



www.bioinformatics.net
Volume 22(4)



Research Article

Received April 1, 2026; Revised April 30, 2026; Accepted April 30, 2026, Published April 30, 2026

DOI: 10.6026/973206300222025

SJIF 2026 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2026) = 8.478
2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at <https://publicationethics.org/>. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

Bioinformatics provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformatics and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by A Prashanth
E-mail: phyjunc@gmail.com
Phone: +91 7259404071

Citation: Venkatesh & Balpande, Bioinformatics 22(4): 2025-2029 (2026)

Parental knowledge and acceptance of routine childhood immunization: Association with verified vaccination status - A cross-sectional study

Jeevitha Shetty Solor Venkatesh¹ & Leena Balpande^{2,*}

¹Department of Medicine, Sapthagiri Institute of Medical Science, Karnataka, India; ²Department of Community Medicine, NKP Salve Institute of Medical sciences & RC and LMH, Nagpur, India, *Corresponding author

Affiliation URL:

<https://www.simsr.edu.in/>

<https://nkpsims.edu.in/lata-mangeshkar-hospital/>

Author contacts:

Jeevitha Shetty Solur Venkatesh - E-mail: jeevithayv4@gmail.com; Phone: +91 8861481443

Leena Balpande - E-mail: drleena29@gmail.com

Abstract:

Incomplete childhood immunization remains a preventable contributor to morbidity despite established national vaccination programs. Therefore, it is of interest to evaluate parental knowledge and acceptance of routine childhood immunization and examined their association with verified vaccination status among 423 caregivers of children aged 0–59 months. Although 86.3% were aware of the national immunization program and 91.7% believed vaccines are necessary, only 46.8% correctly identified all vaccine-preventable diseases. Verified records showed 78.0% full immunization and higher parental awareness was strongly associated with complete vaccination (AOR 3.25, $p < 0.001$). Thus, we show that knowledge gaps and misconceptions persist which significantly influence timely immunization completion.

Keywords: Parental awareness, vaccine acceptance, childhood vaccines, vaccination status of children, routine vaccines, immunization coverage, vaccine hesitancy, public health, health education, parental attitude

Background:

Childhood immunization is a cornerstone of public health and prevents substantial morbidity and mortality worldwide [1]. Despite the availability of effective vaccines, gaps in routine immunization coverage persist in many regions [2]. Incomplete vaccination exposes children to preventable infectious diseases and undermines herd immunity [3]. Parental decision-making plays a central role in childhood vaccine uptake. Knowledge of vaccine schedules, perception of safety and trust in healthcare systems influence adherence to immunization programs [4]. Vaccine hesitancy, driven by misinformation and fear of adverse effects, has emerged as a growing public health concern. Misconceptions regarding immune overload and vaccine safety contribute to delayed or incomplete vaccination [5]. Socio-demographic determinants such as maternal education, household income and access to healthcare services also affect immunization status [6]. Health worker counselling remains a strong predictor of vaccine acceptance. However, awareness does not always translate into completed immunization schedules. Self-reported vaccination status may overestimate true coverage due to recall bias [7]. Verification of immunization records provides a more objective measure of vaccine uptake. Correlating parental knowledge and acceptance with documented vaccination status enables assessment of whether awareness results in preventive action. Identifying modifiable determinants of incomplete immunization is essential for strengthening national immunization programs [8]. Therefore, it is of interest to evaluate parental knowledge and acceptance of routine childhood immunization and examine their association with verified vaccination status.

