



www.bioinformation.net
Volume 22(8)



Research Article

Received August 1, 2026; Revised August 31, 2026; Accepted August 31, 2026, Published August 31, 2026

DOI: 10.6026/973206300224947

SJIF 2026 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2026) = 8.478

2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at <https://publicationethics.org/>. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by Ritik Kashwani

E-mail: docritikkashwani@yahoo.com

Citation: Chhabra *et al.* Bioinformation 22(8): 4947-4950 (2026)

Cracked teeth in the East Indian population: An abridged overview of diagnosis, management and prognosis

Ajay Chhabra¹, Sona. J. Parvathy^{1*}, Himani Mehra¹, R Shilpa¹, Arpita Banerjee¹, Bhavana Murjani¹ & Vandana Chhabra²

¹Department of Dentistry, AIIMS, Kalyani, West Bengal, India; ²Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, H.S. Judge Dental College, Punjab University, Chandigarh, India; *Corresponding author

Affiliation URL:

<https://aiimskalyani.edu.in/>

<https://dentalsciences.puchd.ac.in/>

Affiliation URL:<https://aiimskalyani.edu.in/><https://dentalsciences.puchd.ac.in/>**Author contact:**Ajay Chhabra - E-mail: ajay.dental@aiimskalyani.edu.inSona. J. Parvathy - E-mail: sona.dentistry_pgt24@aiimskalyani.edu.inHimani Mehra - E-mail: himani.red@gmail.comR. Shilpa - E-mail: shilparaveendran101@gmail.comArpita Banerjee - E-mail: appyban240898@gmail.comBhavana Murjani - E-mail: bhavana.murjani91@gmail.comVandana Chhabra - E-mail: drvandanachhabra@gmail.com**Abstract:**

Cracked tooth syndrome poses a major diagnostic and therapeutic challenge in clinical dentistry due to its varied aetiology and biomechanical behaviour. Therefore, it is of interest to evaluate the characteristics of cracked teeth in the East Indian population using CBCT-based radiological assessment of intact cracked teeth. Cracked teeth were more prevalent in males and predominantly affected individuals aged 40–59 years, with maxillary first molars being the most commonly involved teeth. Most cracks extended to the apical third of the root and were frequently associated with combined endodontic-periodontal pathology. Thus, data shows the demographic and radiographic patterns of cracked teeth, emphasising the importance of early CBCT-based diagnosis and timely intervention for improved prognosis.

Keywords: Cracked tooth, cone beam computed tomography, root fracture, split tooth**Background:**

Cracked teeth pose a considerable diagnostic challenge even for experienced clinicians. The difficulty lies not merely in detecting a crack but in accurately determining its extent and direction, making this condition a foremost concern in restorative dentistry [1]. Since the 1950s, numerous classification systems have been proposed to characterise incomplete fractures and their clinical presentations. Gibbs was among the first to document the clinical signs and symptoms of incomplete fractures affecting posterior cusps, describing the condition as cuspal fracture odontalgia. Cameron subsequently introduced the term “cracked tooth syndrome” to encompass the distinctive signs and symptoms associated with cracked teeth [2]. The term “cracked tooth syndrome” can, however, be misleading, as the associated symptoms do not always follow a predictable or consistent pattern. Clinical presentation may differ considerably depending on the status of the pulp. Teeth with vital, inflamed, or necrotic pulps, as well as those that have undergone root canal treatment, may display markedly different features. Consequently, the symptoms of cracked teeth are often inconsistent and contingent upon pulpal health [3]. In May 2014, the American Association of Endodontists (AAE) convened a Special Committee on Cracked Tooth Initiatives to establish uniform terminology for the AAE Glossary of Endodontic Terms. The committee defined a cracked tooth as “a thin surface disruption of enamel and dentine and possibly cementum, of unknown depth or extension.” [4]. Potential aetiological factors include excessive masticatory forces, occlusal discrepancies, trauma, resorption, dental caries, weakened tooth structure, iatrogenic dental procedures and parafunctional habits [5]. Regardless of extent, direction, or location, cracks are invariably associated with

bacterial biofilm accumulation. Depending on crack severity, bacterial infiltration may extend into the pulpal tissues, potentially culminating in pulp necrosis. If left untreated, cracks may propagate into complete fractures, resulting in serious complications such as split teeth and, ultimately, tooth loss [6]. Cracks have traditionally been associated with endodontically treated or heavily restored teeth; however, recent evidence indicates a rising incidence in intact teeth [7]. Therefore, it is of interest to assess the characteristics of cracked teeth in the East Indian population and to provide an overview of diagnosis, management and prognosis.

