



www.bioinformation.net
Volume 22(7)



Review

Received July 1, 2026; Revised July 31, 2026; Accepted July 31, 2026, Published July 31, 2026

DOI: 10.6026/973206300224472

SJIF 2026 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2026) = 8.478

2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at <https://publicationethics.org/>. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by P Kanguane

Citation: Abdulrazzaq *et al.* Bioinformation 22(7): 4472-4475 (2026)

Hepatic and muscular glycogen storage diseases: A narrative review of therapeutic interventions and long-term outcomes

Husam Abdo Mohammed Mohammed Abdulrazzaq*, Mena Afghan Yar & Roya Barakzai

Department of Fundamental Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health care, Farabi University, Almaty, Kazakhstan;

*Corresponding author

Affiliation URL:

<https://farabi.university/>

Author contact:

Husam Abdo Mohammed Mohammed Abdulrazzaq - E-mail: husamabdo100@gmail.com; Phone: +966 551015080

Mena Afghan Yar - E-mail: afgan_yar_mena@live.kaznu.kz; Phone: +7 7029842756

Roya Barakzai - E-mail: barakzai_roya@live.kaznu.kz; Phone: +7 7072822310

Abstract:

Glycogen storage diseases (GSDs) are inherited metabolic disorders affecting hepatic or muscular glycogen metabolism. Hepatic and muscular GSDs diverge fundamentally in pathophysiology, driving distinct clinical priorities and outcome measures. Hepatic GSDs require sustained systemic metabolic control, primarily dietary management, to prevent hypoglycemia and long-term complications such as hepatic adenomas and nephropathy. Muscular GSDs are managed through functional adaptation, including structured exercise training and, in Pompe disease, enzyme replacement therapy, to improve exercise tolerance and motor function. Despite these tailored strategies, complications persist in both forms, underscoring the need for phenotype-driven management and standardized outcome measures across the GSD spectrum.

Keywords: Glycogen storage diseases (GSDs); hepatic glycogen storage disease; muscular glycogen storage disease; metabolic control; therapeutic outcomes

Background:

Glycogen is the principal carbohydrate storage polymer in humans, with hepatic and muscular glycogen serving distinct metabolic functions [1]. Disorders of glycogen synthesis or degradation result in glycogen storage diseases (GSDs), a heterogeneous group of inherited metabolic conditions classified by enzyme deficiency and tissue involvement [2]. Hepatic GSDs are characterized by impaired hepatic glucose release, leading to fasting hypoglycemia and long-term complications including hepatic adenomas and, in certain subtypes, renal complications such as nephropathy [3]. In contrast, muscular GSDs present with localized skeletal muscle energy deficits, manifesting as exercise intolerance and episodic rhabdomyolysis, while systemic glucose homeostasis remains largely preserved [4]. Despite sharing a common basis in disordered glycogen metabolism, the tissue-specific roles of glycogen in liver versus skeletal muscle result in fundamentally different therapeutic priorities. Hepatic GSDs require sustained metabolic control to prevent hypoglycemia and mitigate long-term complications [5], whereas muscular GSDs benefit from functional rehabilitation and exercise-based interventions that improve muscle function and aerobic capacity [6]. Long-term follow-up studies show that conventional management strategies, while improving metabolic control, do not fully prevent persistent complications in hepatic GSDs such as hepatic adenomas and nephropathy [7] and do not fully arrest disease progression in muscular GSDs, particularly respiratory decline in late-onset Pompe disease [8]. Emerging gene-based therapies aim to address the underlying genetic defects across multiple GSD subtypes, offering potential for both systemic metabolic correction in hepatic disease and localized functional improvement in muscular disease [9, 10]. Therefore, it is of interest to review the therapeutic interventions and clinical outcomes in hepatic and muscular glycogen storage diseases.