Materials and Methods:

A cross-sectional questionnaire-based study was conducted in the Department of Pediatrics of a tertiary care centre in India between January and June 2024. Parents or primary caregivers of children aged 0–59 months attending the pediatric outpatient department or immunization clinic were eligible. The sample size was calculated assuming 50% prevalence of adequate parental knowledge, with 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error, yielding a minimum of 384 participants. After

accounting for 10% non-response, a target sample of 423 was determined. Participants were recruited using consecutive sampling until the required sample size was achieved. A pre-tested interviewer-administered structured questionnaire in the local language assessed socio-demographic characteristics, knowledge of the immunization schedule, attitudes toward vaccines, reasons for hesitancy and sources of information. The tool was pilot tested among 30 caregivers and demonstrated acceptable internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = 0.82). Vaccination status was verified using immunization cards when available and by caregiver recalls when documentation was absent. Data were entered into a secured database and analysed using descriptive statistics. Associations between categorical variables were examined using chi-square tests. Binary logistic regression analysis was performed to identify independent predictors of complete immunization. Adjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals were reported and $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee and written informed consent was secured from all participants.

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents

Variable	Category	n (%)
Age (years)	<25	78 (18.4)
	25–34	201 (47.5)
	≥35	144 (34.1)
Gender	Male	172 (40.7)
	Female	251 (59.3)
Education	Primary	82 (19.4)
	Secondary	167 (39.5)
	Graduate+	174 (41.1)
Occupation	Homemaker	180 (42.6)
	Skilled/Professional	140 (33.1)
	Unskilled/Labor	103 (24.3)

Table 2: Awareness of routine childhood immunization schedule

Awareness Indicator	Aware n (%)	Not aware n (%)
National immunization program	365 (86.3)	58 (13.7)
Age at first dose	312 (73.8)	111 (26.2)
All vaccine-preventable diseases	198 (46.8)	225 (53.2)
Booster doses	276 (65.2)	147 (34.8)
Importance of completing doses	380 (89.8)	43 (10.2)

Table 3: Parental attitude toward childhood immunization

Statement	Agree (%)	Neutral (%)	Disagree (%)
Vaccines are necessary	91.7	6.4	1.9
Vaccines are safe	83.2	12.5	4.3
Too many vaccines weaken immunity	27.9	22.4	49.7
Immunization is parental responsibility	94.1	3.3	2.6
Religious beliefs influence decisions	14.9	20.3	64.8

Table 4: Reasons for vaccine hesitancy or delay

Reason	n (%)
Fear of side effects	42 (39.3)
Lack of schedule awareness	26 (24.3)
Vaccine unavailability	15 (14.0)
Child illness	12 (11.2)
Religious/personal belief	7 (6.5)
Other	5 (4.7)

Table 5: Sources of vaccine-related information

Source	n (%)
Health worker	190 (44.9)
Doctor/Nurse	98 (23.2)
Family/Friends	57 (13.5)
Media	42 (9.9)
Internet/Social media	36 (8.5)

Table 6: Verified vaccination status

Source	Fully n (%)	Partial n (%)	None n (%)
Card verified (n=308)	256 (83.1)	44 (14.3)	8 (2.6)
Recall only (n=115)	74 (64.3)	32 (27.8)	9 (7.9)
Overall (n=423)	330 (78.0)	76 (18.0)	17 (4.0)

Table 7: Awareness level and vaccination status

Awareness	Fully n (%)	Partial/None n (%)	p-value
High	228 (90.1)	25 (9.9)	<0.001
Moderate	84 (68.9)	38 (31.1)	
Low	18 (41.9)	25 (58.1)	

Table 8: Parental education and vaccination status

Education	Fully n (%)	Partial/None n (%)	p-value
Primary	48 (58.5)	34 (41.5)	<0.01
Secondary	126 (75.4)	41 (24.6)	
Graduate+	156 (89.7)	18 (10.3)	

Table 9: Logistic regression predictors of complete immunization

Variable	AOR	95% CI	p-value
High awareness	3.25	1.85-5.72	<0.001
Mother's education \geq secondary	2.48	1.33-4.62	0.003
Urban residence	1.76	1.01-3.05	0.045
Access to health worker	2.15	1.23-3.74	0.007
Male child	1.12	0.68-1.84	0.64

Table 10: Overall KAP scores

Component	Mean \pm SD	Max Score	Interpretation
Knowledge	7.8 \pm 2.1	10	Moderate-Good
Attitude	8.5 \pm 1.6	10	Positive
Practice	7.3 \pm 2.4	10	Satisfactory
Overall	23.6 \pm 4.8	30	Good

