptoms were

considered indicative of tuberculosis, while CBNAAT results were analyzed for Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA presence and rifampicin resistance. Data collection involved clinical interviews, medical records and diagnostic tests. Results were entered into a secure electronic database to ensure confidentiality. Data quality was ensured through regular audits by the study supervisor and oversight by the ethical committee. The statistical analysis was conducted using Stata 17.0, applying descriptive statistics, correlations and graphical representations such as histograms and ROC curves to assess the diagnostic accuracy of ADA and CBNAAT. The study was self-funded and no external funding or conflicts of interest were reported. Data handling and security were strictly maintained, with paper-based data stored securely and electronic data protected with passwords and regular backups. The study aimed to enhance the understanding of ADA and CBNAAT's role in diagnosing tubercular pleural effusion and contribute to improving diagnostic methods in clinical practice.

Results:

The demographic and socioeconomic data of 108 participants are detailed in **Table 1**. Males predominated (65.7%) compared to females (34.3%). The majority of participants (30.5%) were aged 21–30 years, followed by those aged 31–40 years (22.2%). Fewer participants fell into other age groups – 18–20 (8.33%), 41–50 (15.7%), 51–60 (13.9%) and 61–65 (9.3%). Most participants were married (73.1%) and resided in rural areas (53.7%). Based on the Kuppuswamy socioeconomic classification, participants were predominantly in the lower (36.1%) and upper-lower (24.1%) classes, with only a small proportion in the upper-middle (13.0%) and upper (3.7%) classes (**Table 1**). The clinical presentation and symptom duration of participants are summarized in **Table 2**. The most common presenting complaints were cough (86.1%), shortness of breath (82.4%), fever (80.6%) and chest pain (78.7%). Sputum production and weight loss were noted in 67.6% and 64.8% of cases, respectively and none reported hemoptysis. Nearly half of the participants (48.1%) experienced symptoms for 1–3 months, while 29.6% had symptoms for less than one month. A smaller proportion reported symptoms lasting 4–6 months (18.5%) or 7–9 months (3.7%) (**Table 2**). Personal habits and clinical examination findings are shown in **Table 3**. Smoking was reported by 35.2% of participants, alcohol consumption by 34.3% and tobacco chewing by 13.9%. On clinical examination, the mean pulse rate was 92 ± 13 bpm, systolic blood pressure 112 ± 12 mmHg; diastolic blood pressure 76 ± 6 mmHg, oxygen saturation 95 ± 1% and respiratory rate 17 ± 2 breaths/min, indicating overall stable baseline parameters (**Table 3**). Clinical diagnoses and pleural fluid analysis findings are presented in **Table 4**. Right-sided tubercular pleural effusion was most common (51.9%), followed by left-sided (45.4%) and bilateral involvement (2.78%). Pleural fluid cytology showed a predominance of mononuclear cells (82 ± 10.3%) over polymorphonuclear cells (17 ± 10.3%). The mean pleural fluid sugar, protein and LDH levels were 70 ± 25.3 mg/dL, 5.21 ± 0.88 mg/dL and 505 ± 325 IU/L respectively, consistent with an exudative, inflammatory process

(**Table 4**). The CBNAAT results and ADA levels are summarized in **Table 5**. Of the 108 participants, 69.4% (n = 75) tested negative and 30.6% (n = 33) tested positive for CBNAAT. The mean ADA level among CBNAAT-negative participants was 64.9 ± 12.69 IU/L, whereas those testing positive had higher mean levels (79.2 ± 10.81 IU/L). Overall, 91.7% of participants had ADA ≥ 40 IU/L, suggesting a high likelihood of tubercular etiology (**Table 5**).