Therapeutic approaches in hepatic glycogen storage diseases:

Current evidence indicates that hepatic glycogen storage diseases (GSDs) are characterized by impaired glucose homeostasis and require sustained metabolic control to prevent fasting hypoglycemia and associated metabolic complications. Dietary management remains the cornerstone of therapy, particularly in GSD Ia, where uncooked cornstarch therapy provides a continuous source of glucose and contributes

substantially to metabolic stability [12, 13]. Recent reviews further emphasize that maintaining metabolic control is essential not only for preventing acute hypoglycemia but also for reducing the risk of secondary complications such as dyslipidemia and growth impairment [4, 14]. In addition to dietary therapy, management strategies include individualized nutritional interventions and regular metabolic monitoring. Clinical studies in GSD VI and IX suggest that these disorders generally exhibit milder phenotypes and can often be managed successfully through dietary and metabolic control measures [21]. Nevertheless, strict adherence to treatment protocols and lifelong monitoring remain necessary because metabolic abnormalities may persist despite clinical improvement. For patients with severe hepatic involvement, particularly those with advanced hepatic dysfunction in GSD IV, liver transplantation represents an important therapeutic option. Studies have showed that liver transplantation can effectively correct the hepatic metabolic defect and improve survival outcomes [15, 16]. However, transplantation does not completely eliminate the risk of extrahepatic manifestations, indicating that long-term follow-up remains essential even after successful surgical intervention.

Long-term outcomes in hepatic glycogen storage diseases:

Long-term studies show that although contemporary management strategies have significantly improved survival and metabolic control, many patients continue to experience chronic complications. Persistent hepatic adenomas, nephropathy and other metabolic abnormalities remain important clinical concerns in adulthood [17]. These findings indicate that dietary management alone does not fully prevent disease progression or long-term sequelae. Comprehensive reviews have therefore emphasized the importance of lifelong surveillance programs in patients with hepatic GSDs [14]. Regular monitoring of liver function, renal status, metabolic parameters and potential hepatic malignancy risk is recommended to facilitate early detection of complications and optimize long-term outcomes. Consequently, hepatic GSDs should be viewed as chronic systemic disorders requiring multidisciplinary management throughout life.

Therapeutic approaches in muscular glycogen storage diseases:

In contrast to hepatic GSDs, muscular GSDs are characterized primarily by localized impairments in skeletal muscle energy metabolism while systemic glucose homeostasis remains largely preserved. Therapeutic strategies therefore focus on improving functional performance and reducing exercise intolerance rather than correcting systemic metabolic abnormalities. Exercise-based interventions represent a central component of management. Clinical guidelines and systematic reviews support the use of structured aerobic exercise programs to improve oxidative capacity, enhance exercise tolerance and promote functional adaptation in affected individuals [11, 18]. The “second wind” phenomenon observed in McArdle disease (GSD V) further illustrates the capacity for metabolic adaptation during exercise and has been associated with improved aerobic fitness and functional performance [20]. Nutritional interventions also differ from those used in hepatic disease. Short-term carbohydrate supplementation before physical activity may improve muscle glucose availability and delay symptom onset in selected patients [11]. These strategies are intended to maximize muscle function and reduce the frequency of exercise-induced symptoms, including fatigue and rhabdomyolysis.

Long-term outcomes in muscular glycogen storage diseases:

Long-term outcomes in muscular GSDs are generally evaluated using measures of physical function, exercise tolerance, mobility and quality of life. Studies consistently show that exercise training can improve functional capacity and reduce symptom burden; however, disease progression may continue despite intervention [18]. Pompe disease (GSD II) represents a notable example in which disease-specific therapy is available. Enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) has demonstrated significant benefits in stabilizing or improving motor function and ambulation in affected individuals [19]. Nevertheless, long-term follow-up studies indicate that respiratory decline may continue in some patients despite ongoing treatment, suggesting that ERT slows but does not completely halt disease progression [22]. These findings highlight the need for continued therapeutic development and long-term monitoring in muscular GSDs.