Methodology:

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee. A retrospective observational study was conducted using CBCT scans of patients who presented with cracks in intact teeth. The scans were collected from patients attending the dental outpatient department between December 2023 and January 2025. Only intact teeth showing evidence of cracks were included in the study. Teeth with extensive carious lesions, endodontically treated teeth and teeth with large restorations were excluded. All CBCT scans were acquired using uniform parameters. Imaging was performed using a CS 3D 9600 Scanner (Care stream Dental, Atlanta, GA, USA) with an exposure of 120 kV, 6.3 mA and a voxel size of 75 µm. The collected data were tabulated and subjected to descriptive statistical analysis using MS Excel, Microsoft Office 2021 (Redmond, WA, USA).

Results:

A total of 100 patients meeting the inclusion and exclusion criteria were enrolled in the study. Cracked teeth were observed

more frequently in males (62%) than in females (38%). The age group of 40–59 years recorded the highest prevalence (53%), followed by 60–79 years (25%) and 20–39 years (22%) (**Table 1**). Maxillary teeth (54%) were more commonly affected than mandibular teeth (46%). Among maxillary teeth, the maxillary first molar showed the highest prevalence; in mandibular teeth, first and second molars were equally involved (**Table 2**). With respect to root involvement, the palatal root was most frequently affected in maxillary molars, whereas equal involvement of the mesial and distal roots was observed in mandibular molars (**Table 3**). Crack lines extending to the apical third of the root were the most frequently observed (40%), followed by the coronal third (26%), the middle third (19%), the furcation region (14%) and the crown alone (1%) (**Table 4**). Representative CBCT scans illustrating the observed crack patterns and their relationship with periapical pathology are shown in the representative images. Combined endodontic-periodontal

lesions constituted the most common periapical pathology and were predominantly associated with cracks extending to the apical third of the root. When the crack was limited to the coronal third, periapical abscess was the most frequently observed pathology (**Table 5**).

Table 1: Age distribution of cases

Age Group	Prevalence
20–39 years	22%
40–59 years	53%
60–79 years	25%

Table 2: Distribution of cases by tooth involved

Tooth	Maxillary	Mandibular
1st molar	23%	20%
2nd molar	13%	20%
3rd molar	2%	1%
1st premolar	6%	0%
2nd premolar	9%	5%
Central incisor	1%	0%

Table 3: Distribution of cases by root involved in molar teeth

Maxillary Molar			Mandibular Molar		
Root involved	No. of Teeth	Percentage	Root Involved	No. of Teeth	%
Palatal root	13	34.21%	Mesial root	14	34.14%
MB root	9	23.68%	Distal root	14	34.14%
MB and palatal root	4	10.52%			
MB and DB root	3	7.89%	Mesial and distal root	4	9.75%
DB root	1	2.63%			

Table 4: Distribution of cracks by location within the tooth

Location	Percentage
Apical third	40%
Coronal third	26%
Middle third	19%
Furcation	14%
Crown only	1%

Table 5: Association between periapical pathology and crack location

Location	Combined Endo-Perio Lesion	Apical Periodontitis	Periapical Abscess	Periapical Cyst
Apical third	26	2	12	1
Coronal third	4	4	14	—
Middle third	7	1	11	—
Furcation	5	—	10	—
Crown only	—	—	1	—

Discussion:

Cracked teeth continue to represent a significant diagnostic and therapeutic challenge in contemporary dental practice because of the variability in clinical presentation and the difficulty associated with identifying crack lines. Symptoms are often vague and inconsistent, frequently resembling other odontogenic conditions such as periodontal disease, reversible pulpitis, or temporomandibular disorders [8]. Furthermore, many cracks are incomplete, extend subgingivally, or remain obscured beneath restorations, thereby limiting direct visualisation during routine clinical examination. The pathogenesis of crack formation is closely related to the concentration of occlusal stresses within tooth structure. Repeated occlusal loading generates localised stress planes that disrupt the chemical bonds of enamel and dentine, eventually initiating crack propagation [9]. Over time, cyclic fatigue and increased tooth flexure may produce symptoms such as pain on

biting, thermal sensitivity and pulpal or periodontal involvement. In certain cases, acute trauma may directly initiate and propagate the fracture [10]. Several classification systems for cracked teeth have been proposed; however, the classification by the American Association of Endodontists (AAE) remains the most clinically relevant and widely accepted. The AAE system categorises cracks according to their origin, direction, pulpal involvement, symptoms and prognosis, thereby aiding clinicians in diagnosis and treatment planning. Previous investigations have extensively evaluated the prevalence, demographic distribution, diagnostic approaches and management strategies associated with cracked teeth in both intact and endodontically treated dentitions [11]. The present study showed that cracked teeth were most prevalent in individuals aged 40–59 years, which is in agreement with the observations of Cameron, Udoye and Jafarzadeh and Roh and Lee, all of whom reported peak incidence during the fourth and fifth decades of life. This age