Table 1: Participant demographics and socioeconomic status (n = 108)

Particulars	n	%
Gender		
Female	37	34.3%
Male	71	65.7%
Age Group		
18-20	9	8.33%
21-30	33	30.5%
31-40	24	22.2%
41-50	17	15.7%
51-60	15	13.9%
61-65	10	9.3%
Marital Status		
Married	79	73.1%
Single	29	26.9%
Residence		
Rural	58	53.7%
Urban	50	46.3%
Socioeconomic Status (Kuppuswamy SES Class)		
Lower	39	36.1%
Upper Lower	26	24.1%
Lower Middle	25	23.1%
Upper Middle	14	13.0%
Upper Class	4	3.7%

Table 2: Clinical presentations and symptom duration (n = 108)

Category	n	%
Presenting Symptoms		
Cough	93	86.1%
Shortness of Breath (SOB)	89	82.4%
Fever	87	80.6%
Chest Pain	85	78.7%
Sputum	73	67.6%
Weight Loss	70	64.8%
Hemoptysis	0	0%
Duration of Symptoms		
< 1 Month	32	29.6%
1-3 Months	52	48.1%
4-6 Months	20	18.5%
7-9 Months	4	3.7%

Table 3: Personal habits and clinical examination findings(n = 108)

Category	N	%
Personal Habits		
Smoking	38	35.2%
Tobacco Chewing	15	13.9%
Alcohol	37	34.3%
Clinical Examination		
Pulse Rate (bpm)	92	±13
Systolic BP (mmHg)	112	±12
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	76	±6
O2 Saturation (%)	95	±1
Respiratory Rate (breaths/min)	17	±2

Table 4: Diagnosis and Pleural Fluid Analysis (n = 108)

Category	N	%
Clinical Diagnosis		
Left Tubercular Effusion	49	45.4%
Right Tubercular Effusion	56	51.9%
Bilateral Tubercular Effusion	3	2.78%

Pleural Fluid Findings		
Mononuclear Cells (%)	82	±10.3%
Polymorphonuclear Cells (%)	17	±10.3%
PF Sugar (mg/dL)	70	±25.3
PF Protein (mg/dL)	5.21	±0.88
PF LDH (IU/L)	505	±325

Table 5: CBNAAT Results and ADA Levels (n = 108)

Category	n	%
CBNAAT Results		
Negative	75	69.4%
Positive	33	30.6%
ADA Levels (IU/L)		
Mean (CBNAAT Negative)	64.9	±12.69
Mean (CBNAAT Positive)	79.2	±10.81
Total ADA ≥ 40 IU/L	99	91.7%
ADA < 40 IU/L	9	8.3%

Discussion:

The demographic profile of the present study (n=108) demonstrates a male predominance of 65.7%, closely aligning with other high-tuberculosis-burden settings. In the series by Bielsa *et al.* 70% of patients with tubercular pleural effusion were male, with a median age of 33 years (interquartile range 25–45) [12]. An observational study from Bangladesh similarly found 62% male predominance and noted that the 31–40 year age group comprised 36.3% of cases [13]. In study done by Srinidhi *et al.* [14] out of 100 patients, 80 (80%) are males and 20(20%) females with sex ratio 4:1(M: F). The male predominance seen in there study. In a rural Indian cohort of 75 patients, males accounted for 70.7% of cases and the 20–29 year group represented 32.1% of male participants [15]. These parallels underscore that tubercular pleural effusion disproportionately affects young adult males in endemic regions. Age distribution in the current study showed the highest burden in the 21–30 year bracket (30.5%), followed by 31–40 years (22.2%) and 41–50 years (15.7%). This mirrors the findings of Bielsa *et al.* where half of patients were younger than 45 years [12] and the Bangladesh study, which also reported peak incidence in the third to fourth decades [13]. Marital status and rural residence data are less frequently reported, but the predominance of married (73.1%) and rural (53.7%) participants suggests that socioeconomic and access factors may influence presentation and diagnosis. Clinical presentation in this study was dominated by cough (86.1%), dyspnea (82.4%), fever (80.6%) and chest pain (78.7%). Bielsa *et al.* reported cough in ~70% and chest pain in ~70% of TPE cases [12]. The Bangladeshi cohort found cough in 89.6%, chest pain in 63.2% and fever in 89.6%², while Zhai *et al.* described fever in 88.5% and cough in 68.7% of patients [16]. The higher rates of cough and breathlessness here may reflect variations in healthcare-seeking behavior or disease severity at presentation. Regarding CBNAAT and ADA correlations, the present study’s CBNAAT positivity of 30.6% with mean ADA levels of 79.2 IU/L in CBNAAT-positive versus 64.9 IU/L in CBNAAT-negative cases parallels Chakraborty *et al.* who observed 32% CBNAAT positivity with mean ADA 61.7 IU/L, finding a