Comparative analysis of hepatic and muscular glycogen storage diseases:

Current evidence highlights a fundamental divergence between hepatic and muscular glycogen storage diseases that directly influences therapeutic priorities and outcome assessment. Hepatic GSDs function primarily as systemic disorders of glucose regulation and therefore require interventions directed toward metabolic control, prevention of hypoglycemia and monitoring for chronic complications [4, 14]. In contrast, muscular GSDs are characterized by localized deficits in muscle energy utilization and are managed primarily through exercise-based rehabilitation, functional adaptation and targeted therapies such as enzyme replacement therapy [11, 18 and 19]. Differences are also evident in the outcome measures used to evaluate treatment success. Studies involving hepatic GSDs typically focus on biochemical control and complication rates, whereas studies involving muscular GSDs emphasize exercise

capacity, motor performance, respiratory function and quality-of-life outcomes [18]. This heterogeneity complicates direct comparison across GSD subtypes and highlights the need for standardized outcome frameworks in future research. Overall, the evidence supports phenotype-driven management strategies tailored to tissue-specific pathophysiology. While current therapies have improved patient outcomes considerably, significant challenges remain, including persistent long-term complications in hepatic disease and incomplete prevention of disease progression in muscular forms. Continued advances in molecular and gene-based therapies may help address these limitations and improve long-term outcomes across the spectrum of glycogen storage diseases [10].

Conclusion:

Hepatic and muscular glycogen storage diseases represent two fundamentally distinct therapeutic challenges with divergent long-term outcomes. Hepatic GSDs require sustained metabolic control to prevent hypoglycemia and chronic complications, whereas muscular GSDs are managed through exercise-based functional adaptation and targeted therapies. These findings support phenotype-driven management and highlight the need for standardized outcome measures to better evaluate current and emerging treatments.

References:

- [1] Gümtüş E & Özen H. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2023 **29**:3932 [PMID: 37476587].
- [2] Soon GST & Torbenson M. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2023 **24**:6133 [PMID: 37047105].
- [3] Massese M *et al.* *Orphanet J Rare Dis.* 2022 **17**:241 [PMID: 35725468].
- [4] Wright TLF *et al.* *Curr Opin Pediatr.* 2022 **34** :496 [PMID: 35942643].
- [5] Kor D *et al.* *Orphanet J Rare Dis.* 2025 **20**:336 [PMID: 40760487].
- [6] Tarnopolsky MA. *Continuum (Minneap Minn).* 2022 **28**:1752 [PMID: 36537979].
- [7] Kruger E *et al.* *J Health Econ Outcomes Res.* 2025 **12**:13 [PMID: 39790363].
- [8] Dalmia S *et al.* *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2023 **12**:CD012993. [PMID: 38084761].
- [9] Chou JY & Mansfield BC. *Front Mol Med.* 2023 **3**:1167091 [PMID: 39086673].
- [10] Koeberl DD *et al.* *Journal of inherited metabolic disease.* 2024 **47**:93 [PMID: 37421310].
- [11] Lucia A *et al.* *Neuromuscul Disord.* 2021 **31**:1296 [PMID: 34848128].
- [12] Hsu RH *et al.* *Mol Genet Genomic Med.* 2023 **11**:e2221 [PMID: 37272773].
- [13] Derks TGJ *et al.* *Nutrients.* 2021 **13**:3828 [PMID: 34836082].
- [14] Hannah WB *et al.* *Nat Rev Dis Primers.* 2023 **9**:46 [PMID: 37679331].
- [15] Beyzaei Z *et al.* *Clinical transplantation.* 2023 **37**:e14867 [PMID: 36433721].
- [16] Koch RL *et al.* *JCI Insight.* 2024 **9**:e177722 [PMID: 38912588].

- [17] Szymańska E *et al.* *Diagnostics (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2020 **10**:297 [PMID: 32414085].
- [18] Bordoli C *et al.* *Therapeutic advances in rare disease*. 2022 **3**:26330040221076497 [PMID: 37180413].
- [19] van der Beek NAME *et al.* *Curr Opin Neurol*. 2025 **38**:538 [PMID: 40471681].

- [20] Salazar-Martínez E *et al.* *Front Physiol*. 2021 **12**:744632 [PMID: 34721068].
- [21] Uçar SK *et al.* *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2025 **79**:723 [PMID: 40211049].
- [22] Winkler M *et al.* *Neuromuscular Disorders*. 2022 **32**:195 [PMID: 35120758].

Caveat Emptor is applicable among the literate community where required and possible. The publisher, its journal, editors and the internal/external reviewers take adequate steps to check, evaluate, correct, edit, revise and improve content where possible and required.