predilection may be attributed to cumulative occlusal loading, long-term functional fatigue and age-related reductions in dentinal elasticity and fracture resistance. With advancing age, dentine becomes more brittle because of sclerosis and dehydration, increasing susceptibility to crack initiation and propagation. The findings of the present study therefore support the hypothesis that cracked teeth are strongly associated with age-related biomechanical changes within the dentition. A male predominance was also observed in this study, consistent with earlier reports in the literature. The higher prevalence among males may be explained by the greater maximal bite force values generally recorded in men compared with women. Increased occlusal loading may predispose teeth to stress concentration and structural fatigue, thereby increasing the likelihood of crack formation. This observation further emphasises the role of functional occlusal forces in the aetiology of cracked teeth. In contrast to study by Güneç *et al.* (2025) [12] which identified mandibular molars as the most frequently affected teeth, the present study found a higher prevalence in maxillary molars, particularly maxillary first molars. This discrepancy may reflect population-specific occlusal patterns, dietary habits, parafunctional activity, or anatomical differences influencing stress distribution. Such findings suggest that regional and ethnic variations may influence the location and pattern of crack development, highlighting the importance of population-based investigations. Diagnosis of cracked teeth requires a comprehensive approach involving detailed history taking, clinical examination and adjunctive diagnostic methods. Patients commonly report pain on biting and sensitivity to cold stimuli. Clinical signs such as localised periodontal pockets, wear facets and positive bite tests may provide valuable clues. Nevertheless, clinical examination alone is often insufficient to determine the complete orientation and extent of the crack. Adjunctive aids including staining dyes, magnification, dental loupes, microscopy and fibre-optic transillumination significantly improve crack detection [13]. Among imaging modalities, CBCT offers valuable three-dimensional visualisation of crack extent and orientation, although image artefacts and radiation exposure remain limitations. Advanced technologies such as OCT, micro-CT and SWIFT-MRI have showed superior sensitivity and specificity in crack detection; however, their limited availability restricts routine clinical use [14]. Management of cracked teeth depends primarily on pulpal status, crack extent and periodontal involvement. Early diagnosis and timely intervention are critical for improving prognosis and preventing progression to pulpal necrosis or tooth loss. Conservative restorative approaches, including casual coverage restorations and splinting, may stabilise the tooth and limit crack propagation [15]. Endodontic

treatment becomes necessary in cases involving irreversible pulpitis, pulp necrosis, or persistent symptoms. Prognosis is highly dependent on the depth and direction of the crack, with fractures extending into the root generally carrying a poor or hopeless prognosis. Overall, the present study reinforces existing evidence regarding the multifactorial nature of cracked teeth while contributing valuable regional data on their demographic and radiographic characteristics in the East Indian population [16].

Conclusion:

Cracked teeth are a prevalent clinical condition resulting from traumatic injury or cumulative occlusal fatigue, with crack development influenced by the biomechanical integrity of the tooth structure. Accurate assessment of the size, extent and orientation of cracks is essential for appropriate diagnosis, treatment planning and prognosis determination. Despite advances in diagnostic imaging, the management of cracked tooth syndrome remains challenging, highlighting the need for more accessible and reliable diagnostic modalities for early detection and intervention.

References:

- [1] Grover V *et al.* *J Indian Soc Periodontol.* 2022 **4**:307. [PMID: 35959314]
- [2] Zeng J *et al.* *Clin Oral Investig.* 2026 **30**:206. [PMID: 42043600]
- [3] <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39163429/>
- [4] Wongkornchaowalit N *et al.* *J Endod.* 2026 **52**:79. [PMID: 40850543]
- [5] Chan PY *et al.* *J Endod.* 2026 **52**:376. [PMID: 41276249]
- [6] Wu S *et al.* *J Endod.* 2026 **52**:733. [PMID: 41571087]
- [7] Deng J *et al.* *J Endod.* 2026 **52**:S0099 [PMID: 41997301]
- [8] Kaur S *et al.* *J Conserv Dent Endod.* 2026 **29**:11. [PMID: 41660023]
- [9] Huang Y *et al.* *IEEE J Biomed Health Inform.* 2026 **30**:473. [PMID: 40569807]
- [10] Lin Z *et al.* *Case Rep Dent.* 2026 **27**:2026 [PMID: 41767975]
- [11] <https://www.aae.org/specialty/cracking-the-cracked-tooth-code-from-unpredictability-to-predictability/>
- [12] Güneç HG *et al.* *BMC Med Imaging.* 2025 **25**:2. [PMID: 39748280]
- [13] Yu M *et al.* *Jpn Dent Sci Rev.* 2022 **58**:357 [PMID: 36425316]
- [14] Sinha A *et al.* *Oral Sphere J. Dent. Health Sci.* 2025 **1**:224. [DOI: 10.63150/osjdhs.2025.23]
- [15] Monterde AB *et al.* *Microorganisms.* 2025 **13**:1039. [PMID: 40431212]
- [16] Singh S & Bolla N. *J Conserv Dent Endod.* 2025 **28**:1067. [PMID: 41322324]

Caveat Emptor is applicable among the literate community where required and possible. The publisher, its journal, editors and the internal/external reviewers take adequate steps to check, evaluate, correct,

edit, revise and improve content where possible and required.