significant association between higher ADA and CBNAAT positivity (p=0.001) [17]. Similarly, in an observational series by Seal *et al.* 75% of patients with ADA >40 IU/L were CBNAAT-positive, while none with ADA ≤40 IU/L tested positive, yielding 100% specificity for the higher ADA cutoff. These concordant findings reinforce ADA’s value as a surrogate marker for molecular detection of *M. tuberculosis* in pleural fluid.

Conclusion:

Data shows that tubercular pleural effusion predominantly affects young, economically disadvantaged males from rural areas, often presenting early with cough, dyspnea and fever and chest pain. CBNAAT exhibited high specificity but limited sensitivity, whereas ADA ≥40 IU/L showed robust sensitivity, with higher ADA levels correlating with CBNAAT positivity. Combining ADA measurement with CBNAAT enhances diagnostic accuracy, reducing reliance on invasive procedures and facilitating timely treatment in resource-limited settings.

References:

[1] Light RW. *Respirology*. 2010 **15**:451. [PMID: 20345583].
[2] Vorster MJ & Allwood BW. *J Thorac Dis*. 2015 **7**:981. [PMID: 26150911]
[3] Aggarwal AN *et al.* *PLoS One*. 2019 **14**:e0213728. [PMID: 30913213]
[4] Goyal A. *Int J Adv Med*. 2021 **8**:93. [DOI: 10.18203/2349-3933.ijam20205479]
[5] Jaehee L *et al.* *Journal of Korean medical science*. 2025 **40**:e35. [DOI: 10.3346/jkms.2025.40.e35]
[6] Yan L *et al.* *Journal of thoracic disease*. 2023 **15**:2627. [DOI: 10.21037/jtd-23-383]
[7] Aggarwal AN *et al.* *PLoS One*. 2022 **17**:e0268483. [PMID: 35816471]
[8] Anushree C *et al.* *Advances in respiratory medicine*. 2019 **87**:276. [PMID: 31680227]
[9] Jain J *et al.* *PloS one*. 2021 **16**:e0251618 [PMID: 34125834]
[10] https://www.icmr.gov.in/icmrobject/uploads/STWs/1725964689_5_plural_tb_18032022.pdf
[11] Neves DD *et al.* *Braz J Infect Dis*. 2007 **11**:83. [PMID: 17625733]
[12] Bielsa S *et al.* *Arch Bronconeumol*. 2019 **55**:17. [PMID: 29801681]
[13] Saber S *et al.* *European Journal of Medical and Health Sciences*, 2023 **5**:69. [DOI: 10.24018/ejmed.2023.5.1.1556].
[14] Srinidhi R *et al.* *IP Indian J Immunol Respir Med*, 2020 **5**:152. [<https://doi.org/10.18231/j.ijirm.2020.049>]
[15] Chiner E *et al.* *J. Clin. Med*. 2021 **10**:4392. [DOI: 10.3390/jcm10194392]
[16] Zhai K *et al.* *Journal of thoracic disease*. 2016 **8**:E486. [DOI: 10.21037/jtd.2016.05.87]
[17] Anushree C *et al.* *Advances in respiratory medicine*. 2019 **87**:276. [DOI: 10.5603/ARM.2019.0049]