



Views

Received August 1, 2026; Revised August 31, 2026; Accepted August 31, 2026, Published August 31, 2026

DOI: 10.6026/973206300224921

SJIF 2026 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2026) = 8.478

2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at <https://publicationethics.org/>. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by P Kanguane

Citation: Barrionuevo *et al.* Bioinformation 22(8): 4921-4923 (2026)

Biological susceptibility to root resorption in clear aligner therapy: A mini review

Mariana Lourdes Barrionuevo^{1,*}, Laura Salome Pulido Cristancho², Arlety Acosta Muy³, Gina Paola Forero Velasco², Sandy Arias Arias⁴ & Luz Garcia⁵

¹Department of Dentistry, Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, Argentina; ²Department of Dentistry, Institución Universitaria Colegios de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia; ³Department of Dentistry, Universidad de Ciencias Médicas de La Habana, Cuba; ⁴Department of Dentistry, Universidad de Cartagena, Colombia, South America; ⁵Department of Dentistry, Universidad del Zulia, Venezuela, South America; *Corresponding author

Affiliation URL:

<https://www.odontologia.unt.edu.ar/>

<https://www.unicoc.edu.co/>

<https://cubaheal.com/2024/07/09/the-university-of-medical-sciences-of-havana/>

<https://www.educaedu-colombia.com/carreras/odontologia>

<https://wde.secretarialuz.org/wde/index.html>

Author contact:

Mariana Lourdes Barrionuevo - E-mail: mariana.lourdes282@gmail.com
 Laura Salome Pulido Cristancho - E-mail: salomepulido2212@gmail.com
 Arlety Acosta Muy - E-mail: ricotalety@gmail.com
 Gina Paola Forero Velasco - E-mail: ginaoski@gmail.com
 Sandy Arias Arias - E-mail: sandypatry@yahoo.com
 Luz Garcia - E-mail: luzmarina10_7@hotmail.com

Abstract:

External apical root resorption (EARR) persists in clear aligner therapy and reflects individual biological susceptibility more than appliance type. Polymorphisms in inflammatory and vitamin D pathway genes, including IL1B, RANK and VDR, shift individual EARR risk. Gingival crevicular fluid biomarkers, such as interleukin-6, interleukin-8 and matrix metalloproteinase-8, track the active resorptive phase. AI-assisted three-dimensional imaging quantifies apical loss objectively, near 0.6 to 0.8 mm and comparable to fixed appliances. Thus, data shows that integrating genetic, biomarker and imaging data points toward personalized, early prediction of resorption risk.

Keywords: External apical root resorption (EARR), clear aligner therapy, genetic polymorphisms, molecular biomarkers, personalized orthodontics

Background:

External apical root resorption (EARR) still occurs during clear aligner therapy and its severity is comparable to fixed appliances [1]. Cone-beam computed tomography meta-analysis confirms no significant difference in resorption between aligners and fixed appliances [2]. EARR reflects force-driven periodontal inflammation, with cytokine signaling and macrophage-mediated clastic activity at the root apex [3]. Therefore, it is of interest to describe the current genetic, molecular and biomarker evidence for biological susceptibility to EARR in clear aligner therapy.

Genetic susceptibility:

Because similar tooth movements yield markedly different outcomes, host genetic predisposition is increasingly implicated in EARR. Systematic review links polymorphisms in inflammatory and bone-remodeling genes, including IL1B, IL1RN, IL6, TNFRSF11A (RANK), and P2RX7, to individual resorption risk [4]. Targeted sequencing in a Korean cohort associated specific variants with extreme post-orthodontic EARR, supporting a heritable component [5]. Variants in vitamin D pathway genes, notably VDR, modulate cementum and alveolar bone metabolism and are also associated with EARR [6].

Molecular biomarkers:

Gingival crevicular fluid reflects the periodontal remodeling that accompanies tooth movement. Cytokine and bone metabolism markers in gingival crevicular fluid differ between fixed and aligner treatments [7]. Specifically, during aligner therapy, interleukin-6 and interleukin-8 levels in gingival crevicular fluid rise during active movement, reflecting the inflammatory phase [8]. A systematic review further supports the use of salivary and crevicular proteins, such as matrix metalloproteinase-8, dentin sialoprotein, and osteopontin, as non-invasive proxies for active root resorption [9].

Imaging and computational quantification:

Three-dimensional imaging has sharpened EARR assessment. In a randomized clinical trial using artificial-intelligence-based analysis of cone-beam computed tomography surface models, incisor apical resorption of approximately 0.6 to 0.8 mm was measured after treatment, with no significant difference between clear aligners and fixed appliances [10]. Deep learning now automates this quantification; a model trained on 4534 teeth extracted root volume and localized resorption directly from cone-beam computed tomography, reducing operator subjectivity [11]. Together, these tools enable objective, reproducible monitoring that can be integrated with genetic and biomarker profiles to support patient-specific risk stratification [12]. These observations are consistent with systematic reviews and meta-analyses reporting that external apical root resorption during clear aligner therapy is broadly comparable to that with fixed appliances rather than reliably lower [13].

Conclusion:

EARR in clear aligner therapy reflects individual biological susceptibility more than appliance type. Genetic polymorphisms and gingival crevicular fluid biomarkers help explain why similar treatments yield different outcomes. Thus, integrating genetic, biomarker, and three-dimensional imaging data offers a route to personalized, early risk prediction.

References:

- [1] Singh S *et al.* *J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects*. 2024 **18**:85 [PMID: 39071210]
- [2] Kreuter P *et al.* *BMC Oral Health*. 2025 **25**:1259 [PMID: 40713565]
- [3] Fang XY *et al.* *J Dent Res*. 2022 **101**:569 [PMID: 34847760]
- [4] Andrade ALC *et al.* *Korean J Orthod*. 2024 **54**:284 [PMID: 39162020]
- [5] Liu J *et al.* *Prog Orthod*. 2024 **25**:23 [PMID: 38853224]

- [6] Marañón-Vásquez G *et al.* *Eur J Oral Sci.* 2023 **131**:e12916 [PMID: 36683003]
 - [7] Kamran MA *et al.* *Angle Orthod.* 2023 **93**:335 [PMID: 36807401]
 - [8] Altındal D *et al.* *Angle Orthod.* 2025 **95**:212 [PMID: 39694050]
 - [9] Kuruthukulangara J *et al.* *J Clin Exp Dent.* 2025 [PMID: 40485969]
 - [10] Bsepalez-Neto R *et al.* *Orthod Craniofac Res.* 2026 **29**:366 [PMID: 41467333]
 - [11] Zheng Q *et al.* *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2025 **167**:188 [PMID: 39503671]
 - [12] Inchingolo F *et al.* *Appl Sci.* 2024 **14**:690 [DOI: 10.3390/app14020690]
 - [13] Yıldırım E & Topbas C. *Healthcare (Basel).* 2026 **14**:1547 [PMID: 42278800]
-

Caveat Emptor is applicable among the literate community where required and possible. The publisher, its journal, editors and the internal/external reviewers take adequate steps to check, evaluate, correct, edit, revise and improve content where possible and required.