Results:

A total of 423 parents or caregivers participated in the study. Most respondents were aged 25-34 years and females constituted the majority. Educational attainment was high, with over 80% completing secondary education or higher. Awareness of the national immunization program and the importance of completing all vaccine doses were high. However, knowledge of all vaccine-preventable diseases was substantially lower. Parental attitudes toward vaccination were generally positive, although misconceptions regarding immune overload persisted. Fear of side effects was the leading cause of vaccine hesitancy. Health workers were the primary source of vaccine-related information. Verified immunization records showed higher full immunization rates compared to parental recall. Complete immunization was significantly associated with higher awareness levels and maternal education. Logistic regression identified high awareness, maternal education and access to health workers as independent predictors of full immunization. Overall KAP scores indicated moderate-to-good knowledge and positive attitudes. **Table 1** shows that 47.5% of respondents were aged 25-34 years, 59.3% were female and 80.6% had secondary education or higher. **Table 2** indicates that awareness was highest for the national immunization program at 86.3% and lowest for knowledge of all vaccine-preventable diseases at 46.8%. **Table 3** demonstrates that 91.7% agreed vaccines are necessary and 83.2% considered them safe, while 27.9% believed multiple vaccines could weaken immunity. **Table 4** highlights that fear of side effects accounted for 39.3% of hesitancy cases, followed by lack of schedule awareness at 24.3%. **Table 5** compares information sources and shows that 44.9% relied on health workers, followed by 23.2% consulting doctors or nurses. **Table 6** depicts full immunization in 83.1% of card-verified cases compared with 64.3% based on recall, with overall full immunization at 78.0%. **Table 7** shows a statistically significant association between high awareness and complete immunization, with 90.1% fully immunized in the high-awareness group. **Table 8** indicates that full immunization increased from 58.5% among primary-educated parents to 89.7% among graduates. **Table 9** demonstrates that high parental awareness (AOR 3.25), maternal education (AOR 2.48) and access to health workers (AOR 2.15) were independent predictors of complete immunization. **Table 10** highlights an overall mean KAP score of 23.6 ± 4.8 , indicating moderate-to-good parental knowledge and positive attitudes.

Discussion:

This study evaluated parental knowledge and acceptance of routine childhood immunization and examined their association with verified vaccination status. The findings demonstrate generally positive attitudes toward vaccines but persistent knowledge gaps and measurable discrepancies in immunization completion [9]. Although awareness of the national immunization program was high, detailed understanding of vaccine-preventable diseases and booster requirements remained limited [10]. The observed full immunization rate of 78% indicates moderate coverage. This level remains below

optimal thresholds required for sustained herd immunity. Verified card-based immunization was substantially higher than recall-based reporting. This discrepancy highlights the limitation of relying solely on parental recall in coverage assessments. Documentation verification strengthens accuracy and reduces overestimation bias [11]. Knowledge deficits were evident despite high acceptance. Nearly one-third of parents believed that multiple vaccines could weaken immunity. Such misconceptions reflect persistent misinformation. Fear of side effects was the leading cause of hesitancy. These findings align with contemporary research indicating that safety concerns remain a dominant barrier to vaccine uptake [12]. Parental awareness demonstrated a strong independent association with complete immunization. Caregivers with high awareness were more than three times as likely to have fully immunized children. This finding underscores the behavioural influence of knowledge on preventive health decisions. Awareness facilitates timely compliance with immunization schedules when reinforced through credible information sources [13]. Maternal education emerged as a significant predictor of full immunization. Children of mothers with secondary or higher education showed substantially higher coverage rates. Education enhances health literacy, risk perception and engagement with healthcare services. These findings are consistent with global evidence linking maternal education with improved child health outcomes [14]. Access to health workers significantly influenced immunization status. Health workers were the primary source of vaccine-related information. Their role extends beyond service delivery to counselling and trust-building. Structured communication by frontline health personnel strengthens parental confidence and counters misinformation. This emphasizes the importance of sustained provider engagement in routine pediatric visits [15]. Despite high agreement that vaccination is necessary, awareness gaps suggest incomplete understanding of schedule complexity. Booster dose awareness was moderate, indicating the need for reinforced schedule education. Partial immunization may reflect missed opportunities during follow-up visits rather than outright refusal [16]. The study contributes methodological advancement by correlating parental KAP assessment with verified immunization records. Few cross-sectional studies integrate behavioural evaluation with objective verification. This dual approach enhances internal validity and clarifies whether awareness translates into documented preventive action. The findings demonstrate that positive attitudes alone are insufficient without adequate knowledge depth [17]. Limitations should be acknowledged. The cross-sectional design precludes causal inference. Participants were recruited from a tertiary care centre, which may overrepresented health-conscious caregivers. Recall bias may persist in participants without documentation. However, the inclusion of immunization card verification mitigates reporting inaccuracies. Public health implications are clear. Educational strategies must move beyond general promotion of vaccination to targeted clarification of vaccine-

preventable diseases and booster schedules. Behaviour-change communication should address specific misconceptions regarding immune overload and safety concerns. Provider-led counselling remains central to improving compliance. Overall, parental awareness and maternal education significantly influence complete childhood immunization. Verified record analysis confirms that knowledge correlates with preventive behaviour. Strengthening structured health education and reinforcing provider communication are critical to improving routine immunization coverage.

Conclusion:

Parental knowledge and acceptance significantly influence completion of routine childhood immunization. Verified vaccination status demonstrates that higher awareness and maternal education are independently associated with full immunization. Persistent misconceptions and knowledge gaps continue to affect schedule compliance. Thus, strengthening structured counselling and improving awareness of vaccine-preventable diseases are essential to sustain optimal immunization coverage.

Acknowledgement:

We acknowledge that the first and second author contributed equally to this paper and hence they are considered as joint first author.

References:

- [1] Nandi A *et al.* *Hum Vaccin Immunother.* 2020 **16**:1900 [PMID: 31977283]
- [2] Talbird SE *et al.* *Pediatrics.* 2022 **150**:e2021056013 [PMID: 35821599]
- [3] Zhou F *et al.* *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2024 **73**: 682 [PMID: 39116024]
- [4] <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/40578370/>
- [5] Pervane VD *et al.* *Medicina (Kaunas).* 2025 **61**:421 [PMID: 40142232]
- [6] Ariyibi SO *et al.* *Afr Health Sci.* 2023 **23**:582 [PMID: 38974273]
- [7] Seror V *et al.* *BMC Public Health.* 2020 **20**:658 [PMID: 32397985]
- [8] Janiak S *et al.* *Front Public Health.* 2025 **13**:1606815 [PMID: 40809762]
- [9] Nowicki P *et al.* *Vaccines (Basel).* 2025 **13**:869 [PMID: 40872954]
- [10] Baharudin JAM *et al.* *Malays Fam Physician.* 2024 **19**:6 [PMID: 38371722]
- [11] Al-Rashdan RA *et al.* *PLoS One.* 2024 **19**:e0305209 [PMID: 38985715]
- [12] Ndaki P *et al.* *East Afr Health Res J.* 2024 **8**:80 [PMID: 39234351]
- [13] Kaboré L *et al.* *Vaccine.* 2020 **38**:2808 [PMID: 32089461]
- [14] Nayar R *et al.* *Vaccine.* 2022 **40**:6924 [PMID: 36280561]
- [15] Ibraheem R *et al.* *Afr Health Sci.* 2021 **21**:951 [PMID: 34795755]
- [16] Walker B *et al.* *Vaccine.* 2022 **40**:837 [PMID: 35033386]
- [17] Abdallah G *et al.* *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2024 **11**:1356 [PMID: 39378889]

Caveat Emptor is applicable among the literate community where required and possible. The publisher, its journal, editors and the internal/external reviewers take adequate steps to check, evaluate, correct, edit, revise and improve content where possible